

Dear Jim,

6/1/76

If I avoid mention of the generosity of your review in Sun in the enclosed letter to Stanley, the rest is literally true.

We hit the mailbox about 1 p.m. I'd~~at~~ driven us to Washington and made out fine by stopping and walking around a bit half-way each way. Lil sorted the mail into his and hers while I took the clothing off down to the underwear and hot supports. Of the his I opened the CIA's letter because Lesar is out of town, to be sure it did not require immediate response. Then I opened the Sun's, with a note directing me to page 6. I read it while Lil whopped us up a salad and then gave it to her, without comment. She read it and said it is the best review yet. I agree.

We do appreciate it much.

More because we know the book isn't easy to read.

I don't think I've ever seen a review as faithful to the work.

When and as I wrote it it was not easy to be as explicit as I'd have liked to have been about conspiracies. There have been changes. Now I have the word in the title (for the second time--the first in a subtitle) because of these changes.

I've been leaning on Schweiker as much as I dare. So I'm a bit uneasy about leaning on him more by sending him a copy. However, if you have no uneasiness about it I'd appreciate it if you'd send him a copy with any comment or underlining you'd like.

If I knew more about where Hart is coming from I'd suggest the same to him.

Maybe it won't hurt if you can find time for both and feel no inhibition.

Our medical news is as it was. Lil's doctor says her blood pressure is just above normal range. She is to arrange to have it taken locally at three different times of the day. My doctor still has nothing but optimism for me--no real answers. I'll probably be writing Lesar when I can. I prefer to ~~share~~ ^{share} his optimism but his evasiveness does not encourage this.

I'll also be carboning you on The Harker File. If you or the STH(W) can find time for this trash (Signet, Mark Olden) I'd appreciate comments on it and what I'll be sending.

Quite remarkable to me that an AP reporter whose name is Harker had a 9/63 piece quoting Castro on assassinations and before any of this was recently public there is this novel about this subject with a reporter whose name is - Harker.

The name is the only reason I've been reading the trash.

Hope Je is coming along well.

Thanks,