Dear Ed,

**指据第** 

Appreciate your phoning after Cyril's show. If personally I am disappointed in him saying what he did in 1972, as I would not have been based on what we knew in 1968, frankly, for other reasons that as of today I think more important, I'm glad he did. I think it reduces even further his chance to see the stuff now.

On book approaches: I have made the kind of proposal you think might have some slight possibility. I have followed it with a second, to a personal friend, for consideration should this one not work. I think that the sensationalism in what I have proposed is inherent, not requiring sensationalist (apologies to Belin) treatment. I think less separates our views now. If I am not happy with my lot, I see no acceptable alternative.

Should you get a copy of Kaiser in West, JP sent it. I've asked them for time for

response and said a bit of what proposed to say.

JB also sent Janeway's Atlantic review Vantage Foint. It just occured to me how close to completely honest LBJ was in the title, Unly left two letters out, Ad-. In odd moments I've been reading Khruschev Remembers. Pespite Crankshaw, I find it fairly honest and brutally frank. Comapred to LBJ, he is the incorruptible. I've seen

no professional comparisons, natch. I've not seen the New Sthandria yet. Understud it is on Bundy.

I find such things as Esquire has done difficult to understand. Perhaps after I read the piece I may. But one possibility is that, with a decision on an evidentiary hearing pending, they may theh claim credit.

Been typing too much today. Thanks for everything.

est,