

3/6/69

Mr. George Healey
Executive Editor
The Times-Picayune
New Orleans, La.

Dear Mr. Healey,

By this time I think you know me well enough to believe that I am serious in my purposes, thorough in my researches and investigations, and no one's yes-man. In writing you when I have so many things I so much want to do I cannot complete them all, I tell you I have in this case no purpose other than what I regard as your interest. I have two things in mind.

These are what you do to yourself and to truth with your continued promotion of the perjurer, racist, irrational extremist of the extreme right who is the friend of your enemy and to let you know that, aside from the charge Garrison has placed against Shaw, of perjuries, I am satisfied there is still another and entirely irrefutable one in which he is not alone involved. My information on this is entirely official; Further, there is yet another in which my information is not official but is dependable, from pro-Shaw sources.

I cannot begin to tell you why Garrison failed to make use of these things during the trial. Possibly in the intensity of the proceeding they were overlooked. I gave his office both, long ago, with no reference to possible perjury, which I did not anticipate. I do tell you this is really solid information and that the additional perjuries were of crucial materiality during the trial.

Please believe me, I do not tell you this to discourage the attack against Garrison. From my point of view, it can very likely accomplish that which he failed to in court. I am all for this. This case that was presented was much less than it could have been. That will not happen a second time.

Bringier has been very close to General Walker. He is a racist. In your files there is no reference to it because, quite naturally, he was not anxious for you to know it, but I have copies of anti-Negro literature he printed with the money collected for anti-Castro purposes. He has the closest connections with others of this irresponsible, anti-American ilk. He uses you. If you read just what you have in your morgue you will see this. He is not shamed by any cheap stunt, for example, his offer to "help" Israel. Or, his picketing of an anti-Communist meeting in New Orleans. He has no organization. To mask this he pretends he speaks for a "delegation" and you employ this word in your headlines. It is a fiction. In promoting him you incur the skepticism of the responsible members of the New Orleans urban community, many fine members of which are known to me. They call him "El Estupides", or the stupidity.

When he failed to serve me by any other inticement, persisted in his refusal to do what is necessary to get me in a court of law, after I first met you I pointedly drove past his place of business with an out-of-town lawyer accompanying me. I waved at him ostentatiously. He took two double-takes. And, though I remained in your city for some time, did nothing further.

In this unwarranted attention you give this man of dedicated evil, you make some ^{thing} other than he is of him, make him appear to be what he is not, and promote one of the most devisive forces in your community. I wish there had been time for me to check out some of the reports I gets about him. I have checked much out. This is why I can assure you that he is a perjurer. But I cannot prove as I have heard from dependable people, that he imports anti-Semitic literature from Latin America. I have examined his store window. Contrary to his pose, when I saw it, in early December, it was loaded with pornography.

My information on him and General Walker (and others) comes from the FBI.

Believe it or not, Oswald was anti-Communist. It is Bringuier who served and still serves the purpose of having the contrary believed. Another man close to him surfaced to do exactly the same thing in the Robert Kennedy murder. At the time of that of the President, he also did exactly the same thing. Here again, I have and I am publishing the FBI proof. Between the two of them, aside from the damage they have done to truth and our national honor, aside from their essential roles in the frameup of the entire account of the assassination, they cost the taxpayers every considerable sum in wasted FBI time alone. I have more than a dozen pages of reports about the second, one Fernando Panabaz, and perhaps three times this large amount on your local nat. More than cost, can you imagine the better purposes to which this time could have been put?

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

C
O
P
Y