Mr. Ron Kessler Newsroom Washington Post 1150 15 St., NW Wash., D.G. 20005

Dear Ron.

1. Sec. 1. Sec. 1.

AND THE PARTY OF T

Thanks for some first-grade bad and unprincipled journalism. I think your story of the 26th will help - other than as those who used you intended.

The same is probably true of the Goshko and of the disinformation operations of two weeks earlier. The one of which you said the Post was not proud.

Maybe your source did not tell you this is not a new story. It isn't. I filed an FOIA request on it long ago, as I had for what includes the supposed basis of the Goshko piece.

I found it interesting that you quoted Belin three days after you admitted he was the wrong guy to ask. As I found it interesting that you did not find it easy to mention Coleman when I asked if you know the right gays.

I debated Belin once, a year and ten days ago. At the end he was still refusing to demand a new investigation. Then he finished my newest book and less than three days after the refusal he was all over TV and the papers in making the demand.

He was well suited to head Lawyers for Mixon.

From your story he was less than honest with you. We has records that say the opposite of what you said about where Oswald was allegedly seen in Mexico -with ubans. Of course I'd rather believe it is he who was less than honest.

He has all the records of the pseudonym  $^{m}D^{m}$  in the Schweiker report. D is one Alvaredo Ugarte.

It takes a big paper to make secrets out of non-secrets, a big Semator and a big reporter.

How I know why you were not interested in my offer to check your story for you.

There is a could aspect your source is probably enjoying more than I am — those Dick Tracys running down to Mexico when planes could bring a typist and a translator up and there could be a record.

If you are interested in a long shot I have one for how it will end. With precedent.

This precedent is such more recent but in time you and the Post will come to understand that you are reliving 1964, without having learned from it or carring to.

Or from realising that if you do not others will.

Sincerely.

Harold Reisberg