Dear Jim, Post as propagandist-what today's newest leak can mean to us 11/26/76

While I've bean expecting more of this kind of Goebbels operation today's story is not what I expected. I've not even filed the file I started 11/13 when we were in Stevens Point.

I don't know what the story really says. It is too early for the paper.

First I heard a very brief account a little after 3, when I awakened and went back to sleep. When I awakened again about 5 and did get up I heard an item a little longer, by coincidence the first item on waking. The second one says that the CIA's electronic surveillance caught LHO offering the Russian information in return for a trip to Russia.

Those Post reporters and editors sure are the keenest! They ask all the searching questions, reason solidly and are determined not hat to be had again, as they were on the 11/13 story. So the double-check, consult dependable sources, etc. Lile Keeler told me, they're not proud of the 11/13 guiling.

So when he went to Mexico to make a deal with the Russian to get to Russia Osweld wanted to go by way of Cuba, perhaps the only place in the western world from which it was hard to get to Russia?

What priceless information he had! All the darkest secrets he learned while greating coffee machines. Until he graduated to estensible unemployment. "an't you imagine the secrets he learned in daytime prowling of the area of Canal and Camp in N.O.? The Russkies were crasy not to accept that offer, weren't they?

No wonder the Fost went with the story. Or that Kenler, in his lack of gride in his sheet, had Volume 26 epened to CE 2952 when he phoned me the other night, the Buover letter that says the most diligent PBI investigation disclosednot even an indirect LAO intelligence connection or political one lith the Cubans of their friends.

Kesler, by the way, in a synthetic would-be old-time reporter who will do anything for what he considers a scoop. We does not give a damn is he can get it printed and he has told no that in the past. We wants to do investigative reporting. Remember the rotten thing he did with "arper on RFK and what he would not use and the retraction the Post would not print when he obviously said what apper could not have said?

On the face this is an unreasonable, illogical story. There is no way if it were legitimate that the Russians would not have said this at or shortly after the time of the crime, when the world had cooled some. It would for them have ended once and for all the US, particularly the UIA effort, to make it look like the immedians were behind it, what scared the hell out of LBJ, with CIA help-read Phillips.

Whether the CIA would have kept its silence is not as certain but I t ink it unlikely, given their political interests of the time. There was also the great risk in not telling both the FBI and the Commission, cortainly Johason. LBI was worried about people thinking he was bekind it. He and Russell had a discussion along this line. Russell told him suspoicus would never end.

I do not believe it is likely that this secret would have been kept. It is a fake, even if they come up with the intercept in LHO's voice. Remeber, I have this covered several ways with the CIA- with an all-inclusive request and with a separate one that I then wrote further about when CIA tried to ignore it, saying it was because I did not want to wait until some time in the remote future when they complete what-ver they call a review of all their records. O instead they months later lwak it to the uncritical Post/

On which "esler tells me he alone is now assigned the the JFK story.

The first such lesk convinced me that the time is now for filing for danages from non-compliance. I know the question is time. As it is with their destruction that is up-coming

These two leaks within a fortnight should be of help before Green and Robinson, in different ways. Defore Robinson on the question of security classification, observance

and genuineness; before Green on the probabilities of what she has done to me, which we can't do that way directly but can in terms of what the government does and its cost to me and to the country.

This is one of the more branen propagands operations. I feet there is no element of the press that will either say so or even look into it. No was unwilling for the Times to do it with the so-called Hoover memo. That is, he did not see them doing it when I told him there is such a story and how obvious it is and easy to get the proof.

It is fascinating that all this is leaked to the Post. Whether it has a special position of the spooks think the Times will be more critical I've not stopped to think about. That it happens after my fairly strong complaint to Katy Graham, one that a decent publisher would be asking some questions a out, also is interesting because I've heard from none of them.

Sidebars during the briadcast to Schnectady "ouday might, to a show with a thought-ful moderator, he arranged it first so that Howard and I could talk during canned commercials and the news and them so Bob Saltsman could join us and not be aired, for about 10 minutes during the news. Sultsman said that he and Howard Simons, Post managing editor, went to the same college at different times, that he had an article in the alimni magazine, that Simons read it and invited him down. The be repeated soon. When he got there he was maked into the executive suite, near of next to "raham's office, into a famoy conference room. All the editors were there to meet with him, I think he said from the morning to about one. He did name some. I recall Bradlee and Simons. They have all this interest and don't talk to us? As I remember it Saltsman said he wrote about computerized information retrieval on the JFK assassination and its possibilities, what he has proposed to the new House committee. (I cautioned him about the past in the garbage—piles of the mind on this.)

I could conjecture endlessly on mative, on what someone hopes to accomplish by all of this. The correlation with the new committee can't be ignored. Neither leak seems designed to deter continuation of the committee. It can be argued that either, more both, will be powerful reason for the House continuing the committee. In turn this means what one ordinarily would not expect, that the spocks want the committee continued. I'm not going into this because long ago I said that with the Downings and Consulerse, later Fauntroys in charge the spocks can use this once and for all to cleanse themselves, as with sever drainings. I'm saying it because it is the fact. If along the Schweiker line, which means ultimate spock exculpation.

It hursh no game agency now to confess that some underling failed in his obligations. I can see CIA throwing Helms into the meatgrinder, the PHI those who were in Hoover's disfavor.

I am saying that both agencies have much to hide and this leads away from what they want to hide. There can, ultimately, be a followap on this, back to square one, that LHO was irrational. Of course he had no secrets and thus could not have made such a deal.

One can even see that this could be sixed at detente and reduced military expenditures.

But it certainly is aimed at the present mituation and it certainly does establish damage to me with my FOIA re quests of both agencies covering all and for lo keng having been entirely ignored.

I wrote the foregoing before daylight, before the paper came. It is here about 7. I got it and sure enough (I've not yet read it) there is Ron Keeler's by-line on page 1. I turned the TV on to pick the early news up. I get CBS from "eltimore now that WTOP tapes it and aires ti an hour later. Iswitched from channel to channel at the opening and learned that ABC has ages live from London later in the hoyr, so I'll have to monitor it for the coming hour. The lead news item from Washongton on CBS attributes this to Phillips via AP, with mention of the Post. So Ig guess instant analysis is still possible. ABC carried the item attributed to the Post only. **ne'll see if anyone make why Phillips waited so long to speak out and how this fits his "defense" of the CIA.

FOIA again: you realise that the CIA is supposed to have complied with my requests about a year ago, giving me what is supposed to be all the Hexico stuff, without any record indicating any such thing and with much from hillips of an entirely different nature, one inconsistent with his silence on this, one is which his name is in every case maked. Memember my references to the script for a Seven Days in November? That my stuff. I think I gave you a set of those when I had them duplicated. They begin with the number 1 in their original numbering system, now superceded. However, this is what they gave the Rockefeller Commission, so there is a hell of a story in that quite separately - that the second Presidential commission had all these leads in the area of its mendate and did nothing.

SELECTION DE LA CONTENENT DE CO

Afted Ages - with him on a live hookup David Hartmann and Steve Bell asked only pointed, antagomistic questions designed to suggest to listeners that he was a KGB or other agent but nee one question about this morning's p. ! story when his book, to which they refer, says he was assigned to Serios City when in the CIA. Great reporting there, too!

I've read the Post story. There is much wrong with it. If I have time before the mail with what else I want to do I'll add it, otherwise I'dd do that later and separately.

The AP did not see fit to include this story in its B wire from our local paper, which generally prints all it provides on this subject.

Not a leading nows item on second half HBC's today Show. But they are having a segment on how you can determine you cat's samity. Cats being more important than government, newspapers and people in both.

No mention in the 10 minutes of news that followed. It would up with a repeat on tge Concorde from last evening's net TV news.

Lounie, who is about to be eased out - says today may be his last - will watch for wire copy to the degree he is able to.

No time for full analysis but assuming this is truthful, why would the CIA destroy the tape and edit the transcript if it had no LHL connection? Why would Kessler not mak? Why does he avoid saying that "hillips was statoom objef at the time of the assausination and beginning almost immediately after the alleged intercept?

I recall no such transcript in the WC's files. Maybe it is still withhold. Why does Kessler not go into this? It would strengthen his story because he would find that it is withheld at the CIA's request. Probably with a claim to "mational security" or "intelligence sources" after the fact of those interceptions is public and my FOIA

Only an irrational man would have phoned the Aussian Embassy in advance with an offer like this when he could have walked in, as he did, and then have made the offer. Maybe this ascounts for the alleged destruction of the tape.

Kessler asked me, when he phoned, if I thought Duran could have been a CIA agent. I said I did not know but that the Cla's effort to prevent the serious Mexican police harrassment of her could be taken to indicte this. And that all her connections were left. His interview adds nothing to the public record. What it omits is dishonest- told LHO he was an anemy of the revolution.

Quote also the initial wrong name for LHO

No mestion that the FRI was in on this in McCy from the first on the assessmention itself. Its legal attache was stationed in the same station, both in Usenbassy.

Interesting that he quotes belie in a deliberate lie when I told him in advance that this was not Belin's area and asked if he knew whose it was, he said Slavson. I added Coleman and he said yes. So he does not interview or quote either Coleman or Slavnon and quote Belia in a deliberate lie. As head of the Rockefeller Commission Belia saw the C.A's Mexico City cable and related communications. He has since asked the CIA for them. I have shat he has. So both ways he knows it is a lie "that the CIA did not tell the Warren Commission of the report from an alleged witness to a meeting in Marico City between Oswald and Cuban intelligence agents." This is the "D" ot Alvaredo garte story and is in the commission's files as it is in the CIA's rlease to Belin, men, and I'msure others.

Schweiker also lied. This was out during the lifts of his subcommittee, if the alleged contexts were not. Hastily,