

8/5/69

Dear Cindy,

Your breezy letters of the 17th and 25th arrived. I enjoyed reading them, but haven't had time to answer. In the past month I've begun to feel the toll of the unrelenting years, the kind of life it has compelled, and I've had to slow down some. When nature gives notice we must heed. I'm trying to.

Thanks for the dope on the lawyers. It is enough for my immediate needs...The National Enquirer is as you describe, but on this subject it has generally, been more responsible than the respected journals. I have a friend on its staff. He is their expert in this field, and an Englishman. But I doubt very much that Ray has been speaking to the FBI. Hanes, Ray's first and racist lawyer, started the business of a Judas in King's camp. I also do not credit that.

Unless you prefer, why not stop "mistering" me?

Your excitement over your speech is justified. I am well aware of the problem of trying to say much on so enormous and complex a subject in 20 minutes! Writing about your speech is not silly. I'm glad you did both, make the speech and write about it. The problem is the influence of the few who have disproportionate power and control in fewer hands. The concept of their people making their will known through elected representatives is excellent. The problem is the influences on these representatives who inevitably are so beholden to the few who finance them they are not, on too many issues, heed the popular voice. As, over the years, people have come to understand it and become possessed by futility, meanwhile the comforts of their lives proliferating, they have added complacency to the feeling of futility and increasingly fail to communicate. The alternatives we have are few and most unpleasant to consider. So, I think the essential responsibility of each concerned citizen remains first, to inform himself and then to seek to inform his fellows. It is much more difficult to accomplish an immediate result than ever before by writing legislators, but it remains a necessity, and it is a social, political and moral necessity to inform our fellow men. The day may come when enough of them will be impelled to do something or make a decision that can serve a purpose. Until then, they should know the facts anyway, should be motivated to look and learn for themselves. So, your speech, aside from a school function, is an exercise of democratic responsibilities not quite as common as I'd like it to be. My thanks for it.

The "addition" to the book is now about twice the length of the usual book of today and, while nearing completion, is not finished. I wish I could forecast publication. But it is my obligation to complete it and the work it encompasses. I do hope it will be possible for it to be published, for I cannot print it myself. We have gone too deeply in debt already. I think it a thorough and comprehensive work and important, but I cannot estimate how well I have done for I've not read a word of it and will not until all is on paper. When it is done I'll return to the other work already started and partly written, several other books. Meanwhile, I continue to accumulate information.

Keep your bright eyes and sharp mind open and let me know of anything you note that could be interesting. If I do not respond promptly, it is the pressure of time.

Dear Mr. Weisberg-


I had just had to write and tell you that I gave the speech on the assassination last night and it was pretty successful. I was so happy that I could communicate what I had to say, as I did.

After class many of the men said that they didn't know of all these things and that they intended to read up on it. It was twenty minutes long but in a short period like that you can hit on the main points and that in itself if you don't know much about it can prove to be quite shocking. I know from the time I saw Oswald's "press conference" that it wasn't him and even if it was he could never have done it alone, but when my brother wrote me of all the strange goings on in his usually somewhat heated style I just wasn't the same for a few days. It had quite an effect. If I did that to one other person I'd be happy I think it was more though. I'll circulate your books around.

It's probably a silly thing to write of but really, I was so thrilled by the result.

Love  
Andy

Paul  
Lester



July 25, 1969

Friday

London, England

Dear Mr. Weisberg -

I had just had to write and tell you that I gave the speech on the assassination last night and it was pretty successful. I was so happy that I could communicate what I had to say, as I did.

After class many of the men said that they didn't know of all these things and that they intended to read up on it. It was twenty minutes long but in a short period like that you can hit on the main points and that in itself if you don't know much about it can prove to be quite shocking. I know from the time I saw Oswald's "press conference" that it wasn't him and even if it was he could never have done it alone, but when my brother wrote me of all the strange goings on in his usually somewhat heated style I just wasn't the same for a few days it had quite an effect. If I did that to one other person I'd be happy I think it was more though. I'll circulate your



TO OPEN SLIT HERE

An air letter  
if it does not contain a photograph or sent by ordinary mail

Senders name and address

Cindy Vanden Heuvel  
32 Blenheim Crescent  
London W. 11  
England



Dynhyrchwyd y Llythyr Awyr hwn yn arbennig gan Swyddfa'r Post i ddathlu  
Arwisgiad Ei Uchelder Brenhinol Tywysog Cymru yng Nghaernarfon ym 1969  
This Air Letter has been specially produced by the Post Office to commemorate  
the Investiture of H.R.H. Prince of Wales at Caernarvon 1969

SECOND FOLD HERE

McCorquodale and Company Limi

Gyda'r Post Awyr/Llythyr Awyr

**BY AIR MAIL**  
**AIR LETTER**  
PAR AVION AEROGamme



Mr. Harold Weisberg  
O + O



TO OPEN SLIT HERE

An air letter  
if it does not will be recharged or sent by ordinary mail

Senders name and address

Cindy Vander Nevel  
32 Blenheim Crescent  
London W.11  
England


Dynhyrchwyd y Llythyr Awyr hwn yn arbennig gan Swyddfa'r Post i ddathlu  
Arwisgiad Ei Uchelder Brenhinol Tywysog Cymru yng Nghaernarfon ym 1969  
This Air Letter has been specially produced by the Post Office to commemorate  
the Investiture of H.R.H. Prince of Wales at Caernarvon 1969

SECOND FOLD HERE

McCorquodale and Company Limited

Gyda'r Post Awyr/Llythyr Awyr

**BY AIR MAIL**  
**AIR LETTER**  
PAR AVION AEROGRAMME



Mr. Harold Weisberg  
Route 8  
Frederick  
Maryland 21701  
U.S.A.

Unsealed or only slightly  
in receipt

July 17<sup>th</sup>, 1969  
Thursday  
London, England

Dear Mr. Weisberg -

Hello! I looked up those gentlemen  
in the telephone book and I'm pretty sure  
of Calcutt and Roger Frisby's addresses  
because they alluded to law but under Maw  
there were just several of them listed.

Here are the ones I got though. names

David Calcutt  
Lamb Bldg  
Middle Temple La  
London EC4

and

Roger H.K. Frisby (Barrstr)  
3 Kings Bench Walk  
London E.C.4

If you know Maw's first name I'll  
look again but there was no clue in the  
telephone. He could possibly be working  
with one of the other two.

Also a friend pointed out that in  
the National Enquirer (horrible paper I know)  
the columnist John J Miller wrote that R  
secretly speaking with the FBI & giving  
the details of how the assassination was  
well planned and complex conspiracy. I  
wrote one of the allegations was that the man  
set Kim up for the fatal shot was one of

Hello! I looked up those gentlemen in the telephone book and I'm pretty sure of Calcutt and Roger Frisby's addresses because they alluded to law but under Maw there were just several of them listed.

Here are the ones I got though. <sup>names</sup>

David Calcutt  
Lamb Bldg  
Middle Temple La  
London EC4

and

Roger H.K. Frisby (Barrstr)  
3 Kings Bench Walk  
London E.C.4

If you know Maw's first name I'll look again but there was no clue in the telephone. He could possibly be working with one of the other two.

Also a friend pointed out that in the National Enquirer (horrible paper I know) that the columnist John J. Miller wrote that Ray was secretly speaking with the FBI, giving them the details of how the assassination was a well planned and complex conspiracy. He also wrote one of the allegations was that the man who set King up for the fatal shot was one of King's most trusted confidantes. — I don't know how much truth there is in that or even if there is any but thought I'd tell you of it anyway.

I read John Pilger's column quite frequently. It's very good — too good for the paper he



writes for.

That's fine about not identifying me. The more I read the more I realize how things really are. It's a bit disillusioning to say the least. Do let me know <sup>when</sup> the book comes out. I'd like to read it. The whole business seemed to be so hushed up I'd like to know what was really going on.

If I can do anything else, you only have to ask. I'd be more than willing.

My best to you

Cindy

FIRST FOLD HERE

SENDER'S NAME AND ADDRESS

Cindy Vanden Heuvel  
32 Blenheim Crescent  
London W.11  
England

AN AIR LETTER SHOULD  
NOT CONTAIN ANY ENCLOSURE;  
IF IT DOES IT WILL BE SURCHARGED  
OR SENT BY ORDINARY MAIL

SECOND FOLD HERE

BY AIR MAIL  
AIR LETTER  
PAR AVION AEROGARME



Mr. Harold Weisberg

the book comes out. I'd like to read it. <sup>when</sup> The whole business seemed to be so hushed up I'd like to know what was really going on.

If I can do anything else, you only have to ask. I'd be more than willing.

My best to you  
Cindy

FIRST FOLD HERE


SENDER'S NAME AND ADDRESS

Cindy Vanden Heuvel  
32 Blenheim Crescent  
London W.11  
England

AN AIR LETTER SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY ENCLOSURE; IF IT DOES IT WILL BE SURCHARGED OR SENT BY ORDINARY MAIL

SECOND FOLD HERE

BY AIR MAIL  
AIR LETTER  
PAR AVION AEROGamme



Mr. Harold Weisberg  
Route 8  
Frederick  
Maryland 21701  
USA