4/10/96

Thanks for your 4/6 w. enclosures, of which I've read the Stewart article and the Garrison story. I'll read the rest when I rest.

I've almost caught up on two weeks of mail and orders. The mail filled a box that had heald 100 file folders. We had to drive the orders to the post office this morning, those I'd packaged, and 'hope to complete tem tomorrow.

And thanks to that remarkably generous and helpful man Bill Mills, I can. He had a friend reprint copies of "hitewash for me and they will make me some 0 in NOs. Of which I'm about sold out. He kept me from running out of the WW Is.A. I hope he soon will with the 0 in NOs.I've one or two only left.

I do not know what pictures you can use but he had a fine collection of stills, too. The set he gave me is eve to Hood so the students can use them now.

I'm sure he'll let you use any you can and can possibly lend you a set so you can see.

I do not recall what I wrote about the shots but am inclined to believe that there was one as I suggested in WW at about 2189. I have no doubt that silencers were used buy my knowledge of them is slight only. I think it is possible that the furst was with a silencer. We have no way of knowing.

I do not know how it happened but the printout of the ms. of Case Open of which he sent you a copy is not complete. Two of Dave Wrone's former students, both now professors of sociology have the full printout. Which is less than I wrote. I have a xerox I'm not up to copying now. It is almost 800 pages.

I've almost finished the stinking book by the subject-matter ignoramuses the La Fontaines. They refer to their guru named as I recall Bill Adams. What kind of nut is he if you know?

I do not recall that either of the willis daughters referred to a silencer being used. One was only 10. But I'm inclined to believe that the serious shooting, not what was intended to point to LHO, was with silencers so the source(s) could not be pinpointed.

When you get what Bill O'Neil told you on paper I'll be interested in that. I do not believe Oswald had any real right-wing contacts in NO or elsewhere. His beliefs were more Trotskyite than liberal. That stuff from Balnister's mistress was what she made up in her fight with his family over his records from what Garrison told me. She would not talk to him.

Newman's is not a good book and it does not connect Oswald with the CIS.
Please escuse the rush. Thanks and best,

19

HAL VERB P.O. BOX 421815 S.F., CA.94142-1815

APRIL 6, 1996

HAROLD WELSBERG 7627 OLD RECEIVER ROAD FREDERICK, MD. 21702 DenHal=

Because it getting closer and closer to income tax time (april 18th), I've been working on that, and getting all my news to together. I had attempted to clo this last month but one thing or another just got in the way, In any event this week I'm definitely going to my account ant and get the necessary papers falled out.

Bill Mills sent me a video of the visit he made to bealey Plaza in 1995 and I looked it over at a friend's house, since I don't have the necessary equipment to show it at my apartment. I'm not certain yet if there are scenes I can use for my brook brit Bill has given me permission to use anything he sends me. He seems like a neal dedicated person and our conversations on the phone indicate this, I know he thinks very highly of your work. I've told him, of course, about the specific area of the assossination I'm covering and he seemed extremely interested and offered whatever help he could.

I'm enclosing some cirticles of interest and one, in particular, should interest you, I had not seen it before and it was sent to me recently by an of my former S. F. State ptudents Bruce lewis lewis had not been in touch with me for virtually more than two decades and finally has been either calling me or writing. He was an excellent student When I grove my course.

The article that Druce sent me is from "The hebanon Democrat" (3/30/67) and deals with the fatal head shot. I know that you mentioned him in "Post Mortem" (albelieve) and I too, have referred to Stewart in an article of did for one of the assassination issues. Gary aguilar, infact, called Stewart after I sent him my article referring to Stewart. Some, of course, what I tewart has to say in the article about Ruly and Oswald is pure guess work on his part but the medical refortage is what is significant; The other articles I've enclosed are self explanatory so I we nothing to add to them but they are yours to keep. Now I want to get into smething you mentioned to me inyour last letter (dated 3/9/96). In your letter you wrote = you ask why so many heard the first shot. Is this the real first shot or the first they heard?" I'm not exectly clear on what you meant in this last sentence. I do mean the "real first shot" which I believe came from the knoll area and bekind Zapruder and is widercal in the Dapruker film in frames 2-189 to 2691. I do not buy any earlier shot printo these frames such as proposed either by the HSCA, Groden a Posner. Keard this (2189 to 791) shot is = if that ohot were fined by

the use of a silencer why is this shot heard I heard loudly I'm not stating that this first shot was done by a silencer but it's a varient possibility I might August in my book. The problem shows is copy—if a silenen was used so many heard it + heard it as a loud report (I do know that many described it as having a "frecreake" sound but I'm not sine if this helps or hinders the possibility of silencers being used.

Jassume that when you corote to me (in the latter part of your second sentence that the first they heard" may refer to a short for shorts) fired after the first real short (2/89 to 2/91) but if Ihm mis taken on this please let me

And speaking about silencers, I recall that somewhere I read (at may have been in one of your looks that Linda Willis thought a silencer could we been used. Com I mistaken on this but my memory is fairly accurate that one of the

Willis daughters did say this?

Bill O' neil, whom I'm sure has been in touch with you, recently returned from a trip to new Orleans. He is get some very intensting things to my about Oswald and the right-wing contacts he made while Oswald lived in that area. Bill's own analysis brows sky high the desperate attempts of the Warren Commission to make Oswald out to be a "lefty" (How come Newman never picked up on this in his look, "Oswald a he Cia" a did Newman believe the CH's ortered was only in "Snown" lefties?).

Hope everything is fine with you. And after my tax return I'll get back to work on my brok. Best,

Hel