Letters to the editor The Washington Post 1150 15 St., NW Washington, D.C. 20071 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Hd. 21702 1/27/92

(Jun. 27)

"icholas Ecos Is correct in his complaint that the present JFK assassination "debate" is between "the lone-nut" EXMEDITARY theorists, consisting of supporters of the Warren Report and "the conspiracy theorists" typified by Oliver Stone and his moviety "JFK."

He is also correct in saying that neither deals much with truth or substance" and that "with the facts available we are unable to determine exactly who committed the crime and why."

Finding "many conspiract theorists to be obscene in their profiteering from a national tragedy" refers most of all to Stone and his movie, which is devoid of "truth or substance" because Stone announced it as a truthful, factual account of our history in which he would tell the people who killed their President, why and how when in fact he based it on Jim Garrison's rewriting of the fiasco of his own history, knyoing that Garrison's book was a fraud and a travesty."

The sad truth everyone misses is that we cannot know "who committed the crime and why" because the government never intended to investigate the crime itself and didn't.

From the records of the Warren Commission that, contrary to Stone's representation and long how have for about two percent, and from about a quarter of a million pages of other government records, most those of the FBT that I obtained by a series of FOIA lassuits, this is beyond any question at all.

Thus there are no factual leads to be followed and the truth remains buried.

At least for many years there will never be any real official investigation of this crime because that would require an investigation of the FBI and that nobody in political life can expect to survive politically.

Ecos errs, however, in believing that "the facts available" are first facts when mostly they are not and teh then in attributing them only to these two extreme of conspiracy theorists.

Beginning with the first of my four-part "Whitewash" series (1965) and in my "Post

Mortem" I espoused no conspiracy theories at all. I subscribe to none, oppose all as deceiving and misleading the people, and base them enterely and factually on the difficial records that were either misrepresented or ignored, in the official investigations.

So, fact about the crime, if not any solution, have long been available.

The real debates, I believe, should be over the exploitations and commercializations typified by Stone and over the failure of the government to seek and report the truth and why it did not then or since.

Hardberry