

8/11--Dear Ed, Thanks for Markins bio. Didn't know he'd moved to Dallas, but where else?

Our four possibilities on The Watergate Caper omits the most obvious, a dempub. wing that could include III and IV. Doubt very much the real pros would have done it so poorly--or had the need to. I have from the first considered revanchist Cuban Republicans in what they consider an act of patriotism working with others who supplied leadership, etc., right-wing leadership of arrogance and incompetence only when armed with power and protection.

Succinctly, you are right: whoever wins, the country loses.

Matter of fact, I think it unlikely that the Bay Pigs Frank Bender could today relate to Helms", which is not the same as Helms finding him useless. Just not the same kind, none with policy. After Dulles, sophistication improved.

You refer to a period during which I think actual controls passed from the hands of money into management, with exceptions of money-boys who worked with management's interests. Hellamara, for example, was not Wall Street. The financial boys started to opt out first.

I couldn't agree more that there are too many S.O.B. figures, but what is the difference between them and the JP's and GRS' to me and now? The SOB's are less trouble. I can figure them, and try to anticipate and cope. But in all of this, for what you say to have meaning, there must be some meaningful + from the JP's and GRS's and I see none.

This is less than saying friend of mine enemy. It is saying why waste time?

Attention to The Watergate Caper, inevitably, I feel, will increase because the Dems have their own hurts and have to get their licks in and there has been criminality that goes to high. It is safer for the Republicans to have goats than the stink of the billy, so there will be sacrifices. Have been already, in fact.

Once there is blood, too often the lust is slacked. The wrong blood does as well as the right.

Got a painful attack of bursitis during sleep last night. Feels like it is returning so I'd better stop.

On Dallas for a last word: when I was last there, the powerful were gathering, like Markins, but the disenchantment was sometimes visible. Charges are taking place. They are slow, often ineffectual, but there has to be a beginning, and I think we are at that point.

Sincerely,

for soap you! N.P.!
and G.S. of Salt.

There are too many
young S.O.B. Buglers
of songs to waste
our names on the
bars clanking.

Ha Ha!

The Watergate

Appears not to be
official coverage. But
The Commission Does
not F.V. - Poorly
known - N.Y. Journal
Political - a Junior
U.S.A. with its little
power elite.

A novel condition
Dances of Britain
reasons.

Of a fine old

U.S.A. social

Our Social Social,
Dino Journal make
them a universal to

Amour
Tada Care of your
you're a great man!

Sincerely,
[Signature]



8/5/72

Dear Howard,

Remember the the
rearmy - a Burma
Jury! To "Providence
Pie Ours, When an
American - Tamara
arrived, the first
we always report"
(of the in a trial.)

I suspect the
Watergate affair

was not CIA sponsored.
The CIA has no need
to buy - it's just
a few phone calls
and suggest.

Was your Dabral
it? The diary

responsor causal de:

I CIA Coirque

III DIA operations

III Right Wing Groups

IV Mixture of above

Q Base IV. Look at

The Directory of

General Paul Hoffman,

Q base Big Game.

The substructure

struggle of our time

is the war of the

Dotcom - The Pentagon,

the rest of the world,

the CIA. This analysis,

is overimperialist, is

a war of attrition

in the power elite.

US base runs,

American base.

The Organization

responsible a misnomer

The organe

nature of the data

is the to position

unstable position.

There is no CIA.

There are CIA/DIA/

NATO. Position.

From Base for war,

not rest of world,

Holmes. Or Holmes

digress. Preim McCom.

Holmes - Corbett -

The Bureau Base -

QD reference. Barnard -

General. Or David

Parsons - quite

digress!

For the average

citizen, the data

is a most faint

He is not sure

of Postulate.

There, Q Base