

11/27/70

Dear Cyril,

Relet 24: Forst case where you might be able to help, whether or not as witness, is in my suit for pictures of the clothing and access to it. I am pro se. The govt has not sought a summary judgement or dismissal. I responded by answering parts of their response and moving for a summary judgement. No word since.

Looking forward to a full hearing (assuming I'll not get a summary judgement), one of the questions I cannot answer but should anticipate is the possibility of being able to go into the fact, to show motive for suppression. In short, for going further than arguing only that I am entitled to this under the law, that the GSA-family contract is illegal, that ownership of the film is vested in the hospital, meaning federal government, things like that.

If I can and do go into the evidence, I'll need several kinds of experts:

One to what is sensational and undignified and to compare two illustrations, to show that what I seek is less so than what is readily available.

Another to read the pictures I have. I have several very good ones of the shirt, front and back. I think a qualified expert could testify that the holes in the front were not and could not have been made by a bullet, especially one of the character of 399. Thus, if you are not qualified to have such an expert opinion, perhaps you know one, maybe a criminologist, upon whom I might draw. Is there an honest one in DC-who I'd not be able to pay?

Perhaps one on photography, to testify to whether or not what is available is good photography, evidentiary photography, susceptible of satisfactory enlarging so that meaning can be extracted, etc.

This suit holds the possibility of blowing the official fiction up, if the pictures I have hold the meaning I give. I'm sorry you've never been able to get here to see this and what else I have that is relevant (and will not use in this suit, holding it for another).

I think it is also possible that DJ has selected this one for a full hearing for a number of purposes, one that I'm without a lawyer, another to hide behind the Kennedy's and the contract, and one not as obvious, to try and frame the Secret Service with responsibility for suppression-in the most delicate area.

Best regards,

CYRIL H. WECHT, M.D., J.D., F.C.A.P.
CORONER

CHARLES L. WINEK, PH.D.
CHIEF TOXICOLOGIST

JUNE S. SCHULBERG, Esq.
CHIEF DEPUTY CORONER



County of Allegheny

542 FOURTH AVENUE • PITTSBURGH, PA. 15219

(412) 355-4460 355-4466

OFFICE OF THE CORONER



November 24, 1970

Mr. Harold Weisberg
Route #8
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold:

Just a note to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 15 November 1970. I am glad to learn that some of your lawsuits are proceeding to trial, and I can only hope for all our sake that you are successful.

As I have stated previously, if there are specific ways in which I can possibly be of assistance, I will certainly give serious consideration to testifying as an expert in forensic pathology and related areas. You will have to let me know when such a specific situation develops, and you will then have to tell me exactly what is involved.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cyril".

Cyril H. Wecht, M.D., J.D.

CHW/mg