Mr. Ton Oliphant The Boston Globe 2000 Penna. ave., IN Washington, D.C.

7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, IId. 21702 12/22/91 301/473-5/56

Dear Mr. Uliphant,

Your "Inside Washington" affirmation of P.T.Barnum's perceptiveness about suckers, surprising from an experienced and sophisticated report and your to me amazing comment that amounts to two wrongs making a right (The Warren Report is wrong therefore any criticism of it like Garrison/Stone's is right) plus the ignorance of the subject matter as well as of the actualities of Oliver "tone's crude and overt commercialization and exploitation of the great tragedy of the JFK assassination serve to combuse the still sorrowing people and to provide additional protection for the official miscreants who never investigated the crime and never intended to.

(My apologies for my typing. I'm almost 79, seriously impaired and must sit with my #legs devated and the typewriter to one side.)

Like Jim Garrison, Cliver Stone has trouble telling the truth even by accident. Not having seen the movie, which I will not waste time on and do not have to in order to be able to tell you what I say, I do not know whether he has yet modified one of his more flambor and lies that he knew was a lie before he first uttered it. In its original form it was that "all" official records were suppressed until the year 2039 except that the CIA could suppress its into perpetuity, If I heard you correctly you said 2029, which means that after many months of promoting himself and his movie he finally corrected his arithmetic. From what you said he seems now to have reduced this fiction to the records of the former House assassins committee.

I am a former reporter, investigative reporter, Senate investigator and editor, and World War II intelligence analyst (OSS). I am the author of the first book on the Warren Commission, five others on it and the other official investigations, of one on the king assassination, and there is no theory in any one of them.

desponsible theorizing is impossible because the government never investigated the crime itself and never intended to. I'll provide the documentation if you'd like it.

I have this documentation as the result of about a dozen FOIA lawsuits. Some were precedental. One led to the 1974 amending of the investigatory files exemption of the act. As everyone working in the field knows and as - told Stone when I first wrote him 2/8/91, I make these records - about a quarter of a million pages - available to all writing in the field. Virtually all will, I know, write what I disagree with.

If not earlier, stone knew before he began his endless repetition of this (and, of course, as any informed person would know, endless other) lie, from my letter, that more than this considerable volume of records is and long has been available. About 200 cubic feet were mostly valiable in the archibes beginning in late 1965.

If you read Lardner's story you knew I was his source and I was as close as your phone. Another Glove reporter spoke to me at some length when he planned a story. And there are other subject rather than conspiracy—theory experts you could have consulted—I think as a responsible reporter, should have.

Whatever you had in mid you have become part of a monster disinformation and misinformation and a sordid commercialization and exploitation. If you had done your "homework"
you'd have known this. As one who has come to respect you from seeing you on TV, I regret
this very much because I came to respect what you said. I think you have now undermined
your credibility very much.

If do not know whether you want to try to undo the harn you have done, whether you want to satisfy yourself that you have done harm, or whether you can begin to undo the harm you have done to your own reputation and to the nation. If you want to, I'll take all the time you want, beginning by sending you copies of what I wrote Stone, with details of that he knew and what he could have learned before he made this fraud and travesty you praised so highly.

If I understood you correctly, and you shocked me so much I am not at all certain, you believe that there are secrets that could solve the crime in the records of the House assassins. There cannot be because the FBI never wanted any and because the House assassins did not really investigate the crime itself. It had its own agenda, beginning with trying to refute published criticsm (Blakey never once mentioned me in the pre-hearing narrations) and I know that it, meaning its general counsels, began with the determination to support the official mythologies.

However, even about this Stone lied. Park "llen and # both filed FOIA requests for the records given to that committee. Serious health problems prevented my pursuing this but the lawyer who had been my lawyer, Jim Lesar, filed successful litigation for Allen. as a result nor records that Stone and his staff would take the time to read that was provided to that committee have been available available since before Stone saw the personal and commercial possibilities of arrison's disgustingly dishonest self-justification. Lesar, who can confirm much of what I say, is, I think, out of town briefly. His phone is 393—1921 is office is at 918 F St., NV.

Despite what you may know of Stone's more recent claims, he bagan by telling the world that his film would record their history for the people, that it would tell them who killed their President, why and how, and this is what made me decide to speak to Pardner when Stone did not respond to the letter you are welcome to have along with the other things I offer. He cannot now claim that he never represented he would present a non-fiction account and he never had anything else in mind, so only the attractive fictions. Waiting until after his movie was out made criticism meaningless. Criticism also enabled him to make himself into the honest man that from his movie he is not and did not intend to be.

Sincerely, Harold Weisberg