

"The Comming Tower" rejected with a printed card by NYTimes Op-ed 10

Rt. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701  
5/8/75

Dear George,

While the enclosed piece was written some time ago, I send it because you are working on CIA and on the off chance it might interest the op ed page. It includes a line of reasoning I do not recall seeing in any paper.

There is increasing interest in the spectre/NAA suit. I <sup>am</sup> hearing from other reporters on it.

And now that I have a little more energy, I'm going over my records and refreshing my memory.

It is refreshing.

I do not believe that the FBI will, under any circumstances, repeat in court or under oath what they told Jim Lesar and me in the meeting I wanted them and us to tape. (They refused.)

Nor do I think that they will in court or under oath attest that they have given me 100% of what I filed for.

If they do it will be perjurious.

Not only because of what I told you, that they gave me what includes proof they had more.

This is true. They do have what they did not give, from what they did give.

But there is something much more serious.

I'll have to wait and see what happens before the 5/21 hearing, if anything. They are supposed to make some responses under oath.

And then I'll have to see what happens at the hearing.

If there is more hanky-panky I may then be more explicit.

If Jim Lesar agrees.

This time I'll be in court. I have no way of knowing whether the judge will want to hear from me.

The day of the hearing is roughly the 10th anniversary of the beginning of my efforts to get this particular evidence from the FBI.

Who knows? My patience may run out for the anniversary.

I am preparing something that may make part of what I have received more comprehensible. I do not know how long it will take. My facilities and time are limited.

I expect it to be a dramatic proof of deliberate fakery.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg