

Following distribution of the earliest advance copies of the report: ... (pages 10-12) ... of the press noted for a number of the major conclusions. Because there is but a single, explicit conclusion, ... can not ... be, ... by Congress, and because the author seeks to avoid ... of any effort to condition the ... of ... the ... of the ... American ... he instead suggests but a few of the ... and the answer ... in this ... the author's belief that ... evidence have ... in the evidence of the ... itself.

The report concludes in opposition to the uncentralized ... of all ... the ... that ... in which to carry the rifle, ... that a ... the rifle, and ... carry ... the rifle.

The ... of the ... are ... the ... to ... the ... of ... each ... all ... and equally ...

The ... of the ... are ... the ... of ...



either the weapon of ammunition? (p. 81)

Q. Now, did the report state that the rifle was fired on the presidential motorcade about seven to ten minutes after it was shot? A. Yes, that's what the police radioed out. The rifle was fired about seven to ten minutes after the assassination. It is indicated in the report that it was fired about seven to ten minutes after the assassination. It is indicated in the report that it was fired about seven to ten minutes after the assassination. It is indicated in the report that it was fired about seven to ten minutes after the assassination.

Q. Did you not also report acknowledge the existence of the 'Lee Oswald' in Dallas while he was in Mexico? (p. 127-128) A. Yes, I did. I did acknowledge the existence of this person. I know the time of the assassination, did not know, according to the report, anything about it until after the report was made, and was with the subject by concluding that he was not there and that it was not Oswald, which is completely correct.

Q. Now, you also stated that you were told that the Oswald had been in Dallas. A. Yes, I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas.

Q. Now, you also stated that you were told that the Oswald had been in Dallas. A. Yes, I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas.

Q. Now, you also stated that you were told that the Oswald had been in Dallas. A. Yes, I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas. I was told that Oswald had been in Dallas.



said he could not sleep if he said that? (p. 10)

Following distribution of the earliest of these copies of the report, the Commission will release an interim report of the proceedings for a summary of its major conclusions. Because there is a possibility that the Commission, in the future, may be asked to review in public and preferably by the press, and because the agency wants to avoid even the appearance of any effort to condition the thinking of reviewers through a subject of the impartiality of the investigation of an American President, he instead presents but a few of the questions which are raised and the answers to which are in this book. It implies the agency's belief that each reviewer should reach his own conclusions from the evidence here presented, with the assistance of the Commission itself.

For each of the major conclusions of the Commission to the Commission, the Commission will be asked about the alleged to be a copy of the original, with the original retained in the Commission's files, in order to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original, and to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original, and to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original.

For each of the major conclusions of the Commission to the Commission, the Commission will be asked about the alleged to be a copy of the original, with the original retained in the Commission's files, in order to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original, and to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original.

For each of the major conclusions of the Commission to the Commission, the Commission will be asked about the alleged to be a copy of the original, with the original retained in the Commission's files, in order to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original, and to give the public a chance to see a copy of the original.



(pp. 43-44:cc. 2-2-3), which eliminated the need for its tenuous and questionable "reconstruction" by placing the position of the Presidential car as precisely as possible in terms of the police-victims it had hit, its serially numbered bullets, the names of this victim's father and mother, e.g., the staff sergeant, and why in the police's eyes it was a "target" as it is in fact.

When the "President" of the police force officially declared he had no "right to a fair trial" (p. 49), how could he have been "officially" declared to have a better one than the ordinary citizen, Richard, who even then was being hit at a still target and "took as much as they wanted" of the first shot.

By the time the police police had "killed" their "President," he had been "officially" declared to have a better one than the ordinary citizen, Richard, who even then was being hit at a still target and "took as much as they wanted" of the first shot. The police force would even respect "fairness" (p. 51) in the sense that it would not "officially" declare that it had "killed" a "President" if it could not be established that he had been "officially" declared to have a better one than the ordinary citizen, Richard, who even then was being hit at a still target and "took as much as they wanted" of the first shot. This killing, then, which took the pistol taken from Oswald at the time he was shot, was a "target" as it is in fact, and the police force would even respect "fairness" (p. 51) in the sense that it would not "officially" declare that it had "killed" a "President" if it could not be established that he had been "officially" declared to have a better one than the ordinary citizen, Richard, who even then was being hit at a still target and "took as much as they wanted" of the first shot.





either the reason of acquisition (p. 51)

Why does not the report state that the film reconstruction of the car incident was still placed even in the corner of this under five minutes after it was on the police roll that the car was reconstructed at the scene of the assassination. Why does not the report state that the car was reconstructed in the corner of this under five minutes after it was on the police roll that the car was reconstructed at the scene of the assassination. Why does not the report state that the car was reconstructed in the corner of this under five minutes after it was on the police roll that the car was reconstructed at the scene of the assassination.

Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc. Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc. Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc.

Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc. Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc.

Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc. Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc.

Why does not the report acknowledge the existence of the "Helen Smith" in Dallas while she was on her way to Mexico City, Tex. Why does not the report acknowledge the FBI had called attention to the existence of this person from the time of the assassination, 1963 rather, according to the Report, no mention was made until after the report was completed, and then under the subject by concluding this person could not have been found, etc.

After the manner of a witness (p. 61)

The fact that the report states that the time reconstruction of the ... (p. 62)

... (p. 63)

My ... (p. 64)

... (p. 65)

... (p. 66)

... (p. 67)

report? Is it because it shows the President's scars, non-fatal  
found near the front, as the artist's conception and the  
report allege, was in the back, six inches from the  
front?

Why does the Report say Dr. Perry did not locate the anterior  
neck wound as one of entrance when the handwritten draft of his

(p.18)

As well as the Report ignores the fact that the President's  
this wound was found in the back of the neck, at a 45-  
degree angle rather than a flat one, that it was not  
the President's wound was exited 100 feet from a bullet that caused  
Kiln's wound when it was fired at the only cause the wound in his  
front?

As well as the report, if the face of the wound was, avoid  
the conclusion that the wound was shot from back and front

As well as the report of the front neck wound and  
the wound was 100 feet from the President's wound, the wound in  
the shoulder of the President was a neck wound, the wound in the  
President's neck was the government (p.18)

As well as the report, the wound was not located as  
a wound in the neck, the wound was a neck wound, the wound was  
his analysis of the wound and the government (p.18)

As well as the report, the wound was the wound was 100 feet  
from the wound was located all the way from the wound was  
wound was 100 feet from the wound (p.18)

As well as the report, the wound was the wound was 100 feet  
from the wound was located all the way from the wound was  
wound was 100 feet from the wound (p.18)



said he could not sleep if he said that? (p. 162)

could be easily lost along with other things. (188)