WOFFORD COLLEGE

FOUNDED 1854

SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29303-3840

(803) 585-4821

Mr. Erwin Knoll, Editor the Progressive 409 East Main Street Madison, WI 53703

February 18, 1992

To the editor:

Having been a loyal subscriber, and sometime contributor, to the Progressive for over 20 years, I must say that I have been disturbed by your inattention to the deceptive and descritful official investigation of the assassination of Pres. Kennedy. I applaud you for turning a cold shoulder to the all-too-numerous conspiracy nuts such as Mark Lane, Jim Carrison, Oliver Stone, et. al.. Over the past two months I myself have appeared on television and radio programs in South Carolina denouncing these charlatans. But at the same time, you need to recognize that what the Warren Commission and the FBI did was much more than "hasty and slipshod". They lied to the American people and framed an innocent man. If you have read any of the responsible critical works (which are admittedly few), such as those of Harold Weisberg, Sylvia Meagher, Howard Roffman, or Prof. David Wrone, you could see this for yourself. And none of these authors has tried to peddle any pet theory as to who really did it. Prof. Wrone's introduction to a book he edited with DeLoyd Guth, The Assassination of John F. Kennedy: A Comprehensive Historical and Legal Bibliography, 1963-1979, documents numerous instances of government deception. And your reference to "opening the files" ignores the fact that principally one man, Harold Weisberg (who also, incidentally, has been the biggest thorne in Oliver Stone's side), obtained most of what had been suppressed through FOIA litigation in the 1970s! I do not recall the Progressive covering any of this. One of his cases even went to the Supreme Court.

The mainstream press, in particular CBS News, has always bent over backwards to defend even the most ludicrous aspects of the government's case. But perhaps that is to be expected from the "establishment press". I do not expect it from the Progressive; that is why I have been a loyal subscriber.

Dr. Gerald Ginocchio

P.S. I am also enclosing a copy of a letter Harold Weisberg wrote to the Washington Post recently (1/11/92). I wholeheartedly concur with Mr. Weisberg.

Founded in 1909 by Robert M. LaFollette Sr.

> Morris H. Rubin Editor, 1940-1973

EDITOR Erwin Knoll MANAGING EDITOR Linda Rocawich ASSOCIATE EDITOR Ruth Conniff CONTRIBUTING EDITOR John Buell CONTRIBUTING WRITERS Peter Dykstra, Nat Hentoff, Molly Ivins, June Jordan, Elayne Rapping **ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY** Teri Terry PROOFREADER Diana Cook

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD Ben H. Bagdikian, Kathryn F. Clarenbach, Samuel H. Day Jr., Jean Bethke Elshtain, Richard Falk, Francis J. Flaherty, John Kenneth Galbraith, Herbert Hill, John B. Judis, Barbara Koeppel, Colman McCarthy, Betty Medsger, Carol Polsgrove, Daniel Schorr, Lawrence Walsh, Roger Wilkins, Maurice Zeitlin

> ART DIRECTOR Patrick JB Flynn ASSOCIATE ART DIRECTORS Donna Magdalina, Lester Doré

PUBLISHER Matthew Rothschild CIRCULATION DIRECTOR Joy E. Wallin ASSISTANT CIRCULATION DIRECTOR Scot Vee Gamble ACCOUNTANT Dale Munger **ACCOUNTING ASSISTANT** Fred Jackson MEMBERSHIP COORDINATOR Jeffrey B. Kosmacher TELEMARKETER Lora Rae Watters BUSINESS SECRETARY Dora Maria Branch **VOLUNTEERS** Phyllis Kimbrough, Arthur K. Stanley,

> BOARD OF DIRECTORS Erwin Knoll, Chairman Mary Sheridan Warren Randy Brady C. Williamson Matthew Rothschild Jeffrey B. Kosmacher

Alison Tenenbaum

THE PROGRESSIVE is set in type at Impressions, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, and printed and bound at Royle Publishing Co., Sun Prairie, Wisconsin, U.S.A.

MEMO from the Editor

Conspiracy

n November 22, 1963. I was at the U.S. Capitol, reporting on a bill of little moment that was wending its way through the Senate. When word arrived that John F. Kennedy had been shot in Dallas, I knew my story didn't have a prayer of making it into the next day's newspapers. So I walked across the street to the Library of Congress, looked up the assassination of Abraham Lincoln, and discovered an amazing array of reports, inquiries, and investigations, official and unofficial, stretching well into the present century. In fact, some aspects of the shooting at Ford's Theater apparently remained shrouded in mystery almost a century

On the strength of those archives, I wrote a story which suggested that traumatic events are bound to engender mystery and controversy, and that Americans might, therefore, be disputing the circumstances of the Kennedy assassination for

a long time to come.

Over the years, The Progressive has received at least 100 manuscripts in support of one or another conspiracy thesis regarding the Kennedy assassination. The plotters have been variously identified as the KGB and the CIA, the FBI and the Mafia, Fidel Castro and the veterans of the Bay of Pigs invasion, Lyndon Johnson and the Secret Service, members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and of the Fortune 500, and several combinations and permutations of the above. A recent submission attributed the conspiracy to French intelligence

One of the first conspiracy manuscripts came from Mark Lane, the author of Rush to Judgment and a leading critic of the Warren Commission report and its "lone assassin" conclusion. My predecessor at The Progressive, the late Morris H. Rubin, was a scrupulously careful editor, and he found some troubling inconsistencies in Lane's account. Rubin wrote Lane a detailed letter asking for clarification on those points before the article could be accepted for publication. Lane never replied, but not long afterward he began publicly accusing The Progressive of being part of the "cover-up" of the Kennedy assassination.

A few years back, an excited writer proposed an article based on a hitherto secret document he claimed to have obtained under the Freedom of Information Act. It proved conclusively, he said, that when Kennedy was shot, he had been poised to end the U.S. war in Vietnam, I looked at the document, which proved no such thing and which struck me as vaguely familiar: it turned out to have been published many years before as part of the Pentagon Pa-

None of the conspiracy theories we have scrutinized meets the test of accuracy-or even plausibility-we normally apply to material published in The Progressive, so none has appeared in the pages of this magazine. Some authors (like Mark Lane) have accused us, therefore, of participating in a cover-up. It is, I suppose, a conspiracy so profound that we don't even know we're part of it.

Now Oliver Stone's film, JFK, is breathing new life into the various conspiracy theories-he manages to touch base with most of them-and reviving the debate about the Kennedy assassination. Before the next avalanche of manuscripts descends on us, let me put in my own two

I believe the Warren Commission did a hasty, slipshod job of investigating the Kennedy assassination, leaving many questions unanswered. I believe Kennedy may have fallen victim to a plot that encompassed more than a "lone assassin." I believe the sealed records of the assassination inquiry (like all other sealed Government records) should be opened to the public right now.

I have no idea who killed John Kennedy or at whose behest. Neither does Oliver Stone. I doubt that either of us will ever find out. Stone's film is a mélange of fact and fiction that makes no attempt to distinguish one from the other. I believe the distinction between fact and fiction is a useful one that ought to be preserved.

I've been told that JFK deserves credit for asking important questions, and for raising the political consciousness of a generation not yet born when Kennedy was shot. I believe it is no service to raise important questions and then provide false answers. I don't believe anyone's consciousness is raised by still another attempt to perpetuate the Camelot myth. I don't question Stone's right to turn a buck by pandering to the emotions of a gullible audience, but I insist on my right to despise him for doing so,

Please don't write to tell me I'm participating in a conspiracy. I know, I know.

