Dear Ds.

Hasty acknowledgement mailing including JDW's 5/26, all I've read, so that, in I go into town to mail enclosed ltr to Ivon, I can send this. Note at end that ltr what he sent me so you non't copy. Interested in any non-N.O. coverage because it can bear on defense, etc. I'll let you know all he sends. There's is a change in that he or Jim has sent this, radical change from recent past.

If I didn't send you copies of the meos I sent Ivon when I read the affidavit used vs Jim, it was obvious to me as it should have been to the others and wasn't that each time Gervais had a chance to feed Jim the right line to get an incriminating answer, he failed to. It is not because PG doesn't know how. He once told me that if I gave him 24 hours he'd fix any case for me. And if I changed my mind, in another 24 he'd fix it the other way.

Offhand I'm not inclined to buy his pretense, that he is gripping about the tax bite.

But when the JG people appear not to have detected this, need I say more of their professionalism? And they know PG better than I do.

We see the Bremer thing the same way. You cite what has not appeared here, I presume what Larry told me about that AP moved. I think I told you one way or another of the unaanounced visit from teo members of the intelligence unit of the county in which he is confined. Not for nothing. Never happened before without a call in advance to be sure I'd be here. And were the trip wasted, that is 100 miles both ways. Naybe a bit more. So I think they have some interest. In fact, after they left, I remembered somethin they knew I have long had for them and they maidn't ask for it. Finuteman stuff.

I have a tape Hal gave me, of Owens talking and then being questioned. I then had some doubts about Owens, and then thought that John Christian had sent it and that the questioner was Bill Turner, in Belli's office, as I recall. That is the only one I recall.

I do have the Post story quoting the Toronto Star. There was later electronic-media stuff on this the Post didn't carry.

If I don't get begged down in the McG-HHH confrontation tonight, I'll catch up on your mailing, that from N.O., or both tonight. I must confess a certain amount of pleasant seduction from TV lately, thanks to bil's persistence in hoping there'd be decent entertainment. Three nights straight! Friends, this is a revolution, especially at the rerun time of the year. All Public TV. Two instalments of Possessed and a production of KCET, LA, called 'nvitation to a March. It might better have been titled Invitation to a Dance. But with the famous French thing, Invitation to a Waltz, if there was nothing earlier titled with the dance, this might have led them to the wrong formulation. Anyway, it was a pleasant and very well done performance of a decent original play with a legit message and sex handled openly, cleanly and understandingly, if that is the way to put it. Hear of any Bitcher picket lines around KCET? The hero is a bastard and the heroine a mother who insisted that her child be a bastard and the end is when the second heroine elects to go off without wedlock with the bastard rather than opt security and riches with a stereotype of the successful man to be more successful and a real stuffed shirt. And thanks to Bud, who have me a fifth about two onths ago, I had some good sippin Scotch to go with each performance.

Best,