WBBM

Jan. 6, 1967

Mr. Harold Weisberg,
c/o Channel 2 Television,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Sir:

I have just been watching At Random. I tuned in as an un-Informed (I knew two or three books had been written) and unprejudiced person. I was impressed with the logic of what you said, the clarity with which you said it and the manner in which you handled the "opposition". Because they were all opposition, (and the reason or reasons for that would make an interesing study.) It was obvious that, although these men may ~~be~~ possibly be authorities in their own fields, the combined weight of what they had to say on your subject was as a bit of thistledown.

I don't know whether it is the purpose of At Random to have the so-called panel "gang up" on the principal guest, to perhaps promote listener interest, or whether this was a spontaneous thing. I do want to say that you handled it extremely well. You really kept the various points in sharp focus, as opposed to Mr. Gertz, who made a great deal of being "objective" but really did nothing but object. If men with a reputation for intelligence, such as these men have, cannot be any more objective than that where truth is concerned, it is no wonder that so many things happen as they do.

Keep up the work. We need people like you, for the good of the country and for the good of those of us who have minds capable of reasoning but so often lacking facts upon which we can exercise that reason. It is easy, and so comfortable, to passively accept simple explanations of events. Thank you for shaking me out of that complacency.

Yours truly,
Names are unimportant