Conspinacy by Ton

Pawley, he was instrumental in the ruthless overthrow of the Communist-oriented regime in Guatemala. Guy Banister, who reportedly manipulated Lee Oswald in the summer of 1963, have also been linked with the Guatemala operation. The report persists that Hunt was in Mexico City in late September 1963, at the time of Oswald's visit to Mexico. 129 Hunt denies this, as he have denied allegations that he was in Dallas on the day of the assassination.

Frank Sturgis (né Florini), 130 Howard Hunt's associate in the Watergate burglary, was one of those who helped spread the story that Oswald was affiliated to Castro's intelligence scrvice. He is still alive. Hunt says he did not meet Sturgis until 1972, whik Sturgis has said he met Hunt two years before the Kennedy assassination. Sturgis has declined to say where he was on the day the President was killed.

In 1979 an Assassinations Committee report stated that Sturgis took part in an anti-Castro operation called "Cellula Fantasma." This involved dropping leaflets from the skies over Cuba. and Sturgis — who is a pilot — was involved. The importance of the detail is that Sturgis has been connected to the operation by a Cuban who attended its planning stages. The Cuban is Antonic Veciana, and his reason for mentioning the scheme to Congressional investigators was the identity of a CIA officer who took a personal interest in it. The officer, says Veciana, was "Maurist Bishop."

Antonio Veciana was the victim of a murder attempt in late 1979 — an ambush while he was on the way home from work. Four shots were fired, and a fragment of one bullet lodged in Veciana's head. He recovered — in what police and doctors considered a freak escape. Publicly the veteran anti-Castro considered a freak escape. Publicly the veteran anti-Castro fighter has blamed the attack on Castro agents, but privately he has also expressed concern that it may have been linked to his allegations about CIA case officer "Maurice Bishop," who assays Veciana — met Oswald shortly before the Kennedy assays ination and later urged the fabrication of a false story about Oswald and Cuban diplomats in Mexico City.

"Maurice Bishop," meanwhile, remains the center of controversy and the elusive target of continuing research to establish his real identity. Assassinations Committee investigators, work

AFTERMAT

THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAM

ing on Veciana's description, have built up a picture of their quarry. "Bisliop," who would now be into his sixties, was 6'2" lall, of athletic build, and weighed more than 200 pounds. The eyes were gray-blue, the hair light brown going gray, the complexion fair. "Bishop's" face was usually tanned and he had "sunspots" under his eyes. He was meticulous about his dress, and — by the early Seventies — was wearing glasses for reading. Veciana gained the impression he was either from the American South or — more likely — from Texas. In 1978 the Assassinations Committee issued an artist's impression of "Bishop" and made a nationwide appeal for assistance in tracking him down (see illustration 26, top). That proved unrewarding, but ion desert and disinformation jungle that they encountered at the CIA.

"Maurice Bishop." Several CIA officials have said they did indeed know of a but the Committee found dramatic encouragement elsewhere. by Oswald's Dallas mentor, George de Mohrenschildt. So far, posed the meeting with Army Intelligence that was attended assumed, while in Miami, that his military unit was actually the Kail lead has been unproductive apart from that connection, working for the CIA. It was Kail who, in summer 1963, procould he recall the name "Maurice Bishop," but said that Embassy staff personnel in their outside contacts." Kail later visitors that he could not remember Veciana. Nor, he said was contacted by the Committee. He said he saw so many Cuban vant leads in the Veciana affair. Colonel Sam Kail, however, a the Assassinations Committee management to follow up rele-Smith, who was third secretary at the Havana Embassy, had cer, a second was Wayne Smith, and the third was Sam Kail Texan who was a military intelligence officer at the Embassy, not been questioned yet — just one example of the failure by working in the U.S. Embassy. One was an unnamed CIA offivana — suggested he seek assistance from a number of officials agents of the CIA would frequently use the names of other Veciana recalled that "Bishop" — as his spymaster in Ha-

First there is the former Director of the CIA, Kennedy appointee John McCone. During his deposition, this conversation took place.

QUESTION: Do you, or did you, know Maurice Bishop?

QUESTION: Was he an Agency employee:

ANSWER: I believe so.

Committee stumbled on a witness who was more specific. He had formerly been a case officer at JM/WAVE, the headquarters publicly merely as "B.H." When asked if he knew Maurice Bishop, "B.H." replied that "Mr. Bishop was in the organizawhen the Committee interviewed a former CIA agent described did for the Agency. There was another intriguing development cer, whom the Committee quoted under the pseudonym "Ron in Florida for the CIA's Secret War against Castro. This offior three times" at CIA headquarters. In Miami, however, the knew, he could no longer remember what "Bishop" actually answers to the Committee questions were dramatic. and was potentially well placed to have known "Bishop." His Cross," had handled one of the most active anti-Castro groups that he had been a senior officer and that he had met him "two tion, but I had no personal day-to-day open relationship with him. . . .'' "B.H." was vague about "Bishop." saying only Former Director McCone said that, although he onc

out of Havana. "Cross" duly pointed out the fact that the third and the third was the real name of an officer who had worked at "Cross." The first was "Bishop," another was "Knight." was the name used by David Phillips. used by Howard Hunt. And "Bishop," "Cross" believed name was the true name of somebody he had encountered in Havana. "Knight," as he recalled it, was a name occasionally Committee investigators threw not one, but three names

and Soviet Embassies by a man who may - on some occasions cer who was running Mexico City Cuban operations — at the sels of the Assassinations Committee. "Cross," a few day and some of whose testimony failed to satisfy two Chief Coundisappearing sound recordings of the visitor to the embassies lack of surveillance pictures of the real Oswald, and of the in retirement, has come up with his own explanations of the at least - have been an Oswald imposter. It is Phillips who time of the Oswald visit, and of the strange visits to the Cuban Phillips, the reader will recall, is the former top CIA offi

> "Maurice" — a name the Committee investigators had not so from Washington, did indeed use the cover name of "Bishop." aller his initial statements, declared himself "almost certain" far mentioned. In addition, "Cross" now coupled "Bishop" with the first name that Phillips, who sometimes visited the Miami CIA station

"Bishop," and said he had never heard the name used by a lation around his name — and it continues as this edition goes CIA employee. His denial, however, has not stilled the specu-Committee in 1978. He denied ever having used the name David Phillips testified on oath to the Assassination

can Republic during 1965, the year American troops invaded Bay of Pigs operation. He was Chief of Station in the Domini Eisenhower approved the earliest plans to reverse Castro's over. Phillips spent some time in Guatemala studying the docuing on the subject, and later became propaganda chief of the revolution in Cuba. He attended the first CIA executive meetlater. in 1960, Phillips was in at the very start when President called Che Guevara, and opened a CIA file on him. Six years activities in Guatemala of an obscure young revolutionary ments of the defeated regime. It was he who noted the recent which never took place. When American-backed forces took as by actual force of arms. Phillips, a propaganda expert, ran Arbenz was panicked into resignation as much by propaganda in Guatemala. It was a remarkably cunning operation, in which of the Agency's most infamous operations to topple foreign reer in U.S. intelligence - a career which spanned some tion of local CIA officers, who launched him on his long cato Chile and tried his hand at publishing a small Englishto become an actor. After a false start in the theater, he moved lalse reports about imaginary rebel forces and about battles the clandestine Voice of Liberation radio — broadcasting throw of the anti-American, left-leaning Arbenz government Action Officer, Phillips played a leading part in the overtion with a CIA team including Howard Hunt as Political 80vernments (see illustration 26, right). In 1954, in associalanguage newspaper. It was there that he attracted the atten-Phillips, a Texan born near Fort Worth, originally wanted

the country. At the peak of a career in which he rose to become Chief of the Western Hemisphere Division, Phillips was to the fore in American meddling in Chilean affairs. He was chief of the Chile Task Force established to try to prevent Salvador Allende assuming the presidency to which he had been legally elected. Phillips, for all that, insists he is a man of progressive

CIA operations. One overt function of the company was to operative. Veciana says "Bishop" initially introduced himtivities. In 1960, when Veciana said he was recruited by times consistent with Veciana's account of "Bishop's" aclikely from Texas. Phillips had served in relevant places at described by Veciana. Phillips was a Texan, and Veciana had gestion that Phillips was "Bishop," took into account cersympathies. CIA has indeed used Belgian identity papers for secret operation, he was using his own public relations firm as a front for allegations, states that by 1959, following the Castro revoluin a biography not yet published when Veciana first made his self as a representative of a construction firm headquartered "Bishop" in Havana, Phillips was serving there as a covert from the first expressed the belief that "Bishop" was most ain coincidences between Phillips' career and "Bishop" as represent "foreign industrialists." There is evidence that the in Belgium. He also used a false Belgian passport. Phillips. The Assassinations Committee inquiry, faced with the sug

The Anglo-American Directory of Cuba for 1960 carries The Anglo-American Directory of Cuba for 1960 carries an entry for Phillips as a "Public Relations Councillor." phillips, however, says he was out of Cuba by early March 1960 lips, however, says he was out of Cuba by early March 1960 cruited by "Bishop." This author's research, for this Edition has produced some corroboration that Phillips did cease to be has produced some corroboration that Phillips did cease to be has produced some corroboration that Phillips did cease to be has produced that a permanent Havana resident in early 1960. Assassinations a permanent Havana resident in early 1960. Assassinations a permanent Havana resident in Havana during the period Phillips could indeed have been in Havana during the period mentioned by Veciana. The CIA's liaison in the Castro regime was one of Veciana's closest associates, and Phillips knew

him. Veciana says it was "Bishop" who incited him to take part in a plot to murder Fidel Castro, while Phillips says he knew nothing of CIA assassination plots. He has, however, admitted that — in Cuba — he took part in other anti-Castro activity very similar to that ascribed to "Bishop." Phillips, writing before the Veciana allegations became known, said he contacted one of a group of Cubans who were planning an early coup attempt against Castro. His CIA instructions, Phillips wrote, were to introduce himself as "an American anxious to assist,"

perhaps "using a false identity." The plan leaked, and several of the Cubans involved were arrested. Much the same

says that — as chief of CIA Latin American operations in who played a leading role in CIA operations against Allende a lump sum of more than a quarter of a million dollars. Phillips, lation showing that he was at CIA headquarters near Washing. available, however, and they are so far fuzzily accounted for let alone Phillips, made the payment to Veciana. Funds were since the Fifties. None of this, of course, proves that the CIA. ganda purposes, an area which has been Phillips' speciality fund manipulation of radio stations and newspapers for propamuch of that vast sum was spent. Millions, however, went to Congressional Oversight Committees have yet to be told how cluding Phillips as a key executive — disposed of thirteen milknown, however, that CIA operatives in Latin America — inwith his own approval or that of the Director of the CIA. It is Veciana. He insists that such a sum could have been paid only then Chilean President, Salvador Allende. Allende fell in 1973 that "Bishop" played an important role in efforts to remove the later plot to assassinate Castro, in 1971 in Chile. He also says happened when Veciana's plot to kill Castro was discovered Veciana in Miami. On the other hand, Phillips has made no such ion during at least part of the day "Bishop" allegedly paid of Phillips, meanwhile, says he may be able to produce documen ion dollars on covert action operations between 1963 and 1974 1973 — he knows that no such CIA payment was made to the year Veciana says he was finally paid off by "Bishop" with Veciana has claimed that "Bishop" was involved in a much

AFTERMATH

appeal to the record over his whereabouts at the time of the incident at the heart of the "Bishop" furor — the meeting in autumn 1963, when Veciana says he encountered Oswald in "Bishop's" company in Dallas, Texas. Phillips now says that he was in Texas "around that time," visiting relatives thirty miles from Dallas.

analogy. It found a sort of corroboration, which also contains tion by Miami case officer "Ron Cross," that Phillips and a contradiction. Hunt, who has written several novels and a "Knight" respectively -- using what appears to be a chess Howard Hunt had operated under the names "Bishop" and very like him appears under the name Hank Sturgis in a novel meet Frank Fiorini/Sturgis until the Seventies, a character in his books. For example, although Hunt claims he did not non-fiction work about the Bay of Pigs, has used pseudonyms written as early as 1949. The fictional character is an ex-Marine chief for the operation, as "Knight." In his 1977 memoirs, for name Frank Sturgis in 1952. In his book about the Bay of Pigs, similar to that of the real-life individual who legally took the turned gambler and soldier-of-fortune, a career which sounds Hunt refers to his old associate Phillips, then propaganda was Richard Helms, the controversial former Director of the man Hunt idolized. . . ." The man Hunt idolized, it turns out, nym belonged to one of the Agency's most senior officers, a lade - people who have worked in CIA will recall that pseudoing that "Bestowing the name of Knight was the ultimate accohis part, Phillips makes much of this identification, comment-CIA. The recent authoritative book on Helms, The Man Who names in real-life operations in the early Sixties. If Hunt indeed codename in the CIA. Hunt's literary back-patting of Phillips, Kept the Secrets, states flatly that "Knight" was Helms during anti-Castro operations. "Cross," of course, suggests it board, "Bishop." He said the reason he was "almost positive" was Phillips who borrowed the other name from the chesscer "Cross" recalls -- he would have dubbed himself "Knight" idolized Helms, it seems plausible that — as former case offihowever, does not necessarily correspond with the use of cover Phillips actually used that name was because of the conversa-Congress' Assassinations Committee pursued the declara-

tions he recalled with Phillips' assistant, Doug Gupton. Gupton, says "Cross," would often say something like, "Well, I guess Mr. Bishop will have to talk with him," and "Cross" would know he was referring to his boss, David Phillips. At this point, however, the Assassinations Committee inquiry faltered.

The Committee traced Gupton, who confirmed that he was in daily contact with "Cross." However, he said he "did not recall whether either Hunt or Phillips used the cover name "Knight," nor did he remember Phillips using the name "Maurice Bishop." Faced with "Cross'" recollection of his having referred frequently to Phillips by the name "Bishop," Gupton said, "Well maybe I did, I don't remember." He said he did not recognize the artist's impression of "Bishop" drawn from the description by Veciana. He did say, however, that Phillips "used many of his old contacts from Havana in his personal operations."

saying, "No, he's not him. . . . But he knows." Asked what he part, showed no sign of recognizing Veciana during the luncheon tion, Veciana repeated his denial that Phillips was "Bishop, cheon of the Association of Former Intelligence Officers.* opportunity to observe David Phillips in the flesh — at a lunshown photographs of David Phillips. He reportedly stared at Phillips was clearly told Veciana's name, three times, in front of According to the Assassinations Committee investigator present, been introduced not by name, but merely as "the driver." Later, in sworn testimony, Phillips was to claim that Veciana had session — although Veciana was repeatedly introduced to him. meant, Veciana merely repeated, "He knows." Phillips, for his Afterwards, according to a published account of the confrontahim. . . . But I would like to talk to him." Soon, Veciana had an he have a brother?" Finally, though, Veciana said, "No, it's not one picture for a long time, and then said, "It is close. . . . Does During the search for "Bishop," Antonio Veciana was

^{*} The meeting was addressed by Clare Boothe Luce, who cropped up in the inquiry in connection with disinformation. Luce, a staunch defender of the intelligence establishment, is on the board of the Association.

In the end, Congress' Assassinations Committee was not satisfied with the responses by either Veciana or Phillips. Its satisfied with the Committee "suspected Veciana was lying Report said that the Committee "suspected Veciana was lying when he denied that the retired officer was Bishop..." It rewhen he denied that the retired officer was Bishop..." It rewhen the confrontation with Veciana, but a detailed appendix to the the confrontation with Veciana, but a detailed appendix to the Report shows that Phillips was the officer discussed. 131 The Report said of Phillips, "... For his part, the retired officer Report said of Phillips, "... For his part, the retired officer he did not recognize Veciana as the founder of Alpha 66, espehe did not recognize the founder of Alpha 66, espehen did not recognize the founder of Alpha 66, espehen did not recognize the founder of Alpha 66,

There is no evidence that the "retired officer," Phillips, had any part in a conspiracy to murder the President. Moreover, any part in a conspiracy to murder the President. Moreover, any part in a conspiracy to murder the President. Moreover, any part in a conspiracy to "Bishop," Veciana's account does whatever that "Bishop" plotted the President's assassination not state that "Bishop" plotted the President's assassination not state that "Bishop" plotted the President's assassination to the continuing inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the to the continuing inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the tragedy. The allegation is that a U.S. intelligence officer met with tragedy. The allegation is that a U.S. intelligence officer met with tragedy. The allegation is that a U.S. intelligence officer met with tragedy. The allegation is that a U.S. intelligence officer met with Cuban contact to help fabricate a false story about an Oswald link Cuban diplomats. That, clearly, must be exhaustively with Cuban diplomats. That, clearly, must be

by confusing responses to its questions about "Bishop" addressed unresolved and under-researched. Its inquiries had been stymical to the CIA and to its former employees. Once the Agency used on assignments involving violence, stuck to his story of told the Committee he did remember "Bishop." "B.H.," the time Director McCone said he must have been mistaken when he declared it could find no reference to "Bishop" in its files, oneformer covert operative believed by Committee staff to have been circumstances in which they emerged, believes that the statement mittee investigator, weighing the various statements and the Miami case officer who named Howard Hunt as "Knight" and having met "Bishop" at CIA headquarters. "Ron Cross," the by "B.H." may be a red herring designed to confuse the trail. He Phillips as "Bishop," has not withdrawn his allegation. One Comtends to believe McCone's instinctive initial reaction, and also the The Assassinations Committee has left the "Bishop" affair

replies of "Ron Cross" about Hunt and Phillips. The feeling remains that somebody in the CIA, or who was formerly in the CIA, is playing chess with the Kennedy inquiry.

pressures of time and money, led to the dying-off of top-level connections with Oswald in New Orleans building up, the Comwhich he has kept ever since. Congress' Assassinations Commitwith that name on it. He says this is a note he made at the time, "Frigault" on the passport, and he has produced a slip of paper enthusiasm for the hunt for "Bishop." As we have seen, vital leads he be unmasked. Clearly this is right. clues - should now be followed up promptly. Those directly tee failed to pursue this lead, which - like the other neglected tact had with him a Belgian passport. Veciana noted the name his relationship with "Bishop," he noted that his American conhelp the investigation. He states that, in the very earliest days of remain unchecked. So, too, does another of Veciana's efforts to this was surely an error of judgement. That, combined with the mittee veered in that direction to the exclusion of other evidence. indeed a "Bishop," and believe it is of paramount importance that involved in this area of the investigation are confident there was In fact, taking together the evidence of New Orleans and Mexico, In its closing months, with the evidence about possible Mafia

It is certainly possible that a renegade element in U.S. intelligence manipulated Oswald — whatever his role on November 22, 1963. That same element may have activated pawns in the anti-Castro movement and the Mafia to murder the President and to execute Oswald.

The very suggestion that some of those charged with protecting American security should so betray their trust is clearly abhorrent to moderate citizens. Unfortunately there is nothing inherently implausible in the scenario. The revelations of the Seventics have shown only too clearly that there were rotten apples in the CIA apparatus and that they included some of those most passionately committed to the elimination of Fidel Castro. In the name of that cause, intelligence officers dabbled in unauthorized operations, including assassination plots which until recently seemed to belong in the purple pages of pulp fiction. In pursuit of these follies, CIA officials were deeply involved with top members of the Mafia. The mob hated the Kennedy adminis-

aura of deception and violent action, who exercised unconscionspecifically, the forcible eviction of Carlos Marcello. Over Cuba, coincidental with the Kennedy onslaught on the Mafia, including, President "betrayed" the cause of the anti-Castro movement, was with the President's. The time of the Bay of Pigs, when the tration, and so did some of those in the CIA whose views clashed able power. The signs are that, at least from the time of the the CIA. There were those in the CIA, steeped in an everyday unauthorized raids on Soviet shipping after the missile crisis, some the Mafia and the exiles nursed the same resentments as many in age the President's search for peace. This cannot be dismissed as CIA officer reportedly incited Cuban exiles to disobey Presidennoted that, even at the time of the Bay of Pigs debacle, a senior unfounded speculation. Congress' Assassinations Committee individuals in intelligence encouraged actions designed to sabotworking under the cover name of "Frank Bender," assembled tial policy. Before the invasion, the CIA director of operations, said he would secretly inform Pepe and Oliva. Pepe [Pepe San authoritative history, "Bender" told the Cubans that "There were exile leaders at their Guatemala training camp. According to the way: 'If this happens you come here and make some kind of show, Frank might be ordered to stop it. If he received such an order, he forces in the administration trying to block the invasion, and with the program as we have talked about it, and we will give you as if you were putting us, the advisers, in prison, and you go ahead Roman, the exile commander] remembers Frank's next words this the whole plan, even if we are your prisoners.'... Frank then

nuclear holocaust -- one CIA officer had conceived on his own the was appalled to discover that — as the world waited in fear of a groups had already set off. The President's brother investigated project of dispatching ten commando teams to Cuba. Three laughed and said, 'In the end we will win.' "" the matter and found that top CIA officials knew nothing about it. CIA operative said by an official of the Cuban Special Group to The officer responsible for this idiocy was William Harvey, the Many months later, during the missile crisis, Robert Kennedy

in the Castro assassination plots. with the gangster John Roselli, the link-man to Santos Trafficante actively involved in the field and in that capacity had meetings CIA machinations with the Mafia to kill Fidel Castro. He was late 1961 until 1963, Harvey headed another operation — the canvass the underworld for "an available pool of assassins." From 0J/win, the as yet unidentified CIA "asset" whom Harvey used to bility to perform assassinations." To that end, Harvey contacted research means to overthrow foreign leaders, including a "capathe Bay of Pigs. Its purpose, as the CIA has admitted, was to Action" scheme, in which Harvey had been dabbling even before harnessed to two familiar projects. One was the "Executive have hated Robert Kennedy "with a purple passion." Before his eventual removal to a foreign posting, Harvey's expertise was

wholly possible that mavericks from the intelligence world were that the CIA as an agency had no part in the assassination, it is CIA were ready, even eager, to flout the wishes of President operation, are both recorded by distinguished chroniclers. These der's" apparent incitement to mutiny during the Bay of Pigs involved. Kennedy. While the Assassinations Committee rightly concluded episodes are evidence, if evidence is still needed, that some in the Harvey's desperate folly during the missile crisis, and "Ben-

and they hadn't." As we have seen, Robert Kennedy later my brother, and I asked him in a way that he couldn't lie to me ed, "You know, at the time I asked McCone . . . if they had killed Kennedy confided such suspicions to a family friend, then Direcwhen the question first came up, CIA Director McCone had no would have had difficulty accepting them. In November 1963 intelligence officers' innocence, and certainly Robert Kennedy that McCone would still feel able to give assurances of American clons of both men have been vindicated by the research of the been more than one gunman in Dealey Plaza. In 1979, the suspiin it. As for McCone, he believed from the start that there had murder and suspected that organized crime might have had a part developed grave doubts about the official version of the Dallas lor of the CIA, John McCone. The younger Kennedy later recall-Assassinations Committee. Today, furthermore, it is doubtful After his brother's death in Dallas, Attorney General Robert

[•] The officer's real name was reportedly "Droller" (Thomas Powers, op.

nothing of the CIA plots to kill Castro. Nor had he been told that, to his colleagues when he became a member of the Warren deeply involved with the very Mafia bosses suspected of plotting as part of their lethal schemes, some senior officers had become organized-crime role in the assassination to include the American know of assassination plots against Castro but failed to mention it to kill the President. Allen Dulles, McCone's predecessor, did idea what outrages his own people had been committing. He knew know today, he would surely have extended his suspicions of an Commission. If Robert Kennedy had survived to learn what we intelligence element.

expected to be truthful with us, and to cooperate with us. And government here which we were depending upon, that we betrayed. I feel that the CIA lied to us, that we had an agency of counsel Burt Griffin told a BBC colleague and myself, "I feel unraveling of the Kennedy case. Former Warren Commission were involved in efforts to assassinate Castro which could have they didn't do it. The CIA concealed from us the fact that they were involved in working with the Mafia at that time." Judge been of extreme importance to us. Especially the fact that they supposed to be loyal and faithful to us, deliberately misled us." disturbing to me is that two agencies of the government, that were As for the specific case of the Kennedy killing, the Assassinations Judge Griffin's rueful conclusions about the performance of the Griffin feels the same about the FBI and says, "What is most intelligence agencies are now not allegations but hard facts, spiracy — people, motive and means — and the evidence indicated had all the elements necessary for a successful assassination con-Committee declared in 1979 that "the CIA-Mafia-Cuban plots hammered into the record by successive Congressional inquiries. resources at their disposal to increase their power and alleviate that the participants might well have considered using the details of those activities that might have led to the assassination their problems by assassinating the President. Nevertheless, the Committee was ultimately frustrated in its attempt to determine The past two years have marked a historic turn-around in the identification of participants, associations, timing of events.

As this book was being completed, one indefatigable Ameri-

racy junkies," and another gloomily foresaw that now "wackier that evidence. One reporter sneered at those he dubbed "conspieditor, he received a memorandum regretting that he was still clear from his comments that he had not studied the vital detail of evidence that two gunmen were at work in Dealey Plaza, yet it was distinguished commentator "declined to accept" the acoustics articles ranging from the caustic to the openly sarcastic. One New York Times delivered their verdicts. They gave space to echoed that sentiment. Some decried the significant achievements can reporter found that his carefully researched stories on the tantasies which had surrounded the case. lindings, the latter writer would have found that the latest inquiry and wackier the theories will grow." Had he read the Committee's possibly have studied the monumental verbiage of the report and the Committee had produced. Long before their reporters could report came out, the most powerful organs of the American media for the moment — 'Let it rest.'" When the Committee's final Committee's demise is reflective of the general public's feelings wrote the editor, "carefully point out that the Assassinations Kennedy case were not getting into print. On appealing to his had performed the welcome service of disposing of the many its accompanying volumes of evidence, Time, Newsweek, and the posing questions that are unanswerable." He should instead

metamorphic Sixties. With a handful of honorable exceptions, few nation. To my amazement, I repeatedly found myself the first professional journalists did original work on the Kennedy assassinever was treated with the assiduous reporting effort that folexpectation that I would be sifting more than a dozen years of reporter to interview relevant witnesses. Instinct of inquiry was dulled by trust in the official investigation. lowed Watergate. It occurred in a time when the reporter's vital I was in a virtual journalistic vacuum. The Kennedy assassination intensive investigative reporting. I found, with astonishment, that The necessary follow-up was swept away in the avalanche of the I started work on the Kennedy case with the apprehensive

protong public confusion. Its writer claimed with assurance that lory and should never have met at all if the best it could do was editorial opined that the Committee had done no service to his-After the Assassinations Committee reported, one American

to any civilized society — justice. tween hysteria and the cement of history there is that essential can people are weary of the Kennedy assassination or not. Beto say — it does not really matter in this case whether the Amerireporter should presume to read the public mind, and - I venture "few Americans are very fervent these days in their desire to know the single, burning, absolute truth about the killings." No

other than Oswald, might have taken part in the assassination. ing that the latest official inquiry "offered nary a clue" as to who. tion also produced disturbing quotes from law-enforcement responsibility as a trusted public official book. As for the second official, the outburst is at odds with his tee evidence on the Kennedy case. He might even find them in this do than to "chase ghosts." The first would find clues aplenty were Another declared that the Justice Department has better things to authorities. One Justice Department official was reported as say. he to study the seven thousand pages of Assassinations Commit-The reporters who mocked the latest assassination investiga-

eloquent footnote to the Committee's report. Dodd insisted self "not qualified" to discuss the subject of Lee Oswald, "which on the Assassinations Committee, its performance was as galling deplored the fact that the CIA had failed to send to the Commitagencies." Congressman Dodd, like his colleague Fithian, abuses to occur. There must be no question that the Congress were tree to function outside or above the law allowed these interested in." Congressman Dodd was so outraged by what he happens to be the only thing this committee was primarily as ever. One, Congressman Fithian, noted at one public hearing tion is recorded time and again in these pages. For Congressmen tee a spokesman prepared to discuss the role of that central tigure American public demand that they do so. I believe that even today intends for these agencies to operate within the law and that the "These two agencies need the rule of law. The attitude that they that the Agency had dispatched a spokesman who declared himthe attitude of being in some way above the law lingers in these learned about both the FBI and the CIA that he added his own Lee Oswald. In conclusion, the Congressman turned to As for the CIA, its arrogance toward the civilian administra

> about the CIA. He asked, quoting Julius Caesar, Shakespeare to ask the question he posed to the American people

"Upon what meat doth this, our Caesar, feed

ence. If so, we can afford to be indifferent no longer." That he is grown so great?" "Perhaps," Dodd concluded, "it is the meat of our indiffer-

demands no less." not the murders of men like John F. Kennedy.... Justice Because statutes of limitation do not apply to murder, certainly are — New Orleans in the case of the Kennedy assassination.... map that indicates the points of departure for subsequent invesgunmen in Dallas, and thus a conspiracy, is "a scientifically based silence to emphasize that the fact that there were at least two extreme caution and a painstaking regard for hard evidence. Since sor Robert Blakey, is a meticulous lawyer. He has a reputation for ress than to pursue the course the Committee has charted. Why? The Government, to live up to the meaning of Justice, can do no ligation that need not be limited as Congressional investigations lact." The Professor says, "The Committee has provided a road the Committee issued its Report he has broken his customary The Chief Counsel of the Assassinations Committee, Profes-

began with the killing of President Kennedy. chsis. They were, he said, the executions of national figures which Carter firmly dated the milestones in the process that led to the growing disrespect for all the established institutions. President bother to vote, whose productivity is falling, where there is a spirit in our country." He listed the ills of an America endangered Carter, addressed the nation on what he called "the crisis of the ortunes, the fourth successor to John Kennedy, President Jimmy morality. In mid-1979, at a low point in his own administration's to the aspiration with which justice is inextricably entwined from within — a nation in which only a third of the people even The Chief Counsel is right, and his forthright comments lead

American character. The murder itself, enacted on a wide screen of Kennedy has reflected the best and the worst hallmarks of the bobal attention, was somehow intrinsically American, as seminal in a schizophrenic era, the assassination of President

administered as readily as the drug culture which was soon to calm with which its conclusions were announced. It was an analgesic, that hope will not, only three years away from 1984, draw cism and resume their place at the core of American life. Perhaps concepts of justice and morality may surface from a sea of cynimanner of one man's passing. In 1981 it is conceivable that the lawmakers to a national doubt that questioned far more than the ties, the reopening of the Kennedy inquiry was a response by the and confuse one generation and outrage its parents. In the Seven-Kennedy inquiry was bungled, for all the pomp and circumstance tion of international youth, and the landing on the moon. The first to the Sixties as the broadcast dramas of Vietnam, the revoluconditioned derision.

evidence of conspiracy, gave me a television interview. When I nated. In 1978 Silvia Odio, the Cuban exile whose chilling tesnot yet an American citizen when President Kennedy was assassisaid, "I guess it is a feeling of frustration after so many years. I feel approaches for so long, she was silent for a long moment. Then she asked her why she was now prepared to talk, after refusing press timony about "Oswald" remains the most compelling human outraged that we have not discovered the truth for history's sake. do against them. That is why I am here." forces I cannot understand and the fact that there is nothing I can for all of us. I think it is because I'm very angry about it all—the It is fitting, perhaps, to close with the words of one who was

certainly agree with that sentiment. The Assassinations Commitwould secure conviction. Nevertheless, the Professor says. warns that he could not be sure of bringing an indictment that towards trial . . . " On a case so long neglected, the Chief Counsel all constitutional means." Professor Blakey asserts that "there are dent Kennedy. These people should be vigorously investigated by declared that there are today "living people who could have been belief that it is not necessarily too late to see justice done. He has involved in the assassinations of Martin Luther King and Presitee Chief Counsel, in a remarkable statement, has expressed his think I could come close to it." things that can be done, in a criminal justice context, to move this A multitude of citizens, not only in the United States, would

and recommend whether further action should be taken. That counsel, should not go unheeded in a functioning democracy even more slowly than one may expect from a bureaucracy. was in early 1979. Two years later, as this edition goes to press, Justice Department to study the evidence so far assembled received Committee material. Then, late in 1980, the Justice with foolish nitpicking about the precise dates on which it had uons Committee, the Office of the Attorney General responded When pressed on the delay by the Chairman of the Assassina-Department officials began by moving extremely slowly attitude to the Kennedy case, however, is distressingly clear. the Justice Department has yet to report back to Congress. Its In its final Report, the Assassinations Committee asked the were immediate protests from the consultants who originally a second gunman fired at the President from the front. There dence that persuaded the Committee there were two gunmen Department made public an FBI review of the acoustics evireview is the work of the Bureau's management, rather than dictable, yet even a lay reading reveals that the FBI review is advised the Assassinations Committee. That was perhaps prelack of scientific proof that shots were actually recorded, or that two pages long, declared the two-gunman finding "invalid" for involved in the assassination. The FBI report, a mere twenty-Chief Counsel expressed faith that the American legal machinof the case ever since." Once, the Assassinations Committee capable of thinking or acting positively. It is a failure that began only on the acoustics but in other key areas. Professor Blakey ment has failed to do the work the Committee requested — not that of its scientists. Assassinations Committee Chief Counsel flawed. One observer questions how much of the published was no conspiracy, and it has blocked open-minded handling within a day of the assassination, when the FBI decided there but says that "on the Kennedy case they seem institutionally inrespects today's FBI for its general integrity and competence vestigation forward." He adds bitterly that the Justice Depart-"a public relations gimmick designed to avoid carrying the in Blakey expresses uncharacteristic anger, calling the FBI review That statement, from a distinguished and responsible

ery would redeem the past failures in the case of President Kennedy. Today, after seeing how the Committee's work has been mishandled, he is openly outraged. Professor Blakey now says "The Justice Department is burying this thing because they want the case to die. It's almost diabolical. The Justice Department will get out from under this thing entirely, and nothing else is going to be done about it — a conspiracy to kill my President and yours."

and yours.

Former Attorney General Robert Kennedy was reported as Former Attorney General Robert Kennedy was reported as saying, two days before his own assassination in 1968, "I now saying, two days before his own assassination in 1968, "I now saying, two days before his own assassination in 1968, "I now saying, two days and the President or the Attorney General can appoint an independent Special Prosecutor, as was done after Watergate. The inadequacy at the Justice Department reinforces the feeling of some observers that only such a course could now be effective.

The trauma of the murder of President Kennedy will not go away in our lifetime. A comprehensive judicial inquiry — and to date there has been no such thing — should promptly investigate those living persons who are potential suspects in the conspiracy to murder President Kennedy. If the evidence justifies it, they should be brought to trial.

Such an inquiry, full and unfettered, could purge the frus-

Such an inquiry, full and unfettered, could purge the frustrations and the doubts of a generation. It may fail to do so, but — as the Assassinations Committee Chief Counsel insists — justice demands no less. Without such an effort, the dying of President Kennedy becomes, indeed, a confirmation of the age of uncertainty.

CHAPTER 25

...

Afterword: The Continuing Search for "Maurice Bishop"

David Phillips, the former CIA officer considered by the Select Committee on Assassinations as a possible candidate for the true identity behind the cover name "Maurice Bishop," reacted strongly when this book was published in the summer of 1980. He contacted top executives in newspapers and television, making himself available to counter passages in *Conspiracy* concerning him. As a result, I took part in discussions with Phillips on prominent television programs.

David Phillips promptly and spontaneously. that "Cross" originally linked the name "Bishop" with that of phasis." He agreed, however, that he had been correctly quoted complained the Assassinations Committee gave it "undue em seemed upset by the interest his statements have caused, and A subsequent check with congressional investigators revealed was used to refer to Phillips. "Cross" admits that he was forto him only a short time earlier. Whatever had passed between "Cross" at home, he found that Phillips had been on the phone wrong. Shortly afterwards, when a Post reporter visited a heavy drinker, implying that he was prone to getting his facts In a further conversation, with this author, in 1981, "Cross" his recall of names and details other than "Bishop" is accurate merly a heavy drinker, but — as noted earlier — has shown tha had been used in the Miami CIA office, and that he believed it them, "Cross" stood by his assertion that the name "Bishop" who believed Phillips had indeed used the name "Bishop," was and in my book. Phillips observed that "Cross," the case officer ected by pseudonyms in Assassinations Committee reports real identity of former CIA officers whose identities were prowhen a reporter was assigned to the story, Phillips revealed the contacted the editor of the Washington Post. Subsequently In the course of these approaches to the press, Phillips

much as he had said to the Committee, "I never used the name Phillips' former Miami assistant, "Doug Gupton." He said, prompted a skeptical reaction from the Committee investigator. mittee he had met "Bishop" in the past, but whose testimony 'Bishop,' to my recollection." Finally, the reporter visited The Washington Post reporter was also able to talk to ;" the former CIA covert operative who told the Com-

not least about the way the CIA has been treated in recent years. an officer he worked with closely on a "day-to-day" basis on He told the Committee that Phillips was "a personal friend," to the Committee, but before he himself was formally inter-Washington Post in 1980, B.H. stated that after Phillips testified Cuban operations between 1960 and 1964. Interviewed by the his Committee interview "B.H." was asked simply whether he viewed, he discussed the Committee inquiry with Phillips. In open relationship with him. Phillips, yes; Bishop, no. I knew them remembered "Bishop" as being somebody other than Phillips. both." "B.H." appeared in his replies to be stressing that he Bishop was in the organization but I had no personal day-to-day he had, "B.H." responded to Committee questioning, "Mr. had known anybody named Maurice Bishop. After replying that was probably after 1964 - after the time most relevant to the "Bishop" between 1960 and 1964. In his Post interview, he said it met him only once. He told the Committee that he encountered encountered "Bishop" "two or three times." He told the Post he Committee and what he said to the Post. He told the Committee he There are notable discrepancies between what "B.H." told the closely with Phillips between 1960 and 1964. In the conversation with the Post, he claimed he did not work with Phillips until after Veciana allegations. "B.H." told the Committee he worked 1964. "B.H." accounts for these differences by claiming that his "B.H.," a short, dark man of Cuban origin, is belligerent—

case suspects that the "B.H." scenario may be a red herring. comments were "wrongly recorded." designed to confuse the trail. Such justifiable suspicions might Phillips did testify, the Committee failed to take testimony on "Bishop" case the attention it deserved. Sadly it did not. While have been resolved had the Committee management given the The Assassinations Committee investigator of the "Bishop"

> and is known to this author. Other leads received cursory Posed Veciana to "Bishop" as a promising candidate for CIA identity of a prominent Cuban who may have originally proconfirmed the use of the name "Bishop." The Committee interrogations of relevant CIA officers who might have further even subjected to formal interview. There were no systematic oath from "Cross," "B.H.," or "Gupton." "Cross," who told recruitment. The Cuban's name was known to the Committee, tailed to follow up on a key lead provided by Veciana — the two investigators he believed "Bishop" was Phillips, was not treatment.

THE CONTINUING SEARCH FOR "MAURICE BISHOP"

address in Puerto Rico. In 1980 I did follow up the lead, and wound up its inquiry. Veciana had spoken, from the start, of a was in touch with somebody called "Bishop." to be the first independent corroboration that Veciana really tracked down the Veciana-"Bishop" go-between. This proved this third party, but finally did so - providing an old, invalid where to reach Veciana. Veciana was long reluctant to identify phoning direct, or through a third person who always knew had always initiated their clandestine meetings, either by tele-80-between whom he used during his association with "Bishop." name was provided by Veciana months before the Committee He explained that, in line with intelligence tradecraft, "Bishop" The Committee never tried to trace a vital witness whose

ana's secretary at the Banco Financiero, and was there at the and Veciana is a woman, a prim grandmother in her fitties, who says Veciana never then mentioned a CIA contact, Fabiola regence briefings in an office building which housed, on the first in his earliest interviews, spoke of attending nightly U.S. intellistarted going to "language courses" in the evenings. Veciana calls details which fit his story. She recalls a time when Veciana works as a minor functionary in a U.S. government administrabecome aware that Veciana was involved in subversive activitime Veciana claims he was recruited by "Bishop." While she Havana in autumn 1961. She worked, until that year, as Vecidentified here only as "Fabiola," a Cuban exile who left live department. She has requested anonymity, and will be loor, the Berlitz School of Languages. Fabiola says she did The person who helped arrange meetings between "Bishop"

which he asked her to safeguard until he retrieved it. Veciana ties. He once produced the huge sum of half a million dollars, cally, she sympathized with him, and later - in exile - colhas always said he worked with "Bishop" on a "program that Fabiola decided not to ask Veciana awkward questions. Politiresulted in the destabilization of the Cuban currency." In Cuba. He asked her to act as answering service for him when he was laborated actively when Veciana became leader of Alpha 66. traveling, and in the months to come Fabiola became familiar name was "Bishop." When I interviewed Fabiola I threw out with the name of a caller from the mainland United States. The a number of names, including that of "Bishop." "Bishop" was names are so definitely associated that at first she had difficulty mind with a second person -- "Prewett." For her, the two memory of another name. "Bishop" is firmly linked in Fabiola's the only name to which she responded, and it stirred in her the remembering which was which. Fabiola says both individuals that they were associated with one another. She believed both "Bishop" and "Prewett" were connected with an American telephoned Veciana over the same period, and she understood news publication, based on the East Coast. Finally, she recalls

that "Prewett" was female. as a "betrayer," and the Cuban exiles, whom she describes as American affairs all her life. She has written extensively about Cuba co-sponsored by Freedom House and the Citizen's Com-"patriots." In summer 1963 Prewett attended a conference on the struggle between Fidel Castro, whom she has characterized Prewett, a Washington journalist who has specialized in Latin mittee for a Free Cuba. Her report on the conference, later in serted in the Congressional Record, began by quoting a call by Freedom House "to remove both Fidel Castro and the Soviet Cuneo, also a member of the Committee for a Free Cuba. It was a syndication organization founded by Prewett's friend Ernest wrote for the North American Newspaper Alliance (NANA). presence from Cuba without delay." For many years, Prewett Cuneo, a veteran of the CIA's forerunner, the Office of Strategic Services, who arranged for Prewett to work for NANA. In 1963 NANA was severely criticized in a Senate Committee Report. A check of American press directories turned up Virginia

THE CONTINUING SEARCH FOR "MAURICE BISHOP"

paid American lobbyist. for syndicating pro-Chiang Kai-shek propaganda written by

articles were read into the Congressional Record. policy outside the nation's popular consent." These Prewet strong measures against would-be exile raiders, Prewett rushed House for assuming it had "carte blanche to create a foreign to support the exile leadership and berated the Kennedy White flict." Three weeks later, after President Kennedy ordered Alpha 66 raids against Communist Cuba, there'll be nuclear conforeign policy," and mocked the notion that "unless we stop the Prewett called this "an all-time low in pronouncement of U.S. daring and gallant Alpha 66 raids on Cuba irresponsible acts." Alpha 66 guerrillas. On April 2, in the Washington Daily News, tion to the raids mounted against Cuba by Antonio Veciana's nation, Prewett was assailing the administration for its opposi Prewett lambasted a Kennedy spokesman who had "called the In spring 1963, seven months before the Kennedy assassi

sions that will remove Castro's regime." world to the brink of nuclear war. His purpose was "to put within months of the Missile Crisis which had brought the was to cause further trouble between Kennedy and Russia were carried out on specific instructions from CIA officer attacks which - according to Alpha 66 leader Veciana -Kennedy against the wall in order to force him to make deci "Maurice Bishop." As Veciana tells it, "Bishop's" intention Kennedy and which pleased Virginia Prewett, were the very The Alpha 66 raids, which so embarrassed Presiden

she had never met Veciana. Veciana, for his part, says he did with Prewett, in the context of the CIA and Cuba, she said met her at her hotel in Puerto Rico more than once, and "probknow Prewett, and refers to her as "Virginia." He asserts he "Where is he now?" Later in the interview, however, she said with the work of the group's leader, Veciana, and asked Alpha 66 in the early sixties, and accepted that Alpha 66 was Virginia Prewett in 1980. She agreed that she had contact with ably in Washington." When the name "Bishop" was first raised formally told so. Prewett made it clear she was once familiar "probably" backed by the CIA — even if its leaders were no In the company of a Washington Post reporter, I talked to

"Well, you had to move around people like that." When the name came up again, she said, "I didn't personally know him," and later, in response to a direct question, she said she did not know "Bishop." Prewett also said she had never met David Phillips. Phillips — asked about Prewett — contradicts her. He says he once knew Prewett quite well, specifically recalling meetings in the Dominican Republic.

Contacted by this author in early 1981, Phillips was asked whether he stood by his denial that he was "Maurice Bishop," whether he stood by his denial that he was "Maurice Bishop," or indeed knew a "Bishop," a denial formally recorded in the Assassinations Committee Report. Phillips repeated that he neither was "Bishop," nor "connected in any way," and said neither was "Bishop," nor "connected in any way," and said any such intimation was "an outrageous accusation." As for Veciana, the source of the "Bishop" allegation, he also repeated to this author that "Bishop" was not Phillips.

And there, as this edition goes to press, the matter rests. Few can now doubt that there was a "Maurice Bishop," and that he manipulated Veciana for a U.S. intelligence agency over many years. Veciana's allegations about "Bishop" in connection with the Kennedy case remain just that — allegations. They are, however, deeply troubling. It is of great importance that "Bishop" be unmasked and investigated — with all due consideration for the national security and for "Bishop's" personal safety as one who was once active in intelligence. It is getting late, but if the American people are ever to put the Kennedy assassination behind them, this — like other serious issues in the case — must be resolved.

Sources and Notes

If not described in full, source books are referred to here under the authors' names and the notation op. cit. Full details of these can be found in the bibliography. The following abbreviations are used in reference to citations from official reports.

Warren Commission Report - Report p. -.

Citations referring to the 26 volumes of Hearings and Exhibits accompanying the Warren Report are referred to by volume and page — e.g., XXII.25.

Warren Commission Documents, available at the National Archives, are referred to by abbreviation, document number, and page number within the document — e.g., CD16.5.

Citations from the Report of the House Select Committee on Assassinations (1979) are referred to by page number and the abbreviation — HSCA Report p. –.

Citations from the 12 Kennedy volumes of Hearings and Appendices of the House Select Committee on Assassinations are referred to by volume and page number — e.g., HSCA V.250.

There are many citations from the Interim Report (1975) of the Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities, United States Senate — Alleged Assassination Plots Involving Foreign Leaders. Citations from the above are referred to by Page and heading — Sen. Int. Citee., Assassination Plots.

Similarly, sources in the Final Report of the above Select Committee (1976) entitled "The Investigation of the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy: Performance of the Intelligence Agencies" are referred to