Josiah Thompson

Six Seconds in Dallas:

This Void of Silence

by A. D. Coleman

Drake Hotel, waiting for philoso-phy professor Josiah Thompson, larly treatises, "The Lonely author of two just-published sohodonymous Works," and "Six earnestly. "Tm Tink Thompson." pumping my hand. "Hi," he said faced young man was vigorously sassination. Suddenly, an elfininvestigation of the Kennedy as-Seconds in Dallas," a scientific Labyrinth: Klerkegaard's Pseu-I sat in the lobby of New York's

how old are you anyhow?" He small talk until, recovering from likely metaphysician. nickname, he wears it unselfconthere is no affectation in his your middle 20," I lied. would have guessed you were in look 16." Which he does. "I ty-two. I know," he added, "I show their identification. "Thirwill all their lives be asked to winced, the grimace of those who slow once-over, I asked, "Say, the shock and giving him a sciously — appears a most un-Heading for the bar, we made Tipk

. .

in Dallas," he had been making charades," he complained. not left him gruntled. "It's like talk shows. The experience had the rounds of radio and television weeks to publicize "Six Seconds In New York for several

book. "They're for the young. I to a question about his other etching. "I'm outgrowing Camus and Klerkegaard," he responded reflecting light upwerd onto his grinning. The mirror tabletop, sassination Act!" " He shrugged, Thompson, with his Kennedy Ashe is, ladies and gentlemen, Tink gure stepped from a Tiepolo ed Tink impishly satanic, a ficreate fearsome masks. It turnflashlights beneath their chins to face, carved deep shadows, an Anka. You know . . . 'And here zsche." want to do some work on Nieteffect reminiscent of kids holding one show I came out after Paul

leave no trace, all will be well ger at the cancer: there it is, the our dream died and our nightplene, I realized that I very source of disease; slice it out yearned to point a clinical fincause the diagnostician in me mare was born). Partly, too, beespecially against Texas, where dice against the Southwest, and be (accepting the eastern prejusimply assumed that it would ferent. Partly because I had much wanted Texas to be dif-But Texas is no different. No Heading toward Dallas by

different at all. In Dallas, a week before I sal

> talking with Tink, I watched a grass, amphetamines, and glue swer was affirmative. tv program on the local drug alarmingly so among sub-teens sniffing are widespread, the last Dallas Turned On?" and the an scene. The report was titled "Is

the premature, sacrificial death that it was fulfilled. Both romanmantic dream. (By this I do not marked the end of the first rosomeone may do a sociological study on the link between Ken-nedy's murder and the sudden tic dreams, after all, demand was shattered; on the contrary, mean to imply that the dream young people, Kennedy's death mystic (Klerkegaard). For many of action (Camus) and the poetmantic hero: the idealistic man shipping two versions of the re-Now Generation grew up worspect, it seems obvious. The coluse among the young. In retro surfacing and growth of drug ege-educated segment of Someday, should we survive ğ

those two.

* * *

needed to distinguish between

tively contained and paranoicalquently, the drug scene, relainto the Magic Theatre. Consedrugs were the quickest ticket the role of poet · mystic, and native for symbolic action was out, the only remaining altnerthe first dream had been played of the hero as the only satisfying scant year. ly clandestine prior to the asclimax.) Once the endgame of sassination, burgeoned within a

mini-skirts, an underground paon. Aside from drugs, the city years later, even Dallas is turned also has rock clubs, light shows, So much so that now, four

happen in Texas. But, back in New York, public service posted, during the station break, a you." A finer line-drawer than us. "Take your can keys with good boy go bad," they warned a game called Guilt, Guilt, Who's ers on the subway were playing laugh and think that could only you know where your children ed solemaly, "It's 10 p. m. Do per, hippies, incense, long hair, and bells. After the tv show endthan my shaky-handed self is Got the Guilt? "Don't help a are?" The temptation was to disembodied male voice announc-

behind that, an unstated pang of personal loss. Did Kennedy reing note of deep and painful sorington for Kennedy's funeral. De whom he dedicates "Six Seconds scribing the experience, a sting in Dallas"), drove down to Washrow echoed in his voice, and Tink and his wife, Nancy (to

present anything to him, and did hard sophistication (imitation Mc? Thompson's tone altered, a he find Kennedy's death symbo-Continued from preceding page Continued on next page

ing to cover what he felt; "Yes; Bogart unsentimentality) attempt-

VILLAGE VOICE

it was the death of taste, style, perception, wit, ideals — all that mass media stuff . . . is that what you mean?" The challenge in Tink's words was unmistakeable; he was daring me to charge him with emotional involvement in the event. Touched, I let the gauntlet lie.

"Six Seconds in Dallas" achieved book form by accident. Struck by discrepancies in the Warren Commission Report, Think began investigating on his own, and put his findings into publishable form only after meeting the editor-in-chief of Bernard Geis Associates, who pressed him to do so. He felt no disparity between his work as a philosophy lecturer and as a detective. "It was part of me, natural." he said of the book. "You know Noam Chomsky's essay on the responsibility of the intellectual? Well, there you are. The duty of the intellectual is always to tell the truth, especially in times of crisis." He did not question this as a modus operandi; while he talked, there was no differentiation between the idealistic and the pragmatic, though he is quick to separate pragmatism from opportunism.

(It is hard to describe Tink, and I sit here wondering if I am conveying any inkling of the man. He looks absurdly young, talks brelliantly, has a quiet but sharp sense of humor. He is very Midwestern in his earnestness; he holds what is now considered an almost-naive conviction, that there is knowable truth which can be determined. What is most puzzling about him, I suspect, is not so much the seeming naivete but that he is aware that others may react negatively to it and doesn't care. Not only doesn't he care; it amuses him tremendously on a metaphysical plane. Yet there is no trace of smugness or self-satisfaction . . .)

Metastasis: the transfer of discase from one part of the body to another with development of the characteristic lesion in the new location, as in cancer.

Almost two years ago, when I was playing and singing in a now-defunct San Francisco electric band, our lead guitarist and I composed a gentle, melancitation ballad in an Elizabethan

This was the last stanza:

In this void where silence

reigns,
I would cry a killer's name:
Your false king wears robes
his knives once tore.
Yes, we heroes drop your
arms,
And we scholars close your
books;
Now we minstrels slip out
through your door.

We've nothing left to offer any-

more.

Over the past year, I have met few people who do not suspect that Lyndon Baines Johnson is implicated in the plot to kill Kennedy, either before the act or ex post facto. "MacBird!" ran to overflow audiences for many months. Some critics of the Warren Commission Report have hinted at the possibility. The Garrison investigation pursues its steady course despite hysterical attempts to discredit it, and, in the latest issue of Ramparts, Garrison himself states that Johnson has been of notable help in covering up evidence. Paul Krassner ran a fictitious excerpt

from the Manchester book in

which Johnson fucked Kennedy's corpse through the throat wound, and people believed it.

The speculation is widespread. It makes top-notch cocktail party conversation. But it goes no further. No one is outraged. No one really cares, one way or the other.

Tink Thompson does not believe it. His opinion of Johnson is low, but he cannot conceive of his being involved in the assassination. At any rate, such unscientific speculations are irrelevant to his goals and purposes as an investigator and author. "Six Seconds in Dallas" is what he, and his publishers, describe as a "micro-study" of the assassination. ("I'm proud of that title," Tink said of "Six Seconds in Dallas." "Thought it up mysekt. That's all the book is about-what happened in those six seconds.")

The title, like his argument, is concise and accurate. Concentrating lucidly on the six seconds during which the fatal shots were fired, using existing evidence and no speculaton, Thompson proves that those shots were fired by three gummen. He proves no more—and no less. For the validity of his argument discredits the very foundation of the Warren Commission Report, based as it is on the thoery that Oswald acted alone.

Thompson's work, unlike that of his predecessors, is not speculative; he is not theorizing. "Six Seconds in Dallas" does not concern itself at all with the who and why of the act. Tink Thompson was after truth, scientific, factual truth, since he feels that is the only basis from which answers to the other questions can be deduced. So he eliminated from his investigation all but the definable moments of truth: the six seconds in which the act was committed. Using strictly scientifto methods—analyses of the timing of the shots, trajectories, angles of bullet entry, effects of impact-and working primarily from the evidence recorded by film, he proves beyond question

Continued on page 11

Six Seconds In Dallas

Continued from page 8

that the shots were fired by three assassins. His conclusions are not based on flimsy hypothetical coincidences. They are derived from the recorded evidence much of it in the Zapruder film-by application of the fundamental laws of physics: In preparing the book, Thompson became an autodidactic expert in various phases of photoanalysis, and most of his argument is supported by the photographs.)

As I noted above, much of his thesis immensely readable, enjoyable simply as the smooth and logical functioning of a mind invested with honed intelligence and clarity of perception— is based upon the original copy of the Zapruder film, which is in the possession of Life magazine. Thompson had much opportunity to study that film when working for Life as a consultant on the assassination. He claims that careful examination of that copy (the Warren Commission worked from a copy of a copy of the original) provides clear evidence that the shots could not all have been fired by the same man. He expected Life to release that news over a year ago; his book was written after their decision not to run the story. Recently, in a bizarre attempt at self-protection, Life refused permission to reproduce four crucial frames from the Zapruder tilm in "Six Seconds," despite an offer from Thompson and Geis by which Life would have received all profits from the book in return for the right to use those four frames. Life refused, and the magazine has filed suit against the book; if they win, it will be impounded and, presumably, destroyed.

The four frames in question show clearly that, among other things, Governor Connally was struck by a different shot than you know, that was a hell of a that which hit the President.

Since the single-bullet theory necessary to support the loneassassin argument—is vital to the Warren Commission's conclusion, actual photographic proof to the contrary shatters the structure of that report completely.

"When I saw Life's original of the Zapruder film," Tink told me, "I knew the case was broken right then and there. So I called Don Preston, executive editor at Geis, and told him to forget the book, Life would be breaking the story. That was in the fall of 1966." and raids

Musing about the lawsuit, he said calmly, "I have no expectations of making any money off this book." He did not seem disappointed; he was astonished enough by the \$500 Gais gave him as an advance on the book, and the expense account Geis allowed him during his publicity tour. (He insisted on paying for our drinks, and went so far as to tip the hat-check girl for my coat, for all the world like a young kid with a \$5 bill Christmas present.) "If this book gets those four frames, sprung from Life, it will have succeeded," he continued. "If those are released, the pressure for reopening the case will become irresistible. And if I'm bluffing, Life can call me on it." How long, I wondered, would he go on talking, trying to get someone to take action? "If the case doesn't break by February, fuck it." That was one of the few fourletter words he uttered all evening; in context, it was shocking in its bitterness.

By then we'd both had two drinks, and the conversation-at least my end of it-was beginning to unravel. In a last-ditch attempt to stick to the subject, I asked what effect he expected the book to have on the public. "If I were the man in the street," he spoke eagerly. "and I read what I've written here, I'd damn well want to DO something!" What, I said softly. "Write my congressman, call someone, send letters to the Editor of the Times, shout .

Continued on page 39

Six Seconds in Dallas

Continued from page 11

good question." Then I asked him to autograph my review copy of the book, which he did with a childish delight, and I welked out into New York and the winter street, leaving Tink in the lobby, collecting his messages at the front desk.

Downtown Dallas is much like downtown San Francisco and downtown New York. There is more brooding violence in the East Village. In downtown Dallas, at a restaurant called the Cattleman, I ate the best steak I had ever tasted—perfect meat, superbly cooked; meat which had been understood. The diners around me were dressed in fashionable clothes, tasteful and sedate. Their necks were not red. They were the norm; the walking Marlboro ads I spotted occasionally appeared more and more anomalous as the week wore on.

After dinner I walked to Dealey Plaza. It was a chill, clear night, bright and crisp. The Texas School Book Depository, the overpass, the grassy knoll, stood moonlit in silence. They were invested with no magic, no flickering aura of evil, no looming atmosphere of historicity. It was all merely, disappointingly, there. No tears came, I walked back to my hotel.