11/21/71

memo on me ting with Cecil Burglass xursay about 6 p.m. at his office, 2111 Dauphine (944-0316), driven there by Jim Brown.

I had looked barry borenstein up Thursday night and found him at Preservation Hall. We then went to his Vuacessin Creole Cafe for coffee, and I told him first the story of how Gaudet (who he says he can't identify) had fingered him and Gaudet's connections. I am to send him stats. Then I discussed the aspects of the May case that superficially suggest rafia involvement. He agreed with me that any Marcello involvement is so unlikely as to be not worthy of consideration. However, when I asked him which of marcello's lawyers was most likely to be dependable and safest to talk to, he suggested Burglass. He also saw another lawyer in the place. I didn't get his name when he intorduced us. Then Ton Bethell came in with a girl, sat with us, and everythin of this character ended. And Larry left, as Jim and I did after 'on finoshed eating.

I told burglass the story indicating that there was some organized (he said he didn't any longer know what the word meant) crime interest, that holding May on ice for so long at at such cost widn't indicate a small operation or one worry no about thousands of dollars. I also made clear that I had no oubt that there was no harcello involvement, but I at the same time suggested pointedly that there had been somethin arranged that would make it look like there was and that when the May case came apart, there might be a frame already of together. I told him about the prints and other identifications of May's associate that the rBI must have, etc. He doesn't think May was alone but did not indicate disbelief of is alleged assassin's role. I told him about the exculpatory evidence and that in my opinion the case would never get to the jury because there is no case.

He was here emphatic on two points: that Earcello has nothing to do with it and never had and that May deserved anything he got, even if imm cent of the killing, if he had anything to do with heroin. What he said that I do not believe is that there is only a minor heroin problem in N.O. and that all is known to the police, etc. New I seem to recall that long ago there was a split in the Mafia and that while burcello did other things, he wouldn't touch heroin or cocaine, etcking to gambling. David Chandler confirmed this recollection, gave me the name of the figure with whom marcello disputed, and added detail, that Marcello's position was that the same profit could be made with less penalty from other things like marijuana.

Burglass said that it was well kno n that Parcello's interests were confined to Gambling, and I emphasized that I had no doubt, that it should be obvious that if I believed there were any Parcello involvement, the last thing I'd be doing is putting my head on the block by talking to him.

his public image. As an example, generosity. He said Barcello is so tight with a buck he has trouble collecting his fees, and that he never gives money away. I said "knew of a case where Marcello had grubstaked a restaurateur, and Buglass said Marcello lands money out for good interest.

e discussed the ossibility that the tire could have held hot money or securities, and while at first he seemed to feel this could have been the case he could trink of nothing else worth smug ling into Mexico), he then said it was easy to get it out of N.O., which is a major port, plenty of plabes, etc. He cited a ses of Jeff parish figures recently getting caught with either or both, in on case about \$60,000 North, so he wound up deprecating that.

I offered him access to my files should be need it to show undependability of PBI reporting, etc., and he seemed to say be toinks M's federal troubles are over. On FBI reporting, he said that the report of the agent involved in the airport incident didn't even say h had struck him....On Partin be says that when LeCoeur asked the judge to drop the cases "in the interest of justice" the judge said it looked like a payoff and wouldn't.