5/16/95

Just this morning I was telling myself that as soo as I got to a good place to stop in Mailes's Tales I have to write you. Then in today heavy mail is your 5/13. The publisher's silence gave me some problems that at may age and health and trying to work as much as I do are not easy. I wrote Gary Aguilar because he has office enlp, as you do not. First I wrote him about the forgotten index, then about copies of the book. I maked him to make copies of the index for you an other out there and ent him a copy. By the time I got copies of the bock it had been in the stores for almost two weeks. I got only 20 and 1 Meed three times that many. So Issked to be told who was willing to wait for a copy and who already had it. I'm surprised you do not have the index. I now have copies and I again asked for others to be told I have it but would like addressed envelopes. And you have Not heard of that, etierh. It may be hard for you to believe but making the packages takes time and it does not lake much to tire me too much. So also, with my bad typing, is the envelope a problem. Not a wood from Gary. He's p'ed off because I asked a question he doe not went to face and because he cannot give himself a satisfoctory answer. That is why I never heard from him and he does not respond when it is in his interest, too. But there is nothing I can do baout that or about my more limited capabilities. My writing remains my top priority and to give you an idea of the speed with which I'm doing it I've more than 60,000 words on paper on Mailer and his worse than trash book. I'm sorry that having written the letters I did I have to wait before packaging and mailing any of the vewy few copies I have of the box of #20. Which is much too few. 1 had intended to lend one to each person who helped me but for that to be done one really need s the book fast, before people can buy them. The first person who phoned me about a copy phoned shortly after the publisher phone, to apologize for the accidental omission of the index. He then told me that books would start to go to the stores in a week. After they were in distribution! I'm embarrased by this but there is nothing I can do about it. If you want me to Aail you on , of course I will. But I cannot understand why your store does not have it. The distributor is Publishers West. The top man there is Charlie Winton. They are officed near you. Perhaps Emeryville.

What I'm getting done about Mailer is powerful. And it will speak about Much more than Hailer.

I am glad to get what you sent and even what i do not agree with you are right, I should know. But I'll read that as I can, Thanks for it. I did rush through Doug's very worthwhile paper I agree with your added comments and more but it is a fine beginning. However, there is much that is public he has not considered and he is a little

off on some. Of the latter, he appraently did not understand what I said about the time of Willis 5 in WW II. At Z202 he is walking off the curb having taken his unintendd picture and the came is coming down from his eyes. So his picture is a little earlier.

Little Rosemary did stop moting when he says but she did not look up to the

sixth floor window or up at all. She seems to look more at the knoll bout I've not stidied that. He also is lackhed in on hardened ammo and with doubt at least one shot that ompacted was very soft. He seems not to use Perry in his work. I think that is a mistake. Perry is a long-time hunter and knows ammo and bullet wounds. And in his assessment of the front neck wound Doug eliminated what I think cannot be eliminated, it size.

You will waste yout time if giving a video copy to ARRB. First they will say their task is not to solve the crime but to get existing records disclosed. And on that they've done ever so much less than they could and should have by now.

I did not know Stone would be the speaker at COPA. He is emplimed enough not to even learn what NEVER AGAIN! does offr him or what I've finished but have not read, Waketh the Watchman. he also know nothing about the crime, about the status of his "research coordinator."

Values we have medical appointments or iil has to shop we are almost always home. Wednesdays I have blood tests and then p.t. I'm usually home by 9. Thursday I've been going to a local mall to walk. My walking acapability way down and I am at risk for falling. If Im still doing that in October I'm usually home by \$7:30. So as of now either days looks fine.

ayou figured it out right, it was Paul Kangas. From you you say I'll say nothing. I do not want to get started woth any nut like him. But he is using my work and name without authorization.

Anything crazy railer ssays I can use but I'm been working at an intensity that does not give me to time to listen to tapes, much as Alfed like to.

I'm not surprised Russell's carbon copy of Manchuraian Candidatewas candelled.

Nutty of sight it to begin with. And that gave it two pages in the atalogue and were to do it in hardback, not soft. Seles of values?

I suspect that if your boomstore does not hav NEVER AGAIM! they did not order. What store?

Dooley was behind the scenes, not one of the front names in the CIA's dealings with the WC. I think Jerry policoff spoke to him in the 1960s. I'd like to see that assessment.

Please tell anyone, not the Kangases tho, who may want an index to send me a self-addressed envelope with thre stamps if they do not mind my folding it and four f if they use a manilla. I do want to save all the effort I can. I ned to. and I can save the time of licking stamps and running Mout of them. And 'I've whited the alendar. Please ask Paul to let me know if he has the book and if he wants the index. I'll read the welcome enclosures when I can. Bit by bit.

Felt too weak to wrk this morning so I've gone over all you sent except the New Yoker, which I had, thanks, and the NR Review by "ailer. Good to see all of it and I've saved almost all of it. I key write ratricia "olt, depending how I feel later and after thinking it over more. I do not want to take any time not worth it from the writing the way I feel

Livingstone is as crazy and as baselessly self-important as ever. I(d heard of what Cakebread has been saying but had not seen it.

HAC VERB P.O.BOX 421815 S.F., CA. 941421815

5-13-95

HADOU WEUBERG 7627 OLD RECEIVER ROAD FREDERICK, MD. 21702

Dean Hal= I had intended to write you much some than this but so many things came up I just couldn't do it until now

as you can see from the many enclosures within this envelope there is a lot to look over. Thuch of it is, as you + I would readily agree, not well established and really wildly speculative. But, again, it is part of the reward & I think you ought to know what is out the being printed

there being printed.
There is one document which I do believe is inputant and that is a 35 page document (it is not mumbered) by DR. Jong De Salles brased on my "FREST SHOT" paper which I delivered at the COPA event lost October, 1984 in Washington.

This is a first draft by long of he wants me to look it over and comment on it. (You'll see attached to the relations of my minu disagreement. Otherwise of think he did a great job - especially on

his analysis of the Bronson film & his Establishing that the Bronson still (of the lims) Expen at assund 2-230 was enreaction to a first short which had to be around 2 /89 to 2/9/ + not an earlier short of 2 160. (I know it is no surprise to you but the number of cariles who said an early short at around 2-160 missed includes Bishop, Groden tosner, Evila & of course, there is the HSCA comments in this. I'm still going through Dough abstract and I'm adding more to his work than he has. I suggested to long that we (+ wholver else wanted to participate) do a perious documentary vider on this first shot avidence of offer at for example, to the ARLB. Of course, others would be afferent the same video. I also suggested a booklet will be written fairculated wherever possible. He thought both ideas good, Dorry also wants me to present myex parked version of the first shot theory to the mext "Copa" event in Washington next October. of course, dd be glat to and I think Watt Brown of agrilar would let myself & long present it. gou know that Oliverstone will by the keynote speaker. It must be cronic for in Stone's film, has Garnism stating that the first shot By the way, mark this down in your calendar = I do intend to be out in the East coast in October of

& will be staying in Washington from Tuesday, Oct. 17 through Monday, Oct. 230. The best times on Thursday, Oct. 19. Those would be the only days I can do this. I Rope this will work out for you. Enclosed within are all the articles I could find on Mailer & brook on Opwall. In case you didn't have a copy of the New Yorker article, I enclosed it. Chull note that the "N. V. Laview of Books" fronts a Mailir article on Ruly but doesn't state it is from Mailir abrok! an oversight, or ---?? is when you mentioned to me that you thought his name began with a K". The mame must be = Paul Kangas. His most recent address I have is : 8.0 Box 422644, S.F., CA. 94/42. The phone numbers Which ofpen to be answering numbers only are= #415-891-043 + + 415-991-8448). Kanyas & I do not jet along very well to yether los since I exposed him as a decertful front when he of a others had a meeting at aguilar's office. aguilar after that exposure will have nothing to do with him. Caryas has a post office look at the same post office doubling where I pick up my mail. At first he was openly hostile towards me but in own few encounters he is cordial enough. Norman Mailer here in S. F. on May 8th. Kangas, in the autience, had asked Mailer if he had seen stone's film "Ith" + whit he thought of t. (Of course, I knew that Mailer had seen it + auther about it for "Vanity Fair". Obrivusly hangas was totally anaware of this. But kangas doesn't read eyon the Case. Ornce in a confirmation at the post office when he and I ever picking up our mail, he accused me of being "a rat fink" + when I discussed something about some widere in the Warren seport he actually to boasted to me that he had never nead either the Harran Seport on the Commission's volumes, to add to this invitatible ignorance she then stated to me that Oswald wasn't an important figure in the whole case, anyway.

That's the bind of person Kangus is so if you do contact him anything he says cannot be trusted.

be trusted.
By the way out that Mailer 5/8/95 talk
I got to ask him a question of I was debating
his prints about I swald being the lone assassin
of Dowald not being a U.S. agent. The audience
evers brothle towards me (It was a very
adulatory audience of their literary giants tarnished
in any manner).

-5-

Mailer's less than a dequate riply to me was to state he still believed towall did it of that he converse violence to indicate Dowald was an agent. at the very end of his reply to me, he asked "If you have any widence to show he was an agent, of a like to see it!" It that I shouted out loud: "I'll see you later!

I did per him afterwards (he automaphed the book forme). I give him two articles I had written which were on my "first obst" writered and the other article was as the evidence for two permultaneous about to the head flaffold shots! But I also gave him a note inteating my othery disapproval of his hardling the Oswald New orleans radiodelyate. I frointed out that in page 590 he had entirely omitted bowald o"I was under the protection of the U.S." remark. Mulli's book has three clots conticating simething left out). In my strong mole to him I asked: "aren't you just as guilty as the Warren Commission as having left out vital widence?"

Mule was on a K60 staten being interviewed. A researcher friend of mine (Bill O'Neil) who also attended the Mailer telk said he toped it & will send me a copy Bill said Mailer was making the most ridiculous statements about the case of was not being accentered for his remarks, Bill will send me a copy of the tope of when I get it, I'll

Dend you a copy. I know you're centing a broken Mailert it should be eiseful. I a mod also thought it rather interesting that after Whiler lambasted former in Palles last year. He said Posner was a "sloppy cereter" of "cut corners"! Analdetin he said Posner got Oswald's attempted suicide in Dussia incident all wrong Get, despute all this, who does Mailu use as a reference point? None other than former! And of course, he also cites Epstein & McMillan as authorities. The final blow is his use of Schiller With "friends" like this, who needs enemies? things you may ponder on Otustro). They wanted to see some of the wickers I'm doing for my 14 hour series ("assassination Update") I sent them two victors—one on the TFK case of the other or Malcohn X. They sent them back to me-said they when't interested. They wrote " " from material wasn't right for our show." This is about the standard + stock reply I we getten whenever I try to get into mainstream media. Walt Brown told me that lick Russell's book has been canalled by Carroll & Graf By the way although I put in an order for your look, " nevh again", from my local

book-store, they said there was still moward except that it would be out in May. as of 5/13/97 still no word it has come in. Orefrial note: while reading a book, "For The PESCENT'S EXES ONLY" by Christophu andrew, I came across this = "The CH assessment of Oowald was prepared by arthur Dooley, dated March 20,1964 (declassified august 1993). I've not heart of booley-have you? lacording to the dust jacket blub the author Undrew is described as a great lecture at the CIA. Well, that is about all for now. I want toget all this in the mail to you. Best, Haller