

Dear Dave,

10/15/91

While our new copier is being brought in and gotten to work - and as it turns out a little more time, the toner having been forgotten - a Tony Summers/Judith Exner update. (I'll also be able to learn whether this very old Thermofax paper can be copied clearly.)

Matt Smith phoned me again about suppertime last night. He had gotten what I sent him, the relevant CIA mafia-plot record, says it is exactly what he needed, and then told me that the anti-~~JFK~~ campaign in the major papers there has resumed with two full pages in the Express, an article signed by Judy Exner.

We did not discuss it, ^{at 6:00} because he had about an hour to finish the article he was writing, hoping it would be published in the Daily Mail, and I because I can wait arrival of the copy he'll send. (He ~~was~~ surprised, as I am, that what he'd sent still has not come. He had mailed it before phoning me the first time so at the latest it was mailed when I wrote him and sent him the copies. His earlier letter was about a fortnight getting to me, also unusual.)

I've not really thought this through but when I have thought about it I see no immediate motive Tony had in strating this other than perhaps the money the Times of London (Sunday) gave him for the first piece. Yet there seems to be no reason to assume that Tony needed that money enough to take time from his Hoover book now more than a year overdue. (Matt says that the Exner piece would bring about \$15,000 US by the going rate in London. But there was no indication that Tony ghosted it for her. That would give him a square.)

I suppose Tony has an intense dislike for all Kennedys, from his effort to blame JFK and Bobby for Marilyn Monroe's suicide. He may have gotten the idea from attention to the Beschloss indecency of similar despicable character and dishonesty. Or he may have received a proposal from the Times for an article.

What seems to be odd, really unusual, is the appearance of essentially the same story in three different major London papers in a little more than a week. Matt had said that the second one immediately after the first was unusual. It would have been here, too.

As of this morning's Post and radio news I am not aware of any US rehash.

H