4/13/66 Saw Friendly for about 5 minutes just before four. Showed him FBI rpt. It turns out he has considerably less factual knowledge of the Report that he indicated to FBI record to FBI record the history of the Convally wounds, as presented in the Report. I exclaimed what the Commission said to him. He did recall the "missed" bullet and could see that was not in the FBI report. But we had such a short time, I doubt if he really understands even this one thing, simile as it is to those fimiliar with the fact.

Miss Green was envious to read the book, so I gave her the copy I had for F. Then he referred me to Stern, I sought him. He was not in. I left word with the girl who seemed to be secretary in that section that Miss Green had the book. Miss G. started to read it while I was still there.

Tony Howard clairfied the "fear" Steve Parber said Bradlee had. According to Howard, the fear is that I have what Howard says I have and the Post may miss it.

Miss Green,

If Mr. Friendly is not in when this errives and if you think he may not be in for several days, and if in your judgement it does not seems like the wrong thing to do, whuld you mention the first two paragraphs to Mr. Bradlee:

Should be desire to see me, I can be there is not much more than an hour and can give him enough detail in as little as five minutes.

Thanks

20734

april 13, 1966

Hr. Alfred Triendly associate Editor The Cashington Post 1818 L. St., av (arbington, A.C.

Deer ir. Friendly.

Busy as you were, and with my car about to be towed away, we had only a few minutes today. I was able in this brief period to indicate only gone of the collatoral interest the fast might find in my book. There is enother serious one that I regard as sufficiently important to write you about even though you are busy. It involves the ismuedy family, which is innocently engaged in an enleavor which I feer will be a Something less than a crelib to the memory of the Transident, the two lens tors and possibly to the national honor.

Some of this is in the book. I mentioned it briefly to inthony Howard. If you stay busy, I should like the opertunity to discuss it with Eq., Bradlee who, unless my recoilection is in orror, rue a friend of the late irradicate. I can cover it sketchily in five minutes, adoptedly in ten.

It seems to me quite possible that considerably less abording that "on sieter indicated will satisfy you. For example, is it really necessary to check to see if I erred in saying Uswald did not unload his property when his wife moved from Her Urleens to Dellas: He was not with his wife and property but in May Orlanus, properting to leave for bexice. Or to check and see whether brine did say he all never ate brackfisst and b) always let her sleep late while he made his own. Even more minor points are carefully footnoted - for history, for credibility, and purbane over for another inquiry. On the other hand, there are things the are of real importance - such as J. Edgar Moover's report on the "missed" bullet end his completely false testimony that the sseasain did not shoot while the Fresidential dar was a preaching because there were trees in the way (whereas there was absolutely mothing), or the true story of the "found" bullet, and most of the itoms in the Thapter "The dectors and The Autopsy". I can help here, for my copies of the Peport and the appended volumes are marked in red China marker. If and when you get to there you went to ereck, my wife and I will work with our books and your people and find whatever they want. I believe we can do it faster. You Will, I am confident, find this a lose arthrus bask then you seem to enticipate.

After receiving your letter of March 25 1 spoke to herry Stern, before I saw you. He said he was still kept pretty busy and he had no idea when he could read the book. Ithough I found this lose than encouraging, as I had his failure to read it in ton weeks, whereas must of the senior and executive editors in the publishing houses read it within two days - some overnight - I readily agreed with you today, even though, as I said, this may be unfair to both him and me. at least I do hope you will accept this as an expression of willingness to subject the book to any reasonable test.

In The Fost's interest and mine, I hope he will be able to finish it at am faster rate. My offer to you extends to him: I will come in at any time and make anything clear or answer any questions or display and proofs.

To clarify what Tom Wicker told you, the book is under fevorable consideration at T.T. Morton, where the president read it rapidly and passed it on to the vice-president and executive editor, who has, from about Christman time, been anxious to do it. Others there, unknown to me, wondered if it was a fair representation or subject to easy fefutation. I was not informed of this until two weeks ago, when they confessed they were steking a person intimately familiar with the fact who had formed no opinion! Maturally, they failed to find such a mental cunuch. I suggested they question Mr. Ticker and several of the other correspondents and legislative applicants who had read it. This they did, and I am now waiting to hear from them.

Further, it is under consideration in England, where the decision was to have been made today. The prospective publisher had told me he found the book "intriguing" and was "enthusiastic" shout its prospects. He gave it to two university done to read. They were to report to him yesterday and there was to have been a board meeting today. I major Dritish paper has asked him for first refusal. They have told me they have it. I have ment them a copy they are now reading or have read. Their Washington bureau has already read and prelied the book. There are also other U.S. possibilities.

These are some of the things I had in mind is my provious letter in which I said that until I signed a contract I controlled the secondary rights. It is one of the reasons I am antious to have the fast read it before I sign a 0.8. contract.

. Not 1 no longer monder if the book will ever be responsibly printed. Instead I fear the timing, for ressons 1 indicated only briefly to you today. I am envious for it to be first, for 1 know what owner books are and say.

Sincerely

Turold Weisberg