

Oser's cross-examination of Finck adduced perjurious statements, as had Dymond's direct examination (as with seeing the X-rays and not seeing fragments, meaning perjury on bone hit and on fragments, etc). Because the DJ had observers present at all times, sometimes accompanying witnesses, this means that, unless the DJ lawyers knew nothing about the case they were sent to observe, they and the Department of Justice are both aware that perjury was committed.

At one point Finck testified, in the words of the reporter, "Finck said he did not observe any metallic fragments in the throat wound."

Finck said he had been told three shots had been fired. He says Roy Kellerman told him that, which cannot be either true or possible, for as late as his Commission testimony Kellerman testified to a "flurry", clearly believing there were more than three until pressured by the Commission.

Finck said he could not see the front neck wound. Helpern says the trach should not have obliterated it. But if he could not see it on the cadaver, how could the panel doctors see it on pictures no one of which is from the front.

Finck said the neck shot missed the voice apparatus.

If Finck were to be charged with perjury, the pictures and X-rays would have to be produced for his defense and for the prosecution - and the panel doctors would be subject to subpoena.

Finck lied in saying the film could not be seen because it was in the possession of the Secret Service and could not be developed in time. His exact words should be consulted, but the photographing began a little after 7:30 p.m. and the film left the hospital about 3:30 a.m. But if the SS took possession of the film and prevented the developing, which is consistent with Finck's testimony about the military, that constituted a gross intrusion into the medico-legal determinations it is the purpose of an autopsy to reach. Finck, in his testimony about the purposes of an autopsy, accidentally disclosed his lack of forensic-medical experience by not including the solution of the crime or the obtaining of evidence of a crime.