

Main purposes

and not "rumors" and doubts

- I. Support official "line assessment - no conspiracy" line
- II. Put down "critics" ~~dismissing~~ all together
- III. B. Justify itself & largest investigative apparatus in Congressional history by pretending it has "new evidence" and is with full criticism of federal agencies & Warren Commission

- 1. A. Never considered anyone other than LHO as assassin & pretended search for possible co-conspirators - check reports, House speeches, other statements
- B. Never investigated other than in pattern of earlier official investigations
 - 1) no investigation of planting "magic" bullet
 - a) never raised any question of absence of metal data - mentioned only deposit
 - 2) no investigation of (rarely mentioned) "missed" shot
 - a) Has not even spoken to Jim Teague ^{slightly wounded}
 - 3) no mention missing carbonyl "spectro" plate - (This is totally new & never published - learned in lawsuit)
 - c) no mention carbonyl analysis does not hold all my use of bullet - only 2 - of those could have come from cut & wheel weight.
 - d) no NAA's on upper alloy jacket material of bullet, which our report said is superior for test my purposes - I'd paid for study
 - e) ignored dis appearance of G-15 wood shield sample
 - f) Did not report the specimens not identical with FBI/Warren ones - given in apt 2 testimony. Did not ask Gurney any questions about these differences

alternative future story - with pictures.

4)
C. Fabricated story never "White Wash" to cover up
and knowingly protected as lies false testimony

1.) Basin ~~was~~ elicited testimony forensic pathologist
Michael Baden - who lied

A. Said no evidence JFK's shirt collar + tie
were cut by any instrument

1.) Dr. Charles ~~Winters~~ Carriac swore to Paris
before W.C. + informed it to me in
Miami news

B. ~~Said~~ Said collar damage, tie damage +
"cut" home front needs window false
\$) Referred to only one ^{type} hole in collar band
in this connection:

a. They do not coincide or overlap

b.) Are not at front tie knot position

2. ~~Did~~ Did not report unfastened top of knot
left - worst ordered part of it taken
for the in C-75649-70

3. Cannot say whether tie + collar damage
do or even can coincide with one found
to examine

C. Covered Humes false testimony on entry point
fatal head shot

1) Humes said W.C. at occiput

a) Autopsy photograph says "far right side of scalp"

(Baden + committee both covered. He testified before committee,
which never showed him photograph)

D. Said X-rays show no metal in Connally
chest - false

1) Dr. Sharris, in charge of Connally case,
tested if chest metal showed in

post-operative X-rays - W.H. 6th 101

(I quoted this bullet hitting this bone
& post metal did not.)

- E. "E. hmad" X-rays, used to hide evidence
 1) Does not show 40 duct-like fragments
- F. Said Commission did not have and open proof
 X-rays - full work
 1. Sec. statement on X-ray
 2. Ex. Sec. on proof
- G. - Pretended Bobby Kennedy withheld this
 1.) J.P. Commission never asked
 (Spitzer memo in Post mortem)
- 2) Basic science in evidence - Gunn/NAA, incomplete
 & he was of the use not truthful
- A. Testified "results" were thorough, he knew
 specimens not identical & did ~~test~~
 but one did not exist when he announced
 the rule
 1) did not question whether he was given fakes
 a) One possibility is from box of 399
 which is not accounted for / spelled out
 details
 2) no coffee - just testimony although he testified
 Woffen is one of 3 best elements for NAA
- B. Testified was not fully aware
 1. His knowledge of when FBI did NAA's
 2. How information first became available
 3. When he first knew of FBI's NAA's
 a) Gallagher memo
 b) His Nat. Eng. Consultancy filed for
 over file report after being paid?
- C. No reference to spectrographic analyses

II Critic put-down + and name + doubt (overlap with III - no "new evidence" - list of blank comes from critics, esp. my work)
 Use Proyer on today show + Post as water -
 review + refer to number of firms in her mag
 "The critics say" use use of Gordon as representing all critics
 called criticism to encourage for them - I alone refused

III Samples of its "new" evidence

- A. Entry head wound 4" higher than WC said
 - 1) From my post mortem
- B) Non-fatal wound on back not neck
 - 2) From my WW + Post mortem
- C) Nervous reaction shows in Zap film
 - 1) Whitworth is source, not always, plus got info from students who read book (Always paid by ERPA)
- D) From 1980 by post but - WW
- E) JFK hit before 210 - at 200
 - 1) Whitworth II
 - 2) Uses same means of establishing
 - a) WW is 5 ft
 - b) Zap
 - c) Oppenheimer as question point in pictures
- D) But - from 6 ft from window - at 2190
 free blocked shot, which they suppressed.

- 2)
- III Actually has avoided bringing anything new to light while just pretending to be wise
- A. What it used as "new" re Cuba Castro had put on in international conference
 - B. 201 file from Nat Enquirer story (Bill Dick & Irving - put in Nat Enq. used in facsimile)
 - C. Published records of Church Committee
 - D. Am Lash - Wash Post & Schuber sub-committee of Church Committee
 - E. Tapping Cuban Embassy phone - Balt. news Amer. war - Ark & were Lonnie

6)

Pictures

- 1) Shirt collar - If Engr. didn't see I have. I gave it print
- 2) Knotted tie - it shows tie was cut off
- 3) Shirt front show how shirt also was cut open
- 4) Shirt back - my print - to show how far down bullet hole is

Had idea of not using tape from Castro / I had been saying it for years but, he got really surprised it to them - I have copies of transcript

Ford on Castro lying, departing & with holding to his own word when he took, edited & sold it. No transcript & then in it all concerning to FBI & CIA
Archives concerning memo - never published