

W&Post

Dear George,

6/7/75

The perpetual Pollyanna in me takes comfort from two of the past week's inclusions in the Post.

One is the great editorial on assassinations as a weapon of diplomacy.

The other is the story you did on the affidavit I filed in C.A.226-75.

I'm quite pleased that there is a record outside dusty and rarely consulted court files.

Actually, in the changed climate and from private contacts I do expect more in this case. At least outside the court and from other than the executive agencies.

I know you were pressed for time so I don't know if you had time to read the affidavit yourself. I did allege perjury and I did ask the unwilling judge to do something about it.

My proofs, in this case not attached, are documentary and official.

For the moment I'm leaving it this way, leaving the next step up to the government and the judge. I've taken one other step that until there is a fair chance for response I think should remain confidential.

Leaving it this way relates to the charges of the affidavit only. I have gone farther. I have reduced another aspect to photographs. I will be using them publicly in less than a week and at a time too late for the Post.

In my opinion these photographs are definitive proof of FBI fakery in the essential parts of the JFK assassination investigation. This fakery is deliberate and involved Hoover. He had to have been witting if not dictating it.

I'll be using these pictures and what I believe relevant at a conference this coming weekend at Maryland. Some young people are getting together in an approach that is what mine has been from the first so I want to help them in every way I can. This can be one way. To encapsulate it, they want to make the system work and they have even asked Congress people to come and counsel them on how to do it. At the same time they are refusing to do any "conspiracy theorizing" and have none of it scheduled from anyone.

The cost of making the pictures, that is prints, is a factor for me. I have a set of excellent 8x10 glossys and 4x5 negatives. I'll be having some prints made. If the Post is interested, you can have a set, in advance but not to be used until the morning following the public use.

Because I'll be using some documents with these pictures, I may do a rough draft of part of what I'll say to be sure I say what I intend to. This part will give meaning to the overall, ~~as~~ why there was the faking, the need for it and how it was done.

It is not complicated and on any other subject I believe it would be regarded as definitive enough. You are familiar with some of this from our previous conversations.

These pictures show the the FBI, knowing better, dug up the wrong piece of subbstone. An alternative is that the right curbstone was taken and then patched. Whichever is true, they then proceeded to test the wrong part, knowing it was the wrong part. The results (pl) are among those documents withheld from us and referred to in the affidavit. These are both microscopic and spectrographic examinations. I have a document or two proving they were made.

If your desk is interested, will you please let me know so I can have whatever

it may want ready? If there is interest and they'd like you or someone else to come up, we can make copies of other relevant documents here as well as several I intend to use. Until I get to writing I will not know exactly what I'll use and some of what I'm getting, not from Justice, is being copied for me now. You can have all of it.

However it is handled or angled, it is quite a story on Hoover. Pretty Byzantine, even for him.

As you now know I was right on the certainty of page proofs of the Rockefeller Commission Report. My belief came from a fairly exhaustive study I made of how these things work, with all the secret stuff from the inside as my basic source. I have more than 75,000 words of the draft of part of a book on it that I did years ago and had to lay aside. In recent years I've been looking for a candidate for an advanced degree in political science who might be interested in a thesis that would also be a book. One that would be useful even to sophisticated and experienced reporters. And one of the reasons I've been longing for foundation support. There are quite a few such studies some of which also hold commercial prospect as well as scholarly and social values.

I don't think you can begin to imagine how close we were to what would have amounted to no Warren Report at all, to nothing at all like what was published. The difference was in the White House. Warren was on both sides at different times. He wound up doing what he was told to do.

Now that at least temporarily the Report is delayed, I'd sure like to see a good piece on how the Commission was used for political purposes - all those leaks the effects of which can't be undone.

I'd appreciate the return of the piece titled The Conning Tower. I may submit it elsewhere. After Larry Mayer's piece on official complaints about the FOI law I tried without success to learn if Weyelin could be interested in what this really betokened. It is part of a campaign to nullify the law again without legislative authority. In a way I also address this in that affidavit.

Best,