

George Lardern, newsroom
The Washington Post
1150 15 St., NW
Washington, DC 20071

11/2/93

Dear George,

Anne impressed us both favorably. We like her. She is a fine, able and intelligently working young woman. When she left she said she believes you and Pincus should come up. I suggest that if you do you bring her because she can not do the searching and consult the indexes for you and do the copying while you read. There is a table with the files one can use and an old hospital bed table on wheels the other can use. Also an old portable.

I fear you will not be able to go as you would like to about Mexico but I think an alternative is possible, the entirely improper withholdings of what you seek that I think you can get Reno interested in perhaps through Carl Stern. Jim Lesar has duplicates of all my appeals. He can tell you whether there is any real chance that Anne could locate those in his files of them as he has them filed. That will identify all the messages and with them all the explanations and short memo attachments. Going along with this I have a deposition of Phillips in a civil suit in which as I recall he testified, with CIA people present, that he read six transcripts of Oswald intercepts and had a source inside the Cuban embassy or consulate. Those are not properly withheld under the phony claim then, of national security now and with what Reno has said publicly I think if she is asked nothing is to be lost. The CIA does not claim to have destroyed more than tapes.

On the tapes, knowing that one was flown by Rudd to Dallas and first paraphrased and then transcribed for HQ that very night, I think she should be asked for the disclosure of their content and for the records on what happened to that tape. On this, there was a cabinet outside the regular Dallas files in which they kept such things that did not get run through the central indexes. I identified it in a lawsuit. I have the Dallas inventory it never expected a devil loving scripture to see and I believe it is in that. I am inclined not to believe that this info is lost in the mass of current releases.

There is no way of knowing whether the FBI kept those appeals but if they did I'll give you a release if you want to ask for those relating to this.

It also is not impossible that someone going through the files of my releases, which often were multiple with the subject-matter stated in the upper left on the first page, might find them. If Anne did not have the time to check the processing worksheets to locate these records, that should not take long because I think the first was numbered something like 200+ and with some with an added "a."

I do think that the early-days approach is likely to be the most substantial of those now possible and I think you already have some new and exciting stuff on that. Including some I intend to use in a book you'll beat with it. Best,

On your 105-82555, WFO-Director of 11/19/63, I think it is ^{my} important for you and Pincus to bear in ^{mind} that in its internal records, too, the FBI identifies wiretaps and bugs as live informers with a separate, detachable sheet telling HQ the truth and identifying the ^m. But even these identifications can be by a number only that HQ will understand.

I think it is not unlikely that the source, from the page 2 content, ~~may~~ ^{it} have been a CIA wiretap or bug, in the Cuban or Russian ^{consulates}, and that they existed has been publicly officially admitted and the national security or international relations exemption cannot legitimately be claimed.

There are few clues in the 72-2382-388 records, from San Diego. I gave Anne the lists of file classification subjects by number. I think it is significant that this HQ record has no filing indicating any JFK assassination relationship. I'm inclined to believe it may be from a tickler and that it ~~it~~ relates to the CIA's efforts to off Castro through the mob. The inclusion of Vegas can indicate that this was related to the Balletti nabbing with the bugs there. It is interesting that some of the files are indicated as of racial matters, the RM. But not necessarily in San Diego. The number obliterated there with the ^{b2} ^{7D} claim begins with 137 and has a ^{dash} and four additional digits, with a letter or two. ^{Like "RM"} ^h That is the file on its informer. This can also be true of the b2 and 7D claims to withhold the HQ file number. With all 14 copies accounted for it may be that this is a copy made for a tickler by an agent in Rm 5161. That would have been in the new building and the number of the room can indicate the branch of interest.

Best,

Harold

FBI

Date: 11/4/76

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (72-2382)
(ATTN: SUPERVISOR [REDACTED] ROOM 5151, DIVISION 6) *7C*

FROM: SAC, SAN DIEGO (72-46) (P)

fb
① ROSKIL
OOJ
OO: MIAMI

THE BELOW INFORMATION SHOULD BE HANDLED
IN A MOST CIRCUMSPECT MANNER AND UNLESS
SOURCE IS WELL CONCEALED, REPORTED ONLY
ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE PAGES OF THE REPORT
IN WHICH IT IS USED. INFORMATION FROM
THIS INFORMANT IS NOT TO BE DISCLOSED
OUTSIDE THE BUREAU AS IT MIGHT COMPROMISE
HIM AND PLACE HIM IN JEOPARDY.

(X)

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 170 7100

- ③ - Bureau (RM)
 - ② - 72-2382 *b2 7D*
 - (1 - [REDACTED])
- 2 - Chicago (info) (RM)
 - (1 - 72- ROSKIL)
 - (1 - 92- LCN)
- 1 - Cleveland (92-869) (info) (RM)
- 1 - Las Vegas (92-467) (info) (RM)
- 1 - Los Angeles (72-185) (info) (RM)
- 2 - Miami (92-517) (RM)
- 4 - San Diego
 - (2 - 72-46)
 - (1 - 92-229) (LCN)
 - (1 - [REDACTED]) *b2 7D*

EX-113

REC-26

72-2382-388

21 NOV 6 1976

7C
[REDACTED]
(14) *1-Rm 5161 70*

NOV 11 1976

XEROX

MECHANICAL

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

84 MAR 7 1977

FBI

Date: 11/19/63

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plain text or code)

AIRTEL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (105-82555)

Handwritten initials

SAC, WFO (105-37111) (RUC)

SECRET

Handwritten initials

LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS - R
SOBIR
(OO:DL)

Handwritten signature

Re cablegram to Director from Legat, Mexico City,
10/18/63, captioned "LEE OSWALD, IS - R."

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- 4 - Bureau
- 1 - Legat, Mexico City (Info)
- 2 - Dallas (100-10451) (RM)
- 1 - New Orleans (100-15041) (Info) (RM)
- 1 - WFO

Classified by 7040D7/677
Exempt from GDS, Category 243
Date of Declassification Indefinite

105-82555-78

WRM:rfm
(8)

REC-56

NOV 19 1963

AIRTEL

Handwritten routing slip with multiple 'X' marks

SECRET

Handwritten signature and initials

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

WFO 105-37111

SECRET

The informant stated OSWALD is married to MARINA NICHILAYEVA OSWALD, a Soviet citizen, and has a new daughter, AUDREY MARINA OSWALD, born 10/20/63, Dallas, Texas. OSWALD's address is known to informant as Box 6225, Dallas, Texas.

An additional copy of airtel sent Bureau for transmittal to Legat, Mexico City and one copy being sent New Orleans for information.

Should information from informant be utilized in any communication prepared for dissemination, it must be suitably paraphrased to protect source and classified "Confidential" due to sensitive nature of investigative technique used and since it reveals investigative interest in a foreign diplomatic establishment.

Information being furnished Dallas for whatever action deemed necessary since that Office is origin and status of investigation unknown to WFO

SECRET

Liebeler subsequently made a minor revision in the format. On 7 August 1964 and final version of the affidavit was hand carried to Mr. This is the document that appears in the Commission Both CIA affidavits were prepared in the office of the CIA counsel. Throughout the entire dealings of this Agency with Liebeler regarding the photograph, there were no unusual occurrences other than the fact that Liebeler preferred more details made public whereas we adhered to our policy of not divulging classified information that could endanger the security of the United States or impair our operations and relations with other countries.

7. The FBI wrote a letter to the Commission on 4 May 1964, which itemized information regarding Oswald in the FBI's possession up to 22 November 1963, the date of the assassination. Paragraph 58 mentions the CIA release dated October 10, 1963, which alerted the FBI, Department of State, and Department of Navy to Lee Oswald's contact with the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City on October 1, 1963. Paragraph 61 describes a "cablegram to this Bureau from our Legal Attache in Mexico dated October 18, 1963, which furnished information from CIA classified "Secret - Not To Be Further Disseminated," reporting that Lee Oswald had contacted Soviet Vice Consul Valeriy V. Kostikov of the Soviet Embassy, Mexico City, Mexico, on September 28, 1963. Our Legal Attache indicated he was following this matter with CIA and was attempting to establish Oswald's entry into Mexico and his current whereabouts." (Commission Report, Volume 17, pages 811, 812). No mention is made of the photograph in this FBI letter to the Commission for the obvious reason that it did not possess the same before the assassination.

~~SECRET~~