

卷之三十一

卷之三

During the month of May, 1902, the author made a number of observations on the life history of the *Scutigeridae* of the United States, and the results of these observations will be published in a paper to be entitled "The Scutigeridae of the United States." The author wishes to thank the Director of the U. S. National Museum for his permission to publish these observations.

I'll try to find up what you mentioned in your letter to determine the best route to take in getting back to the city.

To begin with, your reference to Exhibit #237. I don't have a copy of Paul Nease's letter to you under the date of May 21 but I can supply whatever I can on this. The testimony of Mrs. Oswald (Oswald's mother) shows that she recalls seeing the photo that agent Odum presented her in the Dallas newspapers some time after he showed this to her. I haven't been able to locate exactly where she says this but as I recall she said the same identical photo of Ruby that the agent showed her was equal in all respects with respect to front view, shoulders, etc. My suggestion is that this newspaper be obtained and probably Mrs. Oswald could properly identify it. She may even possess a copy of it. That could be significant in this apart from the fact that the picture was that of Ruby is now the picture originated and under what circumstances. Was it a mug-shot obtainable only through the Dallas police (and therefore other interested parties such as Government agencies) or did it originate elsewhere. The probability is that of the former I believe.

The only other thing I can say about #237 is that in Ruth Prime's testimony when she was asked who that picture might be she said "I don't know but it looks like a light world-war pilot (or flier). (See Vol. II, p. 101.). I would prefer that you ask her who gave her this question. She obviously finds many of your questions too broad and too far removed from her personal experience. If I had been asked the question, my answer would have been something like "It looks like a young man of that time. In fact, I am not surprised that she doesn't know what you mean by "light world-war pilot." I would have said "I don't know but it looks like a light world-war pilot" and that's all I would have said.

Your letter to Paul and Koch also mentioned Exhibit #958 which I once asked you to get and you sent me. This is what I know of this document in the archives: I have a friend who visited Mexico City early in 1964. While he was there he met an Arnold Bessler who stayed at the Casa de Amigas hotel where this friend also stayed. Bessler worked for the Mexico City Times and was formerly from Berkeley, Calif. Another friend of mine who now teaches at the University of Calif here in Berkeley recalls Bessler and said that he used to hang around different political groups while here in the Bay Area and had come from Chicago where he once associated with the Young Socialist Alliance.

Hessler told this friend of mine that he met Oswald and that Oswald had stayed at the Comercio Hotel in Mexico City when Oswald was there for his brief stay. This friend of mine said that Hessler had breakfast with Oswald and that Oswald had treated him. Hessler said Oswald pulled out from his pocket a big roll of money, held it high and said: "See this money ---there's more where this came from." Oswald

added that he could have all the big breakfasts he wanted like this, implying that he could have money to pay for them when he needed to. Meeker told this friend that he was to travel shortly and was going to Brazil from the Mexico City Times where I presume he was or may still be. I'll have someone check the University register here and see if I can locate his old address in Chicago or his parents and advise you accordingly.

You'll notice that in this Exhibit referring to Kessler the only discrepancy in my report from this friend is that my friend believes it was breakfast the two had whereas this report says the two had dinner. It does not go into other aspects but you'll recall that the other Archive document on Kessler is marked secret, and is unobtainable. I believe this was 1956 or 1957. In any event it cannot be obtained.

The other thing that I did not mention to you ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~  
xxxxxxxx about this whole episode is what happened to my friend after  
his conversation with Mueller. Shortly thereafter this friend was called  
by the Mexican friends manager there at the hotel and the desk clerk  
whose name was Ed Buehels to leave and not stay at the hotel. He was  
told; "We don't want any trouble around here." This friend also said  
that he believes his room was searched although he could find nothing.  
Miss E.

So much for the first two exhibits that I have in my hands now. I expect to bring back more.

I'm enclosing a couplet I have on Cuban-Scandinavian  
immigrants written in Newark, 1952. It's by Ted Lee of one radio play  
and will be in the next issue of "The New York Times". It's a good  
little print. If you like it, send me \$1.00 and I'll mail it to you.  
I hope you will like it. His information on New Orleans can come in very  
handy at this point. Urge you to do so.

(Sorry for the lightness of the type but think I haven't had  
the chance to ink this typewriter for some time).

I'm enclosing a copy of the Irving Daily News for April 18, 1937. Refer to my last previous letter on this and you'll see that there was a "Henry W. Smith" who died in that same time period I write about. I'd assume he was in his older days living out town and seeing this in the news would bring him back. He was connected with the same company I mentioned in my last letter. I've written back to them but haven't heard from him.

After reading it, I told the paper I was going to write Little John before the arrival of the mail and I don't know just what he made of it. He may have turned tail or to scrivison.

Many anti-social persons like to "blame it all upon education". Right or wrong, this is a common reaction to Sando in Gules Labois' book: "A well-intended teacher or administrator" Sando was, but he had "done his best to serve and had a semi-inert working force behind him, but most of all he had no influence. He tried to improve the country because he thought better than the attack him. (see p.155, 295 & 345).

The "Lady's Circle" issue of June, 1957 has a story of Mrs. Oswald's interview on WNEW-TV(I have the tape now). She says she knows who killed the President but didn't want to disclose the name. She refers to a man who was a Texan and that she dropped the name before the Commission. If you'll recall I mentioned in a letter to you some time back that Fred Korth had been Mrs. Oswald's divorce lawyer for her then husband. That meant he knew of Oswald long before the assassination. Lynn Jones has been trying to locate her husband(Murphy) but has had no luck. Last night

Frank Garrison has asked me for your help in finding a file that fits in the original Oswald's book by J.F. Lee's wife's name. (See JRC 44-1176, p. 122, December 2). You may also find it helpful to know of one order or so before our declassification. Mr. Garrison's reference is her name - "J.F. Lee" which appears to be the name of the deceased in her book.

North's name also appears in Oswald's address book.

I need to hear about this CTP-TV special on the Turner Report. It'll appear next on June 26 & 27. I'll be here to see Joe Richter and hear out in any way I can. Can you tell me more about Jim North's view of this whole affair? I'd like to see you pass along another phone number for Richter to call if you should call me during the day when I'm not here. That would be my friend, Tom Edwards, whose phone you might just know you were in Berkeley. His phone number is: #849-3926. You will relay any messages to me and you might include it in your address book for future use also in case you can't reach me right away.

Regarding your query about Turner's notes on Devis.: The last time I spoke to him he said he couldn't recall any specific request from you. I'll let you be the judge of this. I'm off to my work and we'll talk again when I get back.

Frank Garrison has asked me for your help in finding a file. (See JRC 44-1176, p. 122, December 2).

"...and I would like to know if you could contact CIA Director Richard Helms to send to the jury a photograph taken in Mexico during the summer of 1963.

Garrison contends the picture shows accused presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald walking out of the reform attorney in Mexico with an unidentified Cuban.

The subject, whom he alleges, is a powerfully built man whose identification is important to the probe.

The witness scheduled to appear today is Carlos Quiroga, a slight, handsome Cuban refugee who belonged to several anti-Castro groups in New Orleans during the early 1960's.

Quiroga was listed as a member of the Cuban Revolutionary Council, headed by Sergio Arcacha Smith, and as chairman for youth of the Friends of Democratic Cuba, of which Arcacha Smith was a leader."

I'm enclosing two articles on our class both of which have reference to you and your work. You can use them as you wish. Name's point about the book being subsidized coincides with your belief.

Also enclosed you'll find an interesting article on the bullets used in the assassination. It ran in the May, 1967 issue of "Lure" magazine. What's your evaluation of it. The author seems to have relied heavily on your work.

Here is someone you can contact concerning the use of codes in case you're interested in following up on Oswald's address book. In Washington, D.C. there is a code expert (one of the top in the U.S.) by the name of William F. Friedman. He may still have ties with the government, however, and may be reluctant to work on a project such as this. I believe there is more to Oswald's address book than the use of telephone numbers. That is, the CIA number that Garrison is talking about is the "front" of his book. I would assume the code in this book is the "back".

I would like to draw your attention to a reproduction of the page from Clay Shaw's address book that had the O'Dell's post office box. If you look very carefully at that reproduction an address can be seen typed backwards in which a name ending in "er" appears (\_\_\_\_er). Then underneath is an Avenue which looks like \_\_\_\_ vedere Ave. (my guess is Belvedere). The rest of the address listing appears to be "Oclif" and then a number "78". Since this copy came from Garrison's office I would presume

one would know about this but it is possible he may have overlooked it. From the looks of it appears to be someone living in Los Angeles because I believe there is a Long TC there. In any event I'll see what I can find out. Do you have a hunch of who?

I don't know if you've seen the last *Truth* issue on the CIA wiretaps. I think it's about the same point to "kill off" on that note and not as being aiding on the basis of a "public service". What do you know about this company. Aren't they the ones that supplied Gary Powers with the photo reconnaissance equipment for his U-2 flight or am I just guessing?

Have you read the book by Lincoln Lawrence "Were We Controlled"? I know there is little to his theory of men being "programmed" to kill Kennedy but do you see something more than what appears on the surface. Is this put-on a deliberate attempt to make the critics look ridiculous by pointing an outlandish theory? Who is this Lincoln Lawrence, anyway? My first guess was Frank Edwards. I notice, for example, that Lyle Stuart advertised his book in the NY Times.

The *Truth* is facts and figures, friend, not the performance specification required with all of us. I am a member of the American Society of Journalists and Writers. I have rights over them and the right of publication. I just don't know the time to sit down and subscribe to *Truth* and *Long* and *Left*. They are fine. However, it concerns me whether to accept their advertising from.

As far as your reference materials I now have a total of some 2000 paper tapes on the subject. A list will be held up soon and I'll send one out to you. Incidentally, you mentioned some one who had a very large collection of tapes on the West Coast. Can you refer me to me or give me his name? Perhaps we could, together, combine our efforts and have one tape collection which would be invaluable for such people as Garrison or others.

As far as Tolson is concerned, I know nothing more about the situation. I didn't even hear you on tape until two weeks after you were on. Next week I'll hear the Johnson tape. I don't know what he's been saying about you for I haven't listened that closely in the recent period. I doubt if he had made you look as bad as some are saying but I'll call him and see what is cooking.

An article in the May 5 *States-Item* says that in the "and others" listed in Garrison's indictment the name of Lee Oswald came up and also Leon Brezhnev. Is there any?

What do you know of Garrison's charge that a CIA agent was in the Dealey Plaza area when Oswald was in the building at the time of the assassination?

I'll get to work on compiling the anti-CIA organizations as you suggested in your letter to Stan Gaul.

Referring to that June issue of *Truth*, 1957 I might call your attention to this AP wire I got from that mutual friend of ours. Garrison is quoted as saying: "We have located one other person who was involved in this operation. He was one of the adventurers who was involved in the anti-Castro activities, who was not using a gun but who was engaged in a row in Dealey Plaza in order to add blood and gore. (Oswald) did this and he was involved in the gunplay, also." \*\*\*

That's probably what Tolson, the *Truth*, and Garrison are talking about. I don't know if you've seen the *Truth* issue of July 1957 - the one that has the headline "The CIA - the secret - secret society - for an assassination project" - or the one that says "the CIA is responsible for an intelligence agency because in there is assurance even beforehand that there will be no bullets, no slugs remaining, with any of the landmarks of the guns to help authorities

identify the guns. Mangled bullets explode into little pieces."

As you can see the guns article by Braverman may be most significant in the light of Garrison's statement.

Well, I'm sure there must be some other items I wanted to call your attention to but I'll close now and let you get back to your work. When do you think you'll be out here again? I'll see what I can do about lining up radio and TV time if you give me enough time.

So best regards to you in the meantime. Take care of yourself and make sure you don't exhaust yourself. Try to get some more sleep. Hope to see you soon.

Best,

*Hal Verb*

Hal Verb