Those 'Sanitized' Notes on Cuba

sion, an amusing little media sideshow was going on down at the end of the Cuba had had a hand in the Zaire inva-Carter-Castro argument over whether While the audience in the main tent One result of Powell's briefings was a was being entertained last week by the CBS television news report the next midway. was being entertained last week by the

the way things work in this town. mary. It will tell you something about Call it the saga of the sanitized sum-

larly the Cubans, were behind it all. The White House took a hard line. The Katangan rebels operating from Angoli; the White House and the State Department couldn't seem to get together State Department didn't seem so sure. on how hard to press the charge that the Cubans and the Russians, particu-After the May invasion of Zaire by

when Jody Powell, the president's press secretary, took a hand. He read to several reporters a document, prepared the the help of the CIA, that summarized the administration's charges That was the situation early last week

the Cuban presence in Angola is perva-greand little is done without Cuban in-volvement. 2) That K. rehels have been armed an It said that intelligence reports supported three main conclusions: 1) That have been armed and

with Cuban cooperation, although there was no firm evidence that Cu-May invasion of Shaba province was bans accompanied the invaders beyond been indirectly involved. Cubans and perseveral year uat the

> ment with the Katangans up to the invasion jumping off point. tained it could chart Cuban involveporting that the administration, "citing reliable intelligence sources," mainthat afternoon's Washington Star remorning. Another was a page-I story in

While not mentioning a specific document, the Star story referred to "sanitized" intelligence details now coming to light." It said they gave "the most detailed glimpses yet" of what was behind the U.S. charges, but added cause the administration is afraid of compromising its sources." that they did not contain evidence "be-

Not earthshaking, to be sure, but re-porters for NBC and The Washington Post asked Powell for a crack at the

next morning The Post's front page was dominated by two stories under a banner headline: "Carter Decries Castro's Failure to Stop Attack." The sayr on the right side of the page dealt the president said about story on the left, under "U.S, Releases Sum-mary or its Evidence," dealt with the ews conference the previ-

ing of President Carter's charges of Cuban complicity in the invasion of CIA memo. Zaire," the left-hand story began, "the "In a reaction to continued question-

same material and got it.

That night, NBC News carried a report on a White House "summary of assertions" on Cuba's involvement. The

a summary of evidence on which the president based his accusations." White House yesterday made available

a couple of ways. In no sense was the document "released." It was "made" actual document. closed that the story was based on an than Powell wanted it to go when it disreporters were allowed to see it but available" only in a limited sense. The them. In fact, The Post went further were not allowed to take copies with This treatment stretched the facts in

abundantly clear, by billing the docu-Further; as the story itself made

The News Business

charges and notably short on evidence. a summary of the administration's ment as a "summary of evidence" The Post gave it far too much status. It was

ers, members of Congress and others, to individual members of the press on a asked the White House for copies of the more or less confidential basis? played story got quick results. Reportleased. document The Post said had been re-Whatever its flaws, the heavily

But the pressure grew, particularly from Capitol Hill, and before the day was over, Powell decided to make the document, which he describes as to say that there wasn't any release. briefing notes," truly available. The initial White House reaction was

which brings us to the final act. reporter turned up a copy on his own, In the meantime, a New York Times

> The next morning, June 16, The Times reported on page A10 that the Carter's charges against Cuba. mension" to its efforts to substantiate administration "has added a new di-

memoj to reporters on a private basis." charges. "But yesterday, Jody Powell said, the administration had refused to make public any documentation of the ... authorized disclosure [of the CIA Until the previous day, The Times

to support its assertions. earlier reports, it described it as "sanitized" and noted that it lacked details from the 800-word document. Like the The Times went on to quote at length

So finally the document was out. And since it was indeed "sanitized" to the situation one iota. point of sterility, it hasn't changed the

threatening to intelligence operatives. Why all the secrecy in the first place? The document was not classified, and it contained nothing remotely the start, instead of being farmed out Why wasn't it released to the public at

not be given. did not contain verifiable details and could not for intelligence reasons. generate pressure for details that could release it would not have satisfied the press and would have served only to Therefore, as an official White House Powell's answer is that the document

He's probably right about that. Nevertheless, those sanitized briefing notes of his got a lot of mileage in their brief run.