Deliging No. 1971 amproses Rt. 7. Smederick and 21701 go

65 5<u>2</u> 14

1/16/67

. Tiet. . W. Test.

Dear Dr. Wecht,

hove you understood. Two includes to these who then use meterial that is not their and that they do not understood with the meterial that is not theirs and that they do not understood and misuse.

Forhape the me militure will bring us alose enough together so I can tell you more of what I know and have learned.

Tikewise, 4 also hope that soon I'll be able to publish this book, for it has been done nearly four months.

for the measure, and for your information, for I want it to rom in undisclosed until I use it as I intend. I have satablished that there is no chair of possession of the platures and k-rays said to be those of the 'resident's autopsy.

also, either denoter Actively differs have those in his physical most excitential clong on there was a daulicate set and, for there was a time, chartly before their setum, when those was in powerment files I am identify. Then I was helping almost had been a to them. This was not the includes.

Another thing I think you should know for whetever it ean do to belo your thinking and understanding, is that Francis I Tamedy it institute the circumstant forces to the carren Commission. The opposite is true, as I sen prove. In additionable regard this as of sufficient literary value to possibly ver for the printing cost of the fifth book he specifically waived all possibilities of withholding it and all other sutopsy information and date. I have that the Archives, quite honestly, assures does not exist, my source is the sutopsy suttorization, which I have it has been remove. From the proper file in which is in supposed to be. I get it from another source, not the proper files in the inregives. This this is irremaistant with everything we have been told, it is also beyond question.

I am less concerns: that the numbers of pictures and A-rays returned applications and application number reportedly taken, for this may be subject to innocent emploration, and I am satisfied that when the first draft of the automay was burned, uswald had been sundered and it was know that there would be no cross-examination based on it. I recognized this is to say hereh things about Fr. Tunes, but it now seems not only that this was the time, but I have no other explanation of the resson.

Of course, A have many other things that relate. These, I think, may be of value in your understanding. Hence, I repeat in confidence, I want you to mow. I will, of course, answer any questions you have. I may be away for a day of two before then but will be home most of the time until the first of the month. I leave for two weaks then. I'll be on snother trip the end of March.

Sincerely,

Harold Reisberg

CYRIL H. WECHT, M. D., LL. B. 1417 FRICE BUILDING PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219

281-9090

FORENSIC PATHOLOGY LEGAL MEDICINE

January 12, 1968

Mr. Harold Weisberg Coq d'Or Press Route 7 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Thank you for your informative and interesting letter of 6 January 1968. I am happy to have the benefit of your thoughts, for I know that there are few people who have more knowledge and information about this whole matter than you.

I must confess that you have whetted my curiosity with many of your comments, and I do hope that I shall have an opportunity to meet with you some time in the near future in Washington, D.C. or possibly Pittsburgh. I would be most interested in learning more about the facts that you have alluded to, particularly those concerning the autopsy. As you might well imagine, the smug, self-satisfied defenders of the Warren Commission Report are always ready to challenge the validity of any critical comments made by others. Thus, any additional information that I might be able to obtain in helping to buttress my criticism of the autopsy would be most helpful and appreciated.

It is regretful that your confidence in various people has been betrayed on several occasions, and I am quite sure that you are right in saying that some people have merely plagiarized portions of your work for the purpose of publishing their own articles and books. However, perhaps it might allay your apprehension with regard to me somewhat if I were to tell you that I have absolutely no plans whatsoever to publish any articles or books on this matter. This is not because I am disinterested, but simply because I do not have the time to do so.

I hope that we shall continue to be in touch with each other, and I very much hope that I shall have an opportunity to meet with you in the near future so that I can learn more about the information that you have compiled to be included in your fifth book.

With kind regards.

Sincerely,

Cyril H. Wecht, M.D., LL.B.

CHW/jjs