

WORRY-SP.

1/28/67

Dear Miss Arrhein,

Thanks for your constructive letter of the 25th.

I suppose the problem is that I was shocked at finding a man for whom I'd had such respect from his writing to be a man who lies without concern or shame and that I cannot abide lying about this subject.

Further, before I got there he and WOR corrupted the entire program behind my back, unbalancing it and so loading it with lies that had I been given all the rest of the time there would not have been adequate provision for refuting them.

They were unfair in even such petty ways I suppose I was more angry than I should have been. They promised several times to give my address but didn't (I am the publisher of the second book which is not in most bookstores). Thus people who may have wanted to write me could not. They subsequently promise to give my address on the rerun and I'm told they did not. I am also informed that the switchboard told callers they didn't know my address.

Again, thanks.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Jan. 25, 1967

Mr. Harold Weisberg  
Hyattsville, Md.

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I listened to you on the Martha Dean program. She was so biased that it was very obvious.

However, may I suggest to you that you do not permit these people to bait you -- and you do not fall into the trap of defending your right to give the facts.

Use every instant to give the facts. Ignore any and all remarks that seem to lead all over the lot but the facts. Just give a fact every moment that you can.

Your remarks for instance that the gun found on Oswald could not be tied to the Tippett killing because of the "retooling" or whatever was done to it was most telling.

Keep up the good work. The American people are entitled to know the truth wherever the chips may fall.

Good luck.

Very truly yours,

*Evelyn Amrhein*

Evelyn Amrhein

35-17 146 St.  
Flushing 54, N.Y.