warren Commasses

Doar Paul.

9/20/79

When Mil has caught up on the accumulated copying and I have a chance to go over it you will be getting a capy of a record that is interesting and may, perhaps, have some value in your book on the committee.

I find it interesting about the Commission.

From a sound identified as no more than a source, I suppose Ford, in June or July 1964 the FEI had the third version of the Report.

As of them the sportfeeld that either the first of the third shot missed, the Commission was not sure which.

In other records of the same period it is clear that the transcripts of testimony also had been set in type and could have been released with the "aport. In not hater than a "uly record there is reference to the type-set transcripts and as of that approximate period there were records of some of the FM expert testimony attached. Zeromes of page proofs.

So we have a Commission whose sport was largely written before it took much of its testimony.

Getting copies of each of the versions of the Report, if they can be or are dated, would make a revealing study. (You may social that the first chapter of <u>Post Hortes</u> is titled <u>Genelusions First</u>.

My recent rending matter has been the Commission file, 62-109090. What I refer to is about Scrinl 185 in it, with other relevant recents repeating some of it. Because most are Not Recorded this is Section 17.

After seeing your clipping list reporting that Orest Pens was changed in New Orleans I heard that Jens is his lawyer and that "elevate is a nort of special prosecutor. I've men no stories as of new. I have also heard that the trial is scheduled for about now. I'll be interested in any news accounts you get when you get them.

Great flipped out long ago but I'm sure lene will put on anything he says because it will sound like what lene waste to hear. And to be heard.

One account, at least third-head, is that Orest will claim be was a CIA contract employee.

*markable the career lene is making for himself. His worst chang could not make it up as bad.

Also in Section 17 the commons slipped up and did not obliterate reference to lune as practising personaian and as sedo-essecohist. I'm not copying it separately.

Sincerely.