

What a commemoration of the murder of a president! The government waits a thousand days to lock the stable door and then loudly calls "Here, horsie, horsie". Relatedly it tell us it ^{now} is going to impound evidence, now, with the approach of the third anniversary, now when God alone knows what has happened to it, now when it can piously pretend it has all of it and that what it has is clean and pure.

And it is all untrue, but a further deception, another coat of whitewash

The time to collect and impound the evidence was the time of the assassination. Then the government fell all over itself avoiding what was inconsistent with the decision decided upon before its investigation. Who knows where, ^{for example,} those thousands of frames of motion and still pictures and tapes are or what happened to them? ^{They are kept in the archive.} ^{and the film} ^{are} The motion picture camera that recorded the entire assassination is in private hands. ~~The film it exposed is in private hands, bought before the government sought it.~~ Copies are good enough for the archive of the assassination, were good enough for the investigation.

What of these many pictures the government didn't want that it should have had and perhaps, in some cases, ^{can still get?} ~~at least, can still have.~~ What, for example of the picture taken by Mary Moorman at the very moment of the assassination, taken from her while she was a virtual captive? Mary Moorman, with a picture this vital who was not a witness and whose picture is not in evidence and not in the archive? ^{similar}

Can this or so many too many things, assuming they are still available, ^{now} be trusted? Those experienced in investigations and intelligence know they cannot be.

~~Exatzeftthax amppressionszfrzxxthexaxidzncz;xbstzpxtthaxdratzoyedxexidnczxx~~

Five months ago I demanded of the Department of Justice that it make available the ^{spec} spectrographic analysis of the bullet allegedly found at the hospital, the fragments said to have belatedly come from the presidential car, and the fragments removed from the bodies of both the President and the Governor. As I pointed out in WHITEWASH the spectrographer was the last witness before the Commission and he was not asked to testify about the results of his spectrographic ~~investigation~~ Examination. The

firearms expert, who specified his legal incompetence in his testimony, was asked to make inadequate comparisons. His testimony amounts simply to the statement that what was examined ^{was} ~~is~~ lead. This means nothing. There is no ^{al} national security involved here, no question of good taste, none of protecting informants. It is simple, heavy-handed suppression. The same is true of bullets fired in tests that are inconsistent with the conclusions the government wanted to reach. They, too, are suppressed, again improperly and despite my demand.

Unless the fragments from the Governor's body match the so-called "found" bullet, and can be proved to have come from nothing else, the Report is fiction. Unless the fragments taken from the President's head match the fragments found in the car, the Report is nonsense.

What possible reason can there be for this prime evidence not ^{being} ~~to be~~ in the records? What honorable reason can there be for it and the basis of it not to be in the archive, and ^{not} to have been there all along?

Again as I ask in WHITEWASH, how can the failure of the doctors to have what lawyers call "best evidence" as the basis for their testimony be reasonably explained. ^{They never saw the pictures and I pay my fee.} Here there is no question of good taste, for these are the men of science who performed what we are told is an autopsy. Major conflicts exist, Major, substantive changes were made in it, all documented entirely from the evidence in my book, ^{and in it also} It may, perhaps, be argued that questions of good taste prevent publication. This cannot be argued ~~with~~ with the need of the medical men in giving unequivocal testimony. Can these pictures and X-rays now be trusted even if they are produced?

The attorney general wants us to be happy and quiet when he puts Oswald's marine-corps trinkets in the archive. These are but a monument to the falsity of the record, for Oswald was within one point of being the worst shot in the corps. ^{It does} They in any event ~~do~~ not add to knowledge.

What is now needed is unrestricted access of qualified researchers to all of the evidence. What is at this too late date still needed is the collection of

that evidence the government neglected and avoided.

If it disputes me, I'll be happy to ^{cite} ~~give~~ chapter and verse.