Mr. Ton Oliphant The Boston Globe 2000 Penna. ave., IN Washington, D.C.

7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Nd. 21702 12/22/91 301/473-5/56

Dear Hr. Uliphant,

Your "Inside Washington" affirmation of P.T.Barnum's perceptiveness about suckers, surprising from an experienced and sophisticated report and your to be amazing comment that amounts to two wrongs making a right (The Warren Report is wrong therefore any criticism of it like Garrison/Stone's is right) plus the ignorance of the subject matter as well as of the actualities of Oliver "tone's crude and overt commercialization and exploitation of the great tragedy of the JFK assassination serve to confuse the still sorrowing people and to provide additional protection for the official miscreants who never investigated the crime and never intended to.

(My apologies for my typing. I'm almost 79, seriously impaired and must sit with my #legs elevated and the typewriter to one side.)

Like Jim Garrison, Cliver Stone has trouble telling the truth even by accident.

Not having seen the movie, which I will not waste time on and do not have to in order to be able to tell you what I say, I do not know whether he has yet modified one of his more flambor and lies that he knew was a lie before he first uttered it. In its original form it was that "all" official records were suppressed until the year 2039 except that the CIA could suppress its into perpetuity. If I heard you correctly you said 2029, which means that after many months of promoting himself and his movie he finally corrected his arithmetic. From what you said he seems now to have reduced this fiction to the records of the former House assassins committee.

I am a former reporter, investigative reporter, Senate investigator and editor, and World War II intelligence analyst (OSS). I am the author of the first book on the Warren Commission, five others on it and the other official investigations, of one on the King assassination, and there is no theory in any one of them.

desponsible theorizing is impossible because the government never investigated the crime itself and never intended to. I'll provide the documentation if you'd like it.

I have this documentation as the result of about a dozen FOTA lawsuits. Some were precedental. One led to the 1974 amending of the investigatory files exemption of the act. as everyone working in the field knows and as ± told Stone when I first wrote him 2/8/91, I make these records - about a quarter of a million pages - available to all writing in the field. Virtually all will, I know, write what I disagree with.

If not earlier, tone knew before he began his endless repetition of this (and, of course, as any informed person would know, endless other) lie, from my letter, that more than this considerable volume of records is and long has been available. About \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 200 cubic feet were mostly vailable in the archibes beginning in late 1965.

I wrote Stone in considerable detail as soon as he said that he was basing his movie on Jim Garrison's "On the Trail of the Assassins." I know, I was there, and that was the one trail personable, persuasive and not infrequently eloquent Garrison never took I was to have been his "Dealey Plaza" expert until I belatedly learned what his case was and that it was no case at all against Shaw. So, I was never in the courtroom, never laid eyes on Shaw. But from personal experience and observation I came to know Garrison and what he was up to better than I wanted to. The plain and simple truth is that aside from cribbing from published works and then enlarging on this what he really did is make everything up, never bringing anything factual and new to light that was in any way really relevant.

One of the illustrations I gave Stone was his planned commemoration of the fifth assassination anniversary that I broke up. This is entirely unrecognizable in the book. He was, among other things, going to charge Robert Perrin, former husband of Warren Commission witness Nancy Perrin Rich, with being a 1963 Grassy Knoll Assassin even though he knew that Perrin had killed himself in 1962, in New Orleans. Garrison simply invented the fairy tale that the conspirators, 14-15 months before they pulled it off, killed a Venezuelan seaman and gave him the name "Perrin" while Perrin, in this facry tale, lived to be an assassin under the name "Starr."

If you want copies of my Stone correspondence, I'll be glad to send it.

You can get a quick opinion on my dependability by phoning George Lardner. He has seen my records of the investigation I made that prevented that additional Garrison desecration of the great tragedy of the JFK assassination.

otone has been claiming for months that a combination of reporters in the CIA's pay and the "Establishefint pmedia" are out to get him. He made this up, too, knowing better.

When he did not respond to the letter " refer to above and when " was given a copy of the script, believing that what turned out to be true would follow, I interested "ardner. His story was 100, accurate and stone's response was close to 100, inaccurate and false. As I told him with detail in a second letter the day after his article appeared.

It became what it deserved to be, a self-perpetuating story.

I'm surprised that you would write as I've been told you wrote and speak as I heard you speak without familiarizing yourself with what stone had said and what had been said about him and his project. I am surprised that you could be in Washington as long as you have been and know that much more than the 250,000 pages of oncewwithheld records that I have are readily available. In Stone's Post article alone he lied about this. (What he really talks about when he talks about doing his "homework" and using all that "informatton" he says is "all" other than Garrison produced, is the nutty and unproven theories and when he talks about "experts" and "Yesearchers" he is tlaking mostly about those who invented, enhanced or wrote about these theories.)

If you read Lardner's story you knew I was his source and I was as close as your phone. Another Glove reporter spoke to me at some length when he planned a story. And there are other subject rather than conspinacy-theory emperts you could have consulted - I think as a responsible reporter, should have.

Whatever you had in aid you have become part of a monster disinformation and misinformation and a sordid commercialization and exploitation. If you had done your "homework" you'd have known this. As one who has come to respect you from seeing you on TV, I regret this very much because I came to respect what you said. I think you have now undermined your credibility very much.

If do not know whether you want to try to undo the harn you have done, whether you want to satisfy yourself that you have done harm, or whether you can begin to undo the harm you have done to your own reputation and to the nation. If you want to, I'll take all the time you want, beginning by sending you copies of what I wrote Stone, with details of that he knew and what he could have learned before he made this fraud and travesty you praised so highly.

If I understood you correctly, and you shocked me so much I am not at all certain, you believe that there are secrets that could solve the crime in the records of the House assassins. There cannot be because the FB1 never wanted any and because the House assassins did not really investigate the crime itself. It had its own agenda, beginning with trying to refute published criticsm (Blakey never once mentioned me in the pre-hearing narrations) and I know that it, meaning its general counsels, began with the determination to support the official mythologies.

However, even about this Stone lied. Mark allen and # both filed FOLA requests for the records given to that committee. Serious health problems prevented my pursuing this but the lawyer who had been my lawyer, Jim Lesar, filed successful litigation for Allen. as a additional records that Stone and his staff would take the time to read that was provided to that committee have been available since before Stone saw the personal and commercial possibilities of "arrison's disgustingly dishonest self-justification. Lesar, who can confirm much of what I say, is, I think, out of town briefly. His phone is 393-1974x 1921 is office is at 918 F St., NW.

Despite what you may know of Stone's more recent claims, he began by telling the world that his film would record their history for the people, that it would tell them who killed their President, why and how, and this is what made me decide to speak to Pardner when Stone did not respond to the letter you are welcome to have along with the other things I offer. He cannot now claim that he never represented he would present a non-fiction account and he never had anything else in mind, so only the attractive fictions. Waiting until after his movie was out made criticism meaningless. Criticism also enabled him to make himself into the honest man that from his movie he is not and did not intend to be.

Sincerely, Marold Weisberg