Dear Tom, 7/4/85

This differs from what I filed and sent you earlier in focus, form, content and because I'm risking jail in refusing there to pay the crooked judgement the FBI procured from Judge Smith for its alleged costs in seeking "discovery" from me. The 30 days he gave me to cough up end, not inapporpriately on Bastille Day.

Fact, law and evidence seem to be immaterial today but I think that in this motion and with this new evidence I prove overwhelmingly that the FBI and DJ defrauded me and the courts and that there was deliberate misrepresentation and perjury. The FBI SA who swore to all the lies to get the discovery Order is the very same who is supervisor in the case in which he disclosed what he swore in my case did not exist and that my subject-matter expertise was essential for the FBI to locate anything it might not have provided. Pretty hard to explain that one away if I can make it necessary. When he was processing all this stuff in the other case and thus knew of its relevance and that he was withholding it from me he swore that discovery from me would enable the FBI to prove that it had complied.

The evil precedent that endangered lawyers earlier in this mess has been overturned but the jeopardy to FOIA and plaintiffs under it continues, thus my persisting in fighting back, whatever the odds before today's Reaganized courts.

The continuing problem is getting any attention for official and judicial corruption, which have achieved a high degree of press and political acceptability.

But if you know anyone who might be interested, I'd like to send copies.

I hope you have time to read this because I believe it is a powerful indictment.

And if you remember what I told you years ago, that the FBI never investigated and never intended to investigate the JFK assassination, you'll find it put pointedly by the FBI itself in what appears to be a tickler outline for damage assessment, the words of the assistant director in charge of the investigative division: it was standing around with its pockets open waiting for evidence to fall in.

It says it had an "adversary relationship" with the Warren Commission and it prepared dossiers on the members: and twice dissiers on the staff. On the "critics" it prepared what it describes as "sex dossiers."

From timet to time I wonder if the JFK Library would like copies of any of this. I've had no contact with it.

Aside from other possible uses, what material for dissertations, if you know any students who'd be interested.

Best wishes.