

Editor, Book World  
The Washington Post  
1150 15 St., NW  
Washington, DC. 10071

4/30/95

On the very day the Post, belatedly, acknowledges editorially how harmful it was for "government processes" to "create and keep running" what was <sup>di</sup> <sup>4</sup> ~~so~~ <sup>4</sup> ~~seriously~~ wrong in Viet Nam, Book World sees to it that there is no ~~in~~ end to it with regard to the second greatest cause of national disenchantment and disillusionment, the JFK assassination.

To be sure of succeeding Book World chose to review Norman Mailer's prostitution of himself along with our history, a self-important-subject-matter ignoramus who, after reading what is really Mailer's Tale, does not ask himself how any longwinded ego-maniac could write 828 pages on the assumption, no more, that Oswald was the assassin.

Not only does Mailer offer ~~not~~ <sup>Joseph</sup> proof- and if Funder was at all familiar with Mailer's public record he would have known that Mailer always ~~assumed~~ <sup>assumed</sup> Oswald's guilt without question - and from his own disgracefully self-descriptive bibliography has not read a single one of the books that with the official evidence only prove that Oswald was not the assassin and that this was known to all the official investigators.

I am quite prepared to face any challenge from you <sup>or</sup> from Funder on this. In fact, I invite it.

Ignorance and prejudice being what the Post requires for such reviews, Funder justifies the Post's confidence in this by praising Mailer for his use of "One of his major sources" that ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> Funder's words is "the Warren Commission's investigation." The fact is that whether or not that part of this shameful book is Mailer's own work, which I doubt very much, the deliberateness with which he corrupts what he uses and the dishonesty of what he omits is, again Funder's words, "something only Mailer could have pulled off."

Unless Oswald was the assassin, and all the actual official evidence proves more than that he was not - that he could not have been - there is no point in the rerendered schmalz of his life in Minsk. There is nothing but meaningless detail ~~that~~ <sup>added</sup> for all his contact with the people of ~~Minsk~~ <sup>Minsk</sup> and the KBG there <sup>that</sup> Mailer adds to what ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> public. And even then he omits what utterly destroys the fiction he has been toying with for decades.



He will tell you that while we have disagreements I have never given him a bun/steer and that nothing he got from me and used was ever inaccurate. Moreover, I practise the right of the people to know as you resolutely refuse to. I give free and unsupervised access to all those who write what I know I will not agree with to all the hundreds of thousands of pages of once-withheld official records I got from many FOIA lawsuits of which the government stonewalled for a decade and more. They also have unsupervised access to our copier.

. Despite the refusal of the Post to review a single one of my books. In fact, the reviewer wrote a favorable review of my first and it was killed.

I add a personal criticism: there are few issues more important to the integrity of our society <sup>than</sup> and the JFK assassination and its official investigations. And, you, personally, suppressed reviews of books based only on the official evidence that disprove ~~make~~ the official mythology.

Is this how you meet your responsibilities in a society like ours? Or the Post does?  
When I met Mailer in 1973 at a gather of <sup>ING</sup> the assassination nuts I offered him access to all I have. That includes all the records I obtained and all my work on the Commission's unpublished documents as well as what it published. He said he'd think it over. He cites not one <sup>document</sup> in all 828 pages.

Sorry about my typing. I'm sorry it cannot be any better.