I appreciate the time you took for your long and informative letter of 10/1.

I sent you the letter from the miss. professor so that if you were interested he might have someone dependable in your part of the country.

The Dave to whom I wrote is a Republican friend. I found not only that kathy jumps to conclusions but avoided me because of that.

I found myself wondering why Lifton pr is ARRB so effusively. Their record does not justify that. Either he has an in or he has something in mind.

I've finished all I planned for the ma. on the lawyers, "onorable Men, and when I did found what I'd laid acide for it and misliful and forgot. I'm merely filing them together, for any possible future use. I won't at this stage of my life and weakness take time to lister to a tape of the testimony. If the content were to justify it I might write more from a transcript. Depends on how I feel. What I feel up to. But Manuary 1017-2007.

usually by 5 p.m. because I'm up not much after minight. So, whatever you mean by "late Sunday" for getting here probably means a short visit. You do not say what you mean by "early" on Honday. Usually on Honday's I have blood tests, then physical therapy from which a'm usually home by 9. Is that what you mean by early, earlier or later? While \* do not like to do it I can skip the p.t. and be home from my blood tests by 2 7:30 a m. IVII hold both days open until \* hear from you.

What you say about Bradlety reminds me. Garrison was going to charte him with being an actual Grassy moll assassin along with Robert Lee Perrin when two of his staff asked me to try to provent that after they had failed. I still have the investigative report I gave them that made it impossible for him. The story is, of course, much longer. That was before the fifth assassination anniversary. It is 100 precent unrecognizable in his account of the one trail he never took, that of the assassins. As you may remember, he does not mention me in that. He could not. Some time passed and Pradley visited me. I told him the whole story and ever prove him to Baltimore for him to catch his bus I suppose to go to the right-wing preacher in N.J. Next thing I knew Bradley was badmounting me back in Calif.

Kerwit Hall is correct in saying that Warren should not have headed the Commission. He should not have served on it. Wrong for any justice. As the court told harren and he told LBJ.

What you say "anburg "testified" to ARAB about is what comes from those who have not done the necessary work on the fact of the assassination, what they theorize comes out. It need not have contact with reality and what "amburg said does not. That is also true of what Liebeler said, and that Judenrat Belin always

says. Rievel seeks self-justification and belin tries to tell the world that all there is is what he said there is and that it proves him right. His is a different quest for self-justification. Newther says what he and his had not already said and neither acknowledged that anything else exists. It is interesting that Belin refers to those who do not agree with him as "the JFK crowd." Colitically he has always been of the anti-JFK crowd, hence Ford's liking of him.

Di Eugenio is another of the theorizers without the requisite knowledge and who'll never take the time to learn it. Armstrong I do not recall hearing of.

That Lifton urged the donation of the original Zapruder film to the Archives is interesting because it has been there for many years. What can he be up to? He's had an excellent copy for years, with indications it waystolen from NEC-TV in his correspondence with Heagher.

I believe that ARRB is covering its own ass with those hearings all around the country. If any of this chearings directed it to know the existing and withheld records of any consequence i do not recall knowing it.

Look forward to your 'pl) coming.

Thanks and best,

HAL VERB P.O.BOX 421815 S.F., CA.94142-1815 10-1-96

HAROUS WELSDERG 7627 OU RECEIVER ROAD FREDBUCK, MD. 21702

Deartal= First, I want to thank you for sending me a copy of that very fine letter you received from Charles Davis on the staff of that Mississippi College I totally agree with his call for the formation of an association of educators" for the preservation of your works. If it is abright with you would you allow me to write him and tell him I'd like to associate with his group even though, by profession, I am not an educator. (I could, however, mention that I did teach a course at S. F. State University and for credit even though I had no credentials as a teacher. In fact, you were once a great speaker. Second, I also appreciate the letter (copy) you sent to a lowe (whose name d can't recall as a researcher I'm aware of). It is not important on me to know who lave is but what you had to refer about what Kathy Cunningham on the March, 1996 save of "Fourth Decade" I agree with your observations on the Humes stuff. The semply hadn't done her homework.

and of course, as you requested "laye" not to spread any of this (that Kathy has written) around I will be bound by that too. I take Kathy but she tends to jump very quickly to conclusions and a but of it on her misreadings the data. It is too bod but, as you well know, there is a but of this stuff being printed and with no dispent offered that so called "research" tends to get accepted. I try as best as I can to counter this by sending off littless or articles to set the record straight. But one person connot with a stand the whole army of hesearchers.

as I've recently told some friends of mine coho, while they have no understanding of the Ith case as I do, do support my efforts. They sort of chueble when I tell them that "if there are 100 theories of conspiracy out there. I've read them all and disbelieve them all." I've added to this observation of intend to repeat the above at the October, "COPA" event in Washington with the added armment: "I've changed my thinking on this observation by noting that I recently received in the mail another look with another conspiracy theory and so I now say; If there are 101 conspiracy theories out

there I now disbelieve all 101 theories"

Members of the ARB will be out there in the audience (or so they tell me) + they may centers tand what I hangetting at. But I have a feeling that a good many in the audience will misroad my intent and not truly unless and my point. So be it!

On what I've just mentioned previously I can recall one assassimition bruff who told one what Jack White told him after I had stated that I believed the Gowald buckyard photos were authentic. He told him: "I thought Hal was on our side!" Now I'll respond to both an earlier letter of your Cloted 9/20/96) and also fill you in on what I learned at the ARRB Sept. 17 hearings in L.A. To begin with and again I must apologize for not trebuding grow copies of many class with this letter. I semply could not get to the year place (located monkeley) but I do hope I canget then this wak-end, There is much of significance with years that are amportant. copy of the L. A. Times article on the 9/17 hearings, I know you'll be amused (and, of course, obviously upset by it). The Realing reads = "Document Tells Soviet Theory on IFIC Death" In it the article relates that the KGB "thought" LBT was behind THO death. I know that is not news to both you and me but of this had been 1963 and not 1996 (when the cold wardays are supposedly now at an and) no such healtene would weben stuled - The anters would we laughed at it and peddle it as "propaganda" It took over 30 years to make propagarda" now a strught story!

The rest of the article discussed (and only very briefly) what went on the hearings. I remember discussing this LA article with my friend, Pex, who sat next to

one at the pearing. I told Rex that whoever corote this article must we been on a different planet than I was, The article made nomentin whatsower Warran Commission and the HSCA made (Crebelli and Belin). although the article did state the attorney were present nothing was rendered about what Lebeler & Belin offered.

Ot is nowarder, too, because wholever the referter was hiprobably know next to nothing about the TFK case and wen less about evidentiary miterial!). As you can see from the LA. headline about the KGB + CBT it is a grabber and entended only to titillate not to inform. Even though I don't believe CBT was "involved" just exactly why does a KGB opinion represent an assassination related document"? I must tell you, Hal, that (and I will go into this further in my letter) the praise given by some of the "researchers" present was quite effusive. David Liften couldn't praise them enough at the beginning of his "testimony" and Jim D. Eugeniv (a Garresin defended also offered them garlands of praise made me feel emburrassed. Even when I spoke with certain members of the ARB after the hearings I would never indulye in this peint of praise. I haven't done so to date & See no reason to expect to do so in the future. So for whatever criticisms of the ARRB Rawe been raised have been mild, indeed they never evenlaid a glove on them!

Before I get further on about the hearings you had required in your letter certain things + I'll get onto them here=

(1) First, you asked what I would get on the Liebelle + Belin testimony before the ARRB, which you could use for purbook! Honorable Men. I will be descussing some things they said but I won't have a full transcript contil it is priviled (I'll be writing for it shortly & after I receive it I'll send you the relevant confol. By the way my good friend, Toe Backer was present at the hearings and flew in all the way from albany New York. If I'm not mistaken he taked the learnings. You may recall him as I believe he visited you last you, If he didn't tape it, perhaps John Judge did the taking. If you don't have Backes's aldress I'll send it to you! It oray be quicken to get a tape than the route I propose (mailing forthe transcript). 2) Second, if I didn't indicate it before I will definitely be staying in Washington, D.C. from Wed. Oct. 16 through Monkay (morning), October 21 st. I actually leave Washington Monkay (eve), Oct. 21st at 5=30 pm. Since you wanted to prove when I could possibly be at your place I St. 1 + 100 for the stay of the stay o I think it'll have to be either late in the day, Sunday, Oct 20 or arrive early morning monday, Oct. 21. It'll depend on Gary

aguilar this plans. If he rents a can perhaps we can do the Sunday brit he might consider Monday better. To leave those

two days open, of goucan.

now to get into the dearings, There were a couple of surprises (as to who showed up). Kay Marcus stayed for the entire hearings + left im-mediately, after the hearings. He asked about you. We talked for about somenutes and went over old times. I said I'd be in touch withhim again. He has done nothing recently on the case So far as I know his two morographs on the 2-film the HSCA, etc are all he's done recently. I asked him for permission to use anaterial on his analysis of the 2-film & he said could use anything I wisked for my book. another surprise and it truly was one was the appearance of Edgar Eugene Bradley in the audience! I went up to him after the Rearings of together with my friend, pex, we talked for about 10 or 15 minutes.

Naturally the Garrism case came up and another researcher, Lichard Goad, cam over and tried to Reteally Ugrad" Bradley into telling precisely what he was wing on 11/22/63. ( Before this I told Bradley that I had attended a press conference bock in 1965 in LA. where he deried his involvement, on fact Bradley said to me "I thought I recognized you from before". I celeo told Bradley that I never believed the Charges against him, anyway I said I was then reporting for the alternative " mess and he thought it was list Kunking L.A. Free Press (but I was then with the "Beskely Bark-" detuelly Gradley was quite pleasent floen when pressed by Richard Good didn't explode.

Endly conficient in me that Garrison later appeared to him for the charges and it appeared to me that Bradley was holding no lifetime grudge against Garrison conless he was hiding this very well from me). Somuch for Bradley. I also had brief discussions with Kermit Hall, Dave Marwell + Tunkeim of the ARAB. I mentioned to Hall that I

had read his article on Farl Warren in the Oxford History of the U.S. Sypreme Court wherein Hall stated Warren should never have served to head, the Warren Commission. I didn't go onto questioning Hall why Le stated this. If you'll recall I told you about this previously + when I can get a chance to year the Hall thing I will. It is on my list of things to send goy. I spoke to Marwell of my impression of him was that since I last sawhim he seemed to Showing signs of stress on his face the reasons, of believe, are complex but I can discuss that with you when I see you. By instant impression of others was something I observed more on that later whend Del you. I asked tunkeim what his plans were after leaving the ARRB + he said hed continue his judgeship position. I also asked what would huppen after the ARRB folds of he said some "mechanism" wast likely would be offered to guarantee that research would be continued on some form. The "mechanism" wasn't spelled out (I knew he couldn't of this animal.

dottes, anyway).

Solo Tannenbaum who testiful before the ALRS. Tannenbaum told me he's certified before the ALRS. Tannenbaum told me he's certified before the ALRS. Tannenbaum corruption and if I recall I think he said David Blin might be included in the brook as a fictional character. I later told Ray Marcus about tannenbaum's new work I he said to me, why closen the write a non-fictional work especially about his role in the HSCA? I agreed brit apparently fiction pells better (tannenbaum said his last book and well over (onellin).

Now to mention who testified. There were eight min all + they were in this order = (UR-termenbaum); (2) Fric Hambury (co-button of Oliver Stone's, "Nixon");

(3) Wesley Liebeler; (4) James Renkin, son of Thee Ranking

(5) David Reling (6) TM DIEVERNO; (7) DAVID CIFTON &

(8) STEVE TILLEY.

On tonnenboum probably the best of the lot. He stated for for on the HSCA he didn't want to participate in Empress with a historical fraced". He resigned, he said, because of "the integrity of the wideve inquestion". Tonnenboum said it was how the HSCA treated Sprague (of the HSCA that was the cruescal point in time when he Bobf decided to quit.

Hambury (discussing Garrison of partly his part in making the "Nixon" film) added vertually nothing. Hambury was also the only me to lecalled back to re-testify (or answer questions) Just before tilly. -9-

Hamburg was asked - believe it or not - whether his boss (Oliver tone) would be willing to offer all of Stone's files in making the "The film to the ARR!

Hambury replied that this was kind of a standing

offer anyway. I know all this sounds absurd

brit I was there to hear it!

Cemony other things Hamburg asked the ARRB to loop into was whether the assassination had anything at all to do with the Vitnam war. Hambury aler wyed the ARR to look more deeply into the anti-Castro langle convolvement. He even claimed that the following anti-Castro groups were definitely involved = this RE-AUHA 66-MRE-COMMANDO ---Does Hamburg have any Edea as to what help doing or who he is talking to?! Libeler offered two documents to the ARAB (didn't pine them) but then went into a discussion of the head shot or a what he called the head jerk and shoulderbuckle". He then brufly mentioned 7-3h and shoulderbuckle". He head moving forward at that the significance of the head moving forward at that point" (I can't be sure what his follow-up sentences a few moments we missed this "significance. Howevery a sew moments we missed this "significance. Howevery as mybelief that Liebelie was justifying a rear-ward shot and then trying to fit it in with the subsequent If head and body movement backward. I'm sure the eventual transcript will bear this out).

then Liebeler went ento lambusting the Stone The film. He said "I'm not going to pay 5 cents for that piece of crap"! Liebelle then went on to pay that he was "askemed" to say that he had never looked at the HSCA report + volume print to the TH film but did so as som after the film came out (which I assume was 1992). Liebelithen soid it would be a good idea toput on a computer disc when both the Warren Commission of the HSCA are in 100% agreement. Of course, he made no mention about where they disagreed for example on when of where on the 2-film the first short occurred both got storing !!). The very last part of Libelino Testimony Was pretly revealing, I think . ( and since lavid Belin also introduced this theme in his testimony, I also windered when Belin Calked whether he had talked with Liebeler printo testifying as to the "Igward rules" they would put or lay on" the ARB. Indeed as Belin was speaking I wrote in huge letter in my notebook the word "collusion" & showed it to my friend, sex, setting next forme. Dex nodded his head in agreement. Especially so when Belin also denousced the Stone "Ifth" film!) Trislather theme was the acoustics widere presented by the HICA (+ its being allegedly debunked" by the National Desearch Council.

Trebelin's "con job" on the ARR probably went over the ARR's head (except for probably the very few who wread up on it).

One of the points Liebeler saised Camony others that the open mike tape didn't reveal crowd noise (lit no one on the Aelb mentioned that even the HSCA found crowd noise was made to be minimal for the mikes anyway). Liebeler also made a point about hearing sirons when sirens should by been heard the claim being of course, that the tape records something occurring 2 menuts after the shots were fired. In fact, Liebeler put it at 132 seconds.

James Renkin, the on of J-Lee Pankin, offered copies of original letters from Waner Commission member both of first from the pis father the read several of them. These, of course, are admitted as "assassination related revols" + the effusive praise between Commission members was such I wanted to leave the worm! This time the "lividence" was not from me of the "muts", too! (I think if James Rankin's father were somehow able to Sean these praises he would be been spinning in his grave.)

Belin was next and as for as I'm concerned he was the "highlight" of the whole show. At first he said he was presenting

a "formal" 9-page typed presentation to the ARRS. (The details of this weren't revealed). However he said his testiming would summarine what the "presentation" consided of. Betin then attacked Stone's film, IFK"

(collusion, again). He compared this film with

"Truingh of the Will (the great Nazi propaganda

film!" TPA". A. Daid. 11711 "11711" film! "The paid, was a "trawmph of propagands" + declared it ahoux". Blin said he was here to lefend the conclusion of the Warren Report Osward ded of alme-no conspiracy), He reminded his audience that the very first Commission meeting with Warren established the now worn-out phonse, "Truth is our only goal." (I tried to suppress my laughter it was hard for me todo!) He said tippet was killed I minutes after the assassination. (now, of course, as we all know toppet was killed well before this time + since Belin wasn't being sworn in -anything gres!! Belin then uttered this delicious contribution: (Common sense" would automatically tell you that if a fellow lallos police officer were kelled they would leave no stone unturned to find out the murderer!

-13-

Delin then made the claim that the "missed shot" missed everything, on this he was referring to the HSCA studies at the time. I made a rather humorus frotnote in my notebook that if this were true that bullet became the first revolled instance of a bullet that was the first interentinental (magic?) bullet to have circled the earth without returning. I then realized that my space shuttle "belief was defying the law of gravity and specifically the escape velocity for objects required to ascape earths gravity. But I think my remarks would be fallen flat on front of Belins feet if I had approached him. Belin then brought up the National Desearch Council study of the Hras acoustics which "proved" the Hsa was wrong. Belin then took on "Hollywood" (probably keeping I true's "Ifh" of "Nixon" film in mind! He said Hollywood is committing "violence against the truth". He called it an "electronic downfrom of lies" and nothing he said can withstand and colled on the ARRB to release all files regulless in full.

Belin criticized rattacked the IFK assassination buffs. He termed them " the assassination revisionests—the JFR & crowd! It is they, he charged, who cover up the truth about the JFH assassination: as a last point Belin attacked those appointions, whose interest in the IH case was solely money, although not mention cohothese were it was clear h meant the media-especially film, television and books. (This is the real conspiracy in Belins mind. Naturally, no luvyers, likeBelin, do not engage in any way in forning this phenomenm (). after all this was over, Belin discussed his "bight" to obtain the original Z-film. He aled a letter he wrote to a chief the cutive officer for "Time". another thing mentioned by Belin (4 previously cited by Ciebeler when Cockburn (interviewed "him) that it was the Kennedy family's "reluctance" to release the autopay photographs. all this sailed right ora the heads of each ARRB member with noquislims asked athe crolled were fact which, as you'me Dochearly Shown was not!

Belin also stated as fact that "every document in the Typet murder has been released". noon on the ARRS questimed that either (Afterally isn't this a "kearing" and when you're kearing" something aren't you just listening?!) as a final plea to the ARRB, Belin again urged the Board to release all documents in full. He said if they didn't do this they would suffer the consequences for not getting This close. as a very brief footnote to Belindo testimony I was curious as to his expression in condemning researchers as " the JFK crowd so I looked up a letter by arthur Schlesinger, Tr. to the Wall Street Tournal of 3/1/96, (The sent you a copy). The letter creticised a Belineditorial of 2/13/96 on 11 History Occording to Hollywood! Schlesinger Called attention to the fact that Belin heading the Rockeffer Commission allowed the CA to be to him. at the very end of the letter by Schlesinger he said that he found he did not "conspire confidence in Mr. Belink talent for accuracy." Since Schlesinger was part of that Kennedy crowd" it appeared to me there is some basic antagmism between the K family & Bolin ( with

Schlesinger's comments representing one of the more overt forms of this antagonism). Reshaps Belin was never included as part of the K-family "incrowd" for whatever reasons. Despite the fact that Belin was cappointed to the Warren Commission it seems clear to me, at least, he certainly didn't represent the Kennedys' interests-Perhaps, Belin feels miffed at this. Homight help explain why Belints now trying to justify," this "kistric" role in the Commission. The Kennedy crowd undoubtedly will have nothing to do with him. One of your previous littles asserted that his desire to appear before the ARRB is precisely because he is there to defend his enterest and only his. The fact that both Libelen & Belin apparently collected on what they would say nly adds to this, If collusion to not a shame, ct certainly & the game! Timbi Eugenio also "thanked" the ARRS for its past performance. But I was embarrassed by at least me piece of evidence that a certain Ithe buff introduced at COPA previously that Oswalds APMSTRUNG So be very wary if you come across

any of his "evidence". For example, armstrong tried to "prove" at COPA in 1994 that there were two Oswalds gring back as for as his gramman school stays! His "documents" shows he said, he went to two different schools in different states at the same time! (but'd be amozed at the rather enthusiastic reception he received from the audience after he spoke on this! (On maybe you wouldn't be surprised!) among other things D. Eugeniv claimed before the ARRE was that Tames angletan "peens to be sunning Lee Harvey Oswald" Cobviously, then as part of C(A). Di Eugeniob praire of the ARRB at the very end of his testimony was probably even more lavish than Lifting. D. Ecegenio said the ARRB occupied the moral highersound but they could lose it /cfthy didn't watch their slep! Leften who was next to the last to testify prised the ARRB for its past work in jetting documents released. He then carged the ARRA to insist that the Zapuda family donate the original 2 felm to the National archives. as a final gestine Leften but on

the table what he said was an excellent

copy of the 2-film. At this precise moments about 4 Celevision comerces of eved inon this copy of the 2-film. A grand moment in TV fristory! (There was no mention whatever in the L.A. times article on the Heurings), It is possible that the L.A. TV programs that might highlighted this but I have no information on that.

Steve Tilley who was the last to testify (he's with the National Archives) mentioned that a couple million documents! Any mounder released. Naturally, no over all

been released. Naturally, no over-all evaluation of what this total represents

The above is as bestas I can recall
tall I can pay is that dispute nothing of
substance being revealed not that I thought
there longwould be I still think my presence
there was important. It adds to the
historical reash from at least my point
of new.
I do intend to cisite an article in this

for the Butish publication (+ any comments).

Journal Rave to offer would be appreciated).

Unte when you get the chance. Best,

Hal Verb