Editor Vogue Magazine 350 Madison Ave., New York, N.Y. 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Md. 21702 1/12/92

Dear Editor,

(First this apology for and explanation of my typing. Enfeebled at 78 I must keep my legs elevated when I am sitting. As a result I cannot which striking wrong kets. And I've been a hunt-and-peck typer since my earliest reporting days in the late 1920s. Not doubt this alone qualifies me for Andrew Kopkind's characterization of me as a "buff.")

In Vogue's January EXMER story on Oliver Stone's exploitation and commercialization of the assassination of President Kennedy andrew Lopkind, whose career in other pages if of JFK-bashing, flaunts the ignorance from which he writers, misleads your readers and maligns me. For anyone to undertake the kind of article Kopkind wrote the first prerequisite of responsible journalism is to know what you are writing about.

Kopkimd didn't and didn't bother to make as perfunctory a check as would be expected of a cub reporter.

His mention of me begins by referring to me as "one of the earliest of conspiracy buffs."

What I suppose makes me a "buff" is having been a reporter, an investigative reporter, a Senate investigator and editor and a professional intelligence analyst (OSS) before writing my six books on the JFK assassination and one on that of Martin Luther King, Jr., which are unique in not espousing any conspiracy theory.

Or, perhaps, I am whatever he means by a "buff" because I filed about a dozen lawsuits under the Freedom of Information Act, some precedental and one leading to the 1974 amending of the investigatory files exemption to open FBI, CIA and similar files, resulting in the dicslosure to me of about a third of a million pages of once-withheld government records.

It is the exact opposite of the truth that I "was for a time in amiable contact with" (Stone but "turned against the movie-maker get some theoretical deviation and attacked the JFK script in an interview with the Washington Post."

I am the one who started the exposure of Stone's exploitation and commercialization of the assassination when he did not respond to my February 8,1990 lengthy and detailed letter informing him that in basing his movie of Garrison's book he would be filming a "fraud and a travesty."

When I was in New Orleans trying to learn more about Lee Harvey Oswald I did from time to time have contact with Garrison that was "amicable" but toward the end I once expected him and the assistant district attorney with him to assault me for what I said and at the very end I prevented his planned obscenity of commemorating the fifth anniversary of the assassination by charging two more innocent men with being assassins.

One of these men, Robert L. Perrin, had killed himself, to Garrison's knowledge, 15 months before the murder with which Stone's hero was going to charge him!

If this is not what hopkind means by "some theoretical deviation," then perhaps it is that I decided to expose Stone's exploitation after his repeated proclamations that based on as thoroughly dishonest a book as I have read in 78 years he was going to record their history for the people, telling them who killed their President, why and how, his own words. I told him he could not possible do that with Garrison's book, provided some documentation, offered more and to respond to any questions he might ask.

My alleged "amicable contact" with Stone confisted of his never responding to any letter I wrote him.

He knew I had all those records I make freely available, he knew very well what I told him about Garrison, and he was either so certain it was true or so indifferent to decency and truthfulness that he did not dispute what I said or ask for any more.

When I was given a copy of a copy of a script that Stone himself gave away, in return for which Stone calls me a thief, and read that penny-dreadful, I gave it and access to my Garris Ton records to George Lardner.

The story was his. It was not what Kopkind says, an "interview."

And as Kopkind should have known from working with him at the Post, Lardner is not its "long-time Pemtagon correspondent".

It happens that Lardner is one of the few reporters not conned by Stone and his flacks and sycophants. His article was completely accurate. Kopkind against displays the ignorance based on which he "informs" your readers in writing that Stone "answered" what Lardner wrote "with a detailed defense of his theory and Garrison's evidence."

What "evidence?" Garrison either made it up as he went or made up out of nothing what he cribbed from others.

But "defense" and "answered" are "ardly applicable to the revised and corrected nonsense Stone provided and the Post published.

The very next day I sent Stone another lengthy and metail commentary on it that hustified what I had already said of him texterior, that like Garrison, "you have trouble telling the truth even by accident."

To that there was a response - a snotty letter from his, pardon the expression, "research coordinator." It concluded with a thinly feiled invitation to be bribed.

Stone and his gang knew nothing about the established fact of the assassination and did not want to. They devoted themselves the the multitude of theories most of which are not tenable and some really crary. But nothing was too crazy for Stone, who actually used some of the least crab credible connecting

Why else would he not have had any questions when I told him the realities about Garrison and his book and about the Mirrs book he also used? Why would he not have wanted

even a peek at all those records he preferred lying about to promote himself and his movie, describing them as "suppressed" and that until at least the year 2039!

Of this, of Stone refusal to look at real evidence, not nutty theories, Kopkind writes that Stone "called on everyone he could find who had an angle on the assassination."

Kampl Kopkind included, Stone conned most of the reporters who wrote about the furor I strated in almost everything has said. If he said it, Kopkind and others accepted it as true and reported it as fact. Usually it want.

This controversy, which I started and therefore know about very well, is because Stone said repeatedly that he was making a non-fiction film, recording our real history, when he h ad no such intention.

It did not begin with any version of any Viet Nam theory. I never mentioned "Viet Nam." Or the Warren Report. I merely proved beyond question that he could not record our history from those two trashy and unfactual books.

If he had said from the first that his was a work of fiction, he would have been entitled to say anything he wanted to. But he said the opposite and there is nothing he can now do to change it. He has no right to rewrite our history.

Except to gull the Kopkinds and others who should have known better.

Who should have perceived immediately that Stone was unhidden in his exploitation and commercialization, beginning with his inventing a new name for his Intrian Ixtlan Productions, (alling it "Came lot" until the film was finished. It is "Ixtrian" on the screen.

Who should have seen and reported that this commercialization and exploitation of the assassination was also intended as a vehicle for his adding another dimension to his Viet Nam hangup.

Instead, Kopkind and the others who failed to meet their responsibilities as reporters, belittle me for having brought more inofrmation - not conspiracing theories - information to light than anyone else in all these books without a single theory in them and through all those costly- and time-consiming FOIA lawsuits; and then they berate The Post and Lardner for opening the subject with completely accurate and more than fair reporting of paranoia and dreamed-up wfact" palmed off on the still sorrowing people as a truthful account of this great tragdedy.

If hopkind had done any checking at all - and a number of reporters from California to Germany did phones me - he could have learned the truth and avoided shaming himself and Vogue.

If you would like any documentation, please ask.

I was asked by several of Garrison's staff, who'd tried and failed to talk him out of charging Perrin and the Hard other man, and I still have a carbon of my investigative report and some of the documentation. a copy of the morgue

book listing Perrin's suicide that I had on my desk is enclosed. Also an incomplete copy of Garrison's copy of the police report on it.

IN FIRST	MIME	TYPE	DATE	TIME'S
1:10 /	Robert Phipps	Homicide, Suicide	8/1/12 8	5CP11 10:08P1
1) W/	Alligin Energy	/ / /	8/21/12	6:15A.M
71.13 W/2	Jose Buling	and auto Filal	11 11 101	6:35AM
1-14 MIC	Korles Hochell	Tree Follel dill	1   -1	194.11. 9:00A.A.
16/5 /	lone William	2 Fretund	1/12	9:361.1
16-16 1/11/16	enteff Enemi		27/62	1.2/11
117 111	with Baury	-11.	1 23/62	9:361.0 1:2707 3-60 Pg/ 301.1. 6301.0
1.19 1/10	ash Arch tann	the Drawing	8/62 4	341. 6301.11 1-4511 2-41.11
16-10 /11-	Komas Dons	I make	1/21/62	4:01.11
11/1/1/8	mile, Hans	Homicide,	25/02/2	15AM2: 31AM
1	Scotla mark	I that hong	23/62	113 64
	2/2/2/2/	O Bafal	6/12	13 24
15 47	ammy Wilson	Beating	8/27/62	8:00 A.M.
77 / 6	muel Mitarber	Beating	3/12/3	50PA 3-20 P. 1
	[M. / / W 2/ 1/1/ 1	Durêde Mai Disturd	28/62	
- 27 Will	arles. Belleur	mer Gatural	1 39/1.2	1000 n
111/10	The Elicanice.	Metwal	1/3/1/	Puch.
1 1/1/2/2	la Lindrum	notural	3/1/2/11	14 1-21
3 4/1	action Timberchen	Durciela 1	3/10 36	In Gury
34 May 200	Blil John	natural	1/62 9:3	(Sui 14:51)
1 / 1/3	The Jec Engan	Homiciele	13/62/1/	10 2 5 11
Sin Chi	up Collins	Hall may	1/3/12 Fine	14 LX1,  14 C 221,  5 Chi 14 S. L.,  8 F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
10 c/ Ch	the Lindrum  als Similation  plif Tolor  gre tecknyam  uin Cellias  et Scett	Fall.	1/1/10 61	Pr Tich

ROBERT PERRIN, WM. AGE El (deceased) 1713 Calhoun St.
Complainant's Name Address
Arrests
Lmount Prop. Rec'dArrests
H-14040-62 6:10 A.M. 8/25/62 Drumm & Howley 310 CorNo.
DISPOSITION:
had been made to appear as a cannister, and which asparency has dresser b
The second of th
written in longhand, pencil, which stated as I would have OBVIOUSLY GONE TO
DEAREST SLIPE SOUTH AND T ARE FINISHED. I LOVE YOU DEAR AND NON'T LIVE WITHOUT
OFFICERS SIGNATURES SEE CO DELIZATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
Desk Sgt Drumm Coptain's Signature Milm M. (Captain Maps. 1. 1701 11 eysa am
No. 46042 References
This is an incomplete copy of Garrison's copy of the police report on Perrin's suicide.
The underlinings are Garrison's; not mine.