## ABDICATED HISTORIANS PONTIFICATE FROM IGNORANCE ABOUT THE JFK ASSASSINATION OF 1 TO AND OLIVER STORE'S EXPLOITATION AND COMMERCIALION AND OUT OF

as war is too important to trust to the generals, so also is out history too important to trust to our professional historians.

Nothing better exemplies this better than the april, 1992 issue of the American Historical deview in which the editors, taking not inconsiderable liberties with the word, describe thee solicited essays as a "Forum on Oliver Stone's movie JFK and the "ulture of Violence,"

For this it selected three men each with impeccable standing in the areas of their own expertise but utterly unqualified for authoritative and responsible addressing of the topic by their profound ignorance of the established fact of the JFK assassination and by their failure to draw upon the readily-available and well-publicized information about Stone, his ulterior purposes, his gross and persisting ignorance of the fact of that assassination, the knowing dishonesty and irresponsible ity of his slecting the Jim and on fine darks. Transfer and uninformed compendium of all the nutty assassination conspiracy theories by Jim Harrs,

his "Crossfire i hash he flaunts his gross ignorance of both the fact of this assassination and the dependable, authoritative beeks that do not espouse unproven and unprovable theoriest as "solutions" to what without exaggeration is described as "the drine of the century."

Stone's is a multimillion explitation and commercialization of this great tragedy,
on in thich Pather than hiding this intent he used it to promote his movie before he
began shooting it.

I doubt they are aware oft, such is their "scholership"

Not one of the these supposed scholars reflects and of this. Not one even suggests it.

To anyone who is aware of the unquestionable factor the assassination and its investigations, which not one of these eminences reflects, or of its official investigations, to which they devote no attention in the repmote event they were capable of it, these are the fairies and needles boys of historical scholarship each of whom begins with reconceptions of his own, not a few prejudices, and misuses this prestigious.

and the opportunity it afforded them to further mislead, misinform and confuse sincere hostorians who trust and depend on the publication to inform them honestly, responsibly and dependably. The important on the trust of the Newsprofessional, reads is qualtr.

That such a prestiggous publication could and did select such unqualified "experts" (for pursuing their own agendas without inhibition) rather than professional historicans who hyae truly authenic credentials on the exist editors can explain.

Because I raise these questions with the directness and emphasis that I do I believe that I should begin by stating the credentials I have for this, how I can justify my own statements of fact and opinion, what right I have to be regarded as a qualified subjectmatter expert.

on those of Martin Luther King, Jr. Not one of these books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books on the JFK assassination and its investigations and one that of Martin Luther King, Jr. Not one of these books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books on the see books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books on these books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books on these books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books on these books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books of the see books advances any contribute.

I am the author of six books of the see books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books of the see books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books of the see books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six books advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six and six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contribute theory.

I am the author of six advances any contri

are those of a reporter, investigative reporter, United States Senate investigator and would would would would be intelligence analyst, investigator and touble—shooter. Part of my responsibilities was to do for professional historians what they could not do for themselves in obtaining and evaluating the kinds of information outside their education and experiences.

Without this single/magical bullet theory the Commission could not have concluded that there was no conspiracy.

The grim fact in that even xixhxxix if this theory is accepted as fact, the official evidence itself proves, as my books show, that no one man could have committed that crime and on this basis alone there was without question a conspiracy.

The first four of my Whitewash series, Whitewash: the Report on the Warren Lebort, Completed six months after that Commission's deport was published and only four months after publication of its 26 volumes of appendix, was the first book on that Commission and its work. First published in 1965, it is still used as a college and university text in criminalistics, history and political science.

When republished in 1966 it was to first book to include any records from the Warren Commission deposit in the National Archives.

mae in the includes about 200 pages of facsimile reproduction of records robtained by a series of freedom of information lawsuits.

Despite these well-known facts not one of the forumists noted that Oliver Stone

Congressional
insisted through the release of/his novie and as recently as his March, 1992 testimony, if
that is the word for his remarkable display of factual knowledge of the assassination and
alled unof the availability of official records before the Congress, that the Commission's
records remain suppressed until the year 2039 when in fact all but about two percent of
them are accessible at the archives. In other and constantly varying formulations he has we
represented that "all" other official records also are suppressed.)

The 1976 reprint of my 1966 Photographic Whitewash includes facsimile reproduction provided of the provided of the commission's interpretation of the anateur movie of the assassination by abraham Zapruder used as a timeclock by the Commission and as alleged validation of its theory represented as unassailable fact, that a single, magical bullet caused all seven nonfatal injuries on the President and Texas Governor John . Commally and, from this career, unequalled in science or mythology, emerged in virtually pristine that an analyses of that film by the Cha's Photographic intalligence interpretation Center were disclosed to the Rockefeller Commission, appinted by former Warren President

Commissioner Gerald Ford, who also appointed one of its former assistant counsels,

In this series of FOIA lawsuits, some precedental and one leading to the 1974

B

amending of the act, I obtained and make available to all writers about a third of a

Warren
million pages of once-withheld official records, not the least of which are the commission's
formerly cop Secret executive-session transcripts several of which, one running 85 pages,
I represent published in facsimile.

(Again, part of that "all" alleged by Stone to be withheld of of the Commission's records he cays ear't be looked at (until the year 2026) without a peep from any of the published in the all her its their cubthree insensitive and immodest enough to be published in the all her its their cubjest matter indifference and either ignorance of or disregard on Stone's nonstop lying and virtuoso displays of his ignorance of all mater matters relating to the JFK assassination other than the nut iness dignified by referring to it as "conspiracy theories.")

among thes great volume of records disclosed to me but allegedly still suppressed until well into the coming century are thousands of pages of FBI reports relating to the its scientific testings and many, may more of its witness interviews.

as these are now available to writers and scholars they will always be so available when they become a permanent archive in Hood College, Frederick, Paryland, where some have already been deposited.

of my books and no single one of the hundreds of people I wite about has written me to of my books and no single one of the hundreds of people I wite about has written me to of compain that treated him or her unfairly. In all these seven books there are in all must of him come from the finial planmats.

Tewer than a dozen minor errors and they almost without exception are faithful reporting of official documents.

In my FOIA litigation in which the defendant was also the prosecutor, I made most of referred than to the world.

Let my allegations under oath rather than in lawyers' pleadings, thus making myself subject to perjury prosecution if I erred. The government was not able to complain about any error the many in lengthy and etailed affidavits that in overall length equal the volume of quite a few books.

I have been consulted by committee, of both "ouses of the Congress and even my FOIA litigation adversary, the Department of Justice, prevailed upon the court in my C.A.

What I did by these unusual means, as Historian David Wrone described it, was to write that part of the history of the JFA assassination and its investigation while it was happening.

75-1996 in rederal district court for the Bistrict of columbia to have me act as its consultant in my bawsuit against it!

In addition to addressing colege and university audiences from coast to coast I have conducted seminars at them, including for faculty only, and at 79 and in seriously impaired health I still do this at local Mood Colege.

I have been used assource, consultant am expert by all the major media in this country and by not fewer than eight in surope that I can recall. In not one instance was there any allegation of inaccuracy or unfairness in antyj anything I wrote ans dais said.

The FBI even certified to the federal courts that I know fore about the JFK assassination than anyone in its employ during this series of FOIA lawsuits, againstize most of which are against it.

There is a similar representation of my standing in the field in the only professional bibliography in the field by Guth and Wrone. (Greenwood Press.)

I have, in fact, done with diligence and success for professional historians what they failed to do for themselves, correctly interpreted the official subject-matter, publication and files not published and brough to light the non-inconsiderable value of until-then withheld official records to which I/refer about, not fewer than a third of a million pages of them that, with very few exceptions, professional historians ignored.

In doing this I forced the government to face my contradiction of its official solutions to these two political assassinations in open court, where it did not refute my sworn-to accounts.

and in doing this I in effect placed my head on the block and dared the government to chop away because if I had erred that would have been the felony of perjury and the government is also the prosecutor. The state of the prosecutor of the prosec

While I do not recommend this to others, including historians, as a way to live and to compel the unwilling government to divulge records it wants to suppress, to paraphrase the poet, I did break a path for others who are willing and a able to follow.

I have additional credentials knowingly misrepresented by Stone and ignored by these book, line and striker
three "experts" who swallowed without question and repeated his false account without

(b)

reflecting any awareness of the grossness of his lying in which he portrayed himself as the victim of a vast campaign to, a la Garrison, wreck his movie.

Stone's account of the controversey about JFK that began publicly about the time he started shooting, an account he repeated endlessly with only minor variations in it, is the "the CIA and its "recipied" reporters, who on occasion he numbered at 200, were out to "get" him and, like a thousand vultures, were perched ready to pick his bones.

He also attributed criticism of his project to the undefined "Establishment." What he was talking about and knew he was talking bout is this one 7%-year-old. severely limited by a number of servious illnessees and post-surgical complications in

-which is not about JFK-Stone began promoting JFK with his promotions for The Doors when it was released. He then announced that in his movie on the JFK assassination he would becord their "history" for the people, telling them "who" killed their President, "why" and "how." Almost immediately he added that he would do this based on Jim Garrison s "On the Trail of the Aase Assassins."

Shocked that a man with Stone's reputation would base a movie with a reported initial 40,000,000 initial investment of other people's money and risk his reputation on a book I knew to be utterly dishonest and knowling so, the book in which Garrison rewrote the history of his own flasco to make himself the victim of the CIS, and having the most painful personal knowledge of what Garrison really did and how he did it, I wrote Stone (at considerable length on February 8, 1991. This was several months before & he state started shooting.

My letter is detailed and specific. I attached some proof, offered more if he wanted it and to respond to any questions he had.

aside from citing petty lies Garrison told in his book to present a false picture of himself, one really ludicrous if he had told the truth, I was quite specific in my account of how Garrison had planned to commemorate the fifth abhitversary of the JFK assassination in 1968 with a truly monstrous indececmy of his own fabifaction.

In thier learned writings about the JFK controversy AHR's three "experts" ignored

w what he is able to do

what was widely published indicating that I, named, had begun the controversy when after several months Stone did not respond to my letter.

I told him that after Garrison's staff had failed to deter his planned "commemoration" atrocity two of them asked me to try to make it impossible for him to dare.

excesses were his effort to fight fire with fire, his way of defending himself against what he sail was the government's effort to water what he had believed that Carrison's excesses were his effort to water a lot his "investigation".

The government's effort to water wreck that in New Orleans was referred to as his "prote."

What these two members of his Staff told me ended my innocence that in retrospect I realize should have ended months earlier.

Garrison planned to charge illers, a charge he did not make against Clay Shaw, the only survivor of this trio that also include the then dead bee Harvey "swald and David the both dead."

Ferrie His Grassy "noll assassins" were Edgard Eugene Bradley and Robert Lee Perrin.

Bradley was the west-ocast representative of the Cape May, Mew Jersey Altra radio Federal communications Commission's preacher, Mev. Carl Mc-ntire, to whom we are indebted for the since ended "fairness doctrine ended by the Meagan administration. Perrin was the former husband of Warren Commission witness Nancy Perrin Rich.

Garrison's imagined proof that Bradley was one of those who killed JFK is he alsoby news photographers
imagined "identification" of Bradley as one of the three men whose pictures were taken/
two policeman and a deputy sheriff walked them
as the commence of the Texas School Took Depository Building, from which, in the
official account of the assassination, all shots had been fired. Assassination conspiracytheorist nuts dubbed these "the tramp pictures" and along with Tarrison attributed a
wide and aften self-contradictory variety of "identifications" and importances to them.

The man Garrison "identified" as Fradley was also known to these nuts as "the walking man, " not that all three were not malking. He was also fridentified" as CIA/Watergater

Howard Funt ( "proven" in one book by the new science of "ear" identification!),

as Watergater Frank "turgis and as, among many others, consessed nurderer Charles harrel—

"Cheers" 7

son, father of the star/woody.

another was nicknamed "French," and soon he was further identified as Lyndon ohnson's farm manager!

He also insisted that no record of their arrest was made and that no trace of
them rem ined when the they were let go. If he had spent a pittance of Warner's millions
on a phone call to the police he would have gotten the records of their arrests on trumped—
up Marges and their identifications. The police had disclosed those records but Stone and
assumption
his hired "experts," I including whatevil the Dallas assassination Information Centerny

del not know to!

In my earlier efforts to debunk this nonsense taken so seriously by the conspiracy theorists I tried to get some rational explanation of why they hung around to get caught.

The invented explanations, none of which made any sense at all, even included that they were the assassination "paymasters" even though they were taken into custody without the alleged pay.

I began my investigation, as I reported to Stone in full detail, by asking two experienced professional investigators to learn the truth for me. The two independent investigations, neither knowing that I had asked the other, yielded the identical results. The men were winos, drinking it up on a parked raitroad boxcar behind the Central Annex Post Office. about an hour and a half later when the police searched the entire area they were found reeking and taken to be questioned. The only way to walk them off the railraod tracks was to take them north across the triple overpass, then east past the That was afour on now and a half of to the shorting overything that moved.

That bowcar was a block west of the scene of the crime and two and a half blocks south of it. Among the marvelous CIA inventions for assassination, no rifle that shoots around corners has yet been reported, or sights that enable seeing around corners.

(Yet knowing the truth from my letter of February 8, waxawa in his June 2 atricle in the Washington Post tone insisted that these men were legitimate suspectes that who had been apprehended in a passenger car parked "behind" the TSBD from which, ostensibly, they could have shot -without any weapons! Or 84 here

One of my efforts to debunk the misuses of these "tramp" pictures led to an FBI investigation the results of which confirm the investigations made for me (add cite) I later obtained it under FOIA. It still made no difference to stone. ( .

Ie he had asked the Dallas police he's have learned that the men were identified when picked up and were released. Stone alleged, historian that he is, that they were not identified and that there were no police records. After the movie was out the police released the records identifying the men as

(SAC Baltimore (44-669) to SAC Ballas (44-2649) (RUC) 8/21/68 from "Murcin ice 44-1987 - Sub, E sentite FB/HQ 3/6/ Mempius ) Else in similar meno same fice

For others the fact that the his alleged assassing had killed himself 15 months before

JFK was offed would have been an insoluble proble. But not for derring-do "arrison! He

merely ordained that, the plot having begun before august 28, 1962, the plotters had buried

tituted the plot having begun before august 28, 1962, the plotters had buried

tituted as a pulp writer using the name "Starr!"

Doing what could make it impossible for Garrison to charge Perrin was touchier. It required investigations the leg work for which was done for me by Garrison's staff investigators at the instructions of Youis Ivon, chief investigator and one of the two who had asked me to undertake this mission.

Garrison's sick and impossible scenario was that Perrin and others had a secret communications center in an empty apartment in a New Orleans complex owned by a man really named & Khruschevsky, with Perrin in charge.

this was the Perrin known to have killed himself the year before in Garrison's nightmare he had killed JFK!

Garrison had not bothered to conduct or order any preal investigation. Instead as made tracks buts
he dreamed these things up out of nothing he dispatched an investigator he had hired over staff objections and paid from private funds, William Wood, who used the name "Bill Boxley," out to develop the "proof." Logal and dedicated to Garrison as Boxley was, he dutifully came back with the "proof."

Boxley had worked for the CIA, which fired him for drunkenness. This was well known.

(In the original script, which "tone changed after he got my letter, he had Boxley as an assistant district attorney and the inside wrecker, the stoolpidgeon for the CIA planted to wreck the "probe."

The delicacy impolved was that "arrison knew that Perrin had killed himslef on

August 28, 1962 That that presented no problem for Stone's hero, brave man
that he was, undeterred by the powerful CIA. Garrison's explanation around Perrin's 94

1962 suicide was that the plot to kill JFK had begun before then that that an unknown

Venezuelan's body had been substituted for Perrinss. Verrin, Im his about to be filed

charges, live to assassinate under the name Starr.

had any interest at all in preserving his persoanl and professional integrity and that of his movie, is Garrison's annotation of a report on Perrin's spicide. (attachted) what garrison files held and of such, wretten report stone could also have had, assummentable what I got, the reporting of the

Huj

suicide by a touisiana state tropper who Perrin had phoned as soon as he took the way this mit mough, I have the report on the Charity Hospital records obtained for me by state cyanimide, the report on the Charity Hospital records obtained for me by state Garrison's own staff investigator, the experienced Frank belocho, and a photocopy of the handwritten morgue book, which was not and could not easily have been forged, obtained for me by an and Garrison staff investigator whose name I have forgotten. It was a formal a photocopy of the formal means of course, are basic, simple and obvious investigations, had any investigation been necessary, as none was because it was well known that Perrin had in fact killed himself. I knew that before I began the investigation that did prevent this additional arrison indecency and atrocity.

"y problem was the certifinty that Garrison would not admit what he had done and was doing. That would have ended his "probe" and would have been a serious problem for him with a Clay Shaw appeal then before the Supreme "ourt of the United States.

I worked by away around this seemingly impossible obstacle by the simplest of thinking:

If it takes a crook to catch a crook, then it takes a nut to reach a nut. I knew just the

man to persuade Garrison, Vincent Salandraia, Philadelphia lawyer who was almost garri
son's Svengali. Garrison juts loved the politics and philosophy he got for hours at a time

from Salandria.

but would be closetted with Garrison, while I developed the remaining proof. We even stayed together with a mutial friend while I worked and Vince and Carrison spent a week bull-sessioning mostly at the New Orleans athletic Chub, which Garrison used as a second office in the child ish belief that the FBI would find it more conficult to content this plane is much where.

I finished my investigative reports laboring on it with Matt's defective portable, mine having been totalled a when my luggae was intercepted on an earlier trip to ew Crievas and totalled, on a Saturday alternoon or evening. I gave it to andrew (Moo) Sciambra, the most bunion of t arrison's assistant district attorney and the one with whom arrison spent far and away the most time. Sciambra was the second of the two garrison staffers who had asked me to underatke this mission seemingly impossible.

I did learn more about Oswald, who did have associates in his New Orleans ast preassassination activities, even had Sciambra with me when I developed some of the proof with live witnesses, and Garrison, who had Oswald charged in the Shaw case, was infifferent to it.) Scienbra suggested that I drive to the office the next morning with my Svengali/ "Laty"

that the two of them would present the results of my invetigation to "arrison at the

New Orleans athletic Club, and that - remain in the office doing my own work so I would

be where he could find me easily.

So, driving the District "ttorney's office's souped-up Chevvy II that had been confiscated from a gangster, the one of ice vehicle the staff refused to drive, it was that unpredictable so it was always available, I drove it to the office, where Sciambra awaited us, I proceeded with my work and they to their we rendezvous with "tone's heroic figure.

Oswald and any associates. I always had work with me wherever I went. My large attache care when loaded weighed 35 pounds II A brus

Several hours later the phone rang. a clearly euphoric Sciambra exclaimed, "Hal, you did it! I'm coming over to get you and take you to the best Italian meal you've ever had."

He did not exaggerate his wife's talents.

nies was at all embarrassed he did not reflect it in any way at a lunch he arranged for the next day in a NOAC dimingroom. Besides himself, of his legal staff he had Sciambra and Jin alcock (later a judge) present. Those not of his staff inluded, besides Salandria and melullian Turner, like Garrison, a former FBI special agent but unlike Garrison he was one doover had fired after about 10 years in which he had been an FBI specialist in "black bag" job; illegal enterings and stealing what the FBI wanted. Turner was then on the staff of Ramparts magazine.

He and Salandria were the only contributers to Garrison's exposition of his latest of his all-encompassing conspir by theories of the JFK assassination. He had a black-board there for illuminating it with a sketch of the United States. Those of the immunerable partners in his imagined conspiracy, as he marked them on the blackbooked, included Boeing in the upper Morthwest, Lockheed in lower California; Bell helicopters in Texas, along with oil men; without near New Orleans, The bomber command near Omaha, McDonald-

of what his files held, ith this as a sample, and it is by no means an unfair sample, of the utter baselessness of the multitudinous conspiracy allegations Carrison announced to the media, wixther and with his having ignored the viable invetgative leads concerned people did give him, particularly relating to Oswald and his activities and associates in New Orleans - and this these include even the kidnapping of one Warren Commission witness by another as soon as David Ferrie died # of which I have confirmed personal knowledge /- is it any wonder that after he was defeated for reelection and had to vacate the district attorney's office, Garrison alleged and says in his book that his files were stoeln?

Did he dare allow so ghastly a self-indictment, survive?

could be have written and published and profitted from as utterly and completely a dishonest rewriting of his own monumental fiasco if A such records existed to condemn it and him for what they were, my description to Stone, he fraud and a travesty?"

Stone can hardly have been gullible enough to helieve this suff-serving canard.

Not would it have been reasonable of him to ga accept the Garrison's, account of this alleged heist, As given to me by one of his staff most dedicated to him, It is that also devotedly loyal member of the staff that Carrison asked to move the files from his office to his residence instead sold them to the CIA.

I knew these men personally, knew of their great personal devotion to Garrison and the toll that took of their personal lives, and I knew enough about the track xxxx with which those files reeked to have no doubt at all that it was Garrison himself who saw to it that they would no longer exist, either to indict him or to jeopardize the not inconsiderable sum he got from his books and from Stone for the movie rights to his fanstasies.

It the CIA or any other enemy, real or imagined, had latched on to that simply uninagineable collection of investigative garbage and mythologies what a time it would have had, how it would have revelled in leaking them to make a lughin laughing stock of this phony hero and his conspiracy ravings!

On his part, Stone classored for the release of official government records as a means of promoting himself and his movie knowing that was many of not most of those records were not mithheld, and were available to him and to anyone else. He had no interest in government records save as a propaganda ploy. It had had any concern for his personal and professional integrity and for the honesty of the movie he was producing, particularly after he got my letter of February 8, 1991, he would have asked Garrison for some documentation.

and, of course, Garrison had his explanation set-they'd been stolen. for The Class

3/11

Knowing, he did it anyway, making a hero of this charlatan and in so doing foisted off as the real thing still another phony explanation of the JFK assassination to the largest audience ever.

details of his conspiracy.

Douglass at Marietta, Georgia, and I can't remember who all in Washington, how your can't such was life around the one district attroney, as Stone refers to him who had the compage faring to use his office in an effort to establish the truth about the JFK assassination.

Modest to a fault this man based on whose book Stone sourced the country he would record their history for the people, telling them who killed their President and why, is sued a press release to annoughe Boxley's firing, "after evidence revently developed by the District Attorney's staff" because he was "an operative of the Central Intelligence Agency!"

Aside from its first paragraph the press release made nw reference to Boxley or his firing. Garrison devoted the rest of it to his alleged accomplishments and added

documentation and answer to any questions he had, Stone ignored teletter. and this was two months before he started shooting, time to where replace a script which I'd told him, and I think to reasonable people proved was a fraud and a travesty."

He knew exactly what he was perpetrating from the moment he got my letter. and he did get it because he had his "research coordinator," Jane Rusconi, phonome in great excitement to tell me how pleased they were to heard from me because they were both "fans" of mine and had eard "all three" of my books, of which there were six on the JFK assassination. Stone wanted to know if he could phone me the next day. I assured her at any time.

Thin him about my
Apparently he changed his mind after he read the letter.

If stone had not soured the country that his movie would be non-fiction, a faithful account of the assassination, he would have had every right to do and say anything he cartests wanted to, no matter how wrong, deceptive misleading it might be, and I would have said and done nothing. But once he made these assurances, with the audience he would without question reach with what would further confuse and misinform the country, after waiting for some weeks to hear from him I decided that any attention to him rewriting of our history and glorification of Garrison would make a major story that would carry itself.

Meanwhile, I had been given a copy of Stone's script. It was the biggest ssassination disinformation since the Warren Peport. I found it difficult to believe that anyone could work such a penny-dreadful into Oscar-quality. Hoseovers the gross ignorances of the well-known both the established fact of the assassination and details of Garrison's mishmash it reflected astounded me. So I gave a copy of the script and of my records of my investigation that made it impossible taxinitions for arrison to further desecrate "the crime of the century" and calling it a commemoration to Geroeg ardner, taxin I've known him as a dependable and courate reporter for 25 years and I believe he knows more about the JFK assassination and its investigation. The any other upfath.

Lardner's completely accurate story app ared May 19, 1991 that is the beginning of accurate exposure of what Stone was really up to, a crass and rude commercialization and exploiation of the JFK assassination and that for an ulterior purpose.

And so it was that, as he made a hero out of the failed and faulted Garrison, that

Stone made of me the CIA, some 200 of its "recipied" reporters" and even "a thousand

vultured vultures" waiting to pick his bones because it is I and none of the above who

am respondible for the ensuing and lingering controversy.

Lardner's story, which was synicated, identified me by name as his source so anyone doing any research, if ARR's experts needed anything more than the murk of their minds to be able to write with authority and dependability for such a publication, made it apparent that I started it all and how I could be reached.

But I underestimated Stone enormously. I had not idea he could say as much without ever coming in contact at with truth at all or in any way. He could and did say what at any time seemed to Serve his immediate needs as he saw them and say the exact opposite the next time he faced a pad or a microphone. What he said also was angled to appeal to the interviewer.

He labelled me a crook who stole the script when he knew that was not only not true but was also impossible, and that of his endless lies, like most of the rest of them went everywhere in symidication. And in Made and TV smounts.

The Post extended unprecedented courtesies to him, even allowing him to withdraw a

沙印

scandalously and stupidly inaccurate statement he had asked it to publish and to replace it with a more modest self-exposure as an assassination ignoramus rather than an expert.

The next day I wrote him about his many factual errors in it. and to this I did get a response, a rather snotty one from his in-house expert, dusconi.

after this self-indulgence so appropriate to authentic scholarship - she was than Stone's "research coordinator" - she got to the nitty-gritty:

Constructive out of this relationship," she began her concluding parapraph. She ended it, "short of dramatizing the 250 pages you've wrested from the archives (from which I gray a small ful custom." what can we do to make the best of our situation?"

"Relationship" describes my causing this exposure of the "fraud and travesty"

Stone was producing when the exposure could endanger Stone and Warner's \$40,000\$,000

"Relationship" when I ifformed him that "arrison was a phony he was recreating into a hero and that his "probe" of the assassination was what he ranmed up and believed as soon as he imagined it?

We had no "relationship" and "pur situation" was not mine at all - it as \$tone's and his alone.

I've asked several others, including professional historians and lawyers, if they can find any meaning other than I did in these words, that it is a solicitation to be keriber paid off. "Stal Not one disagreed with me or could see any other possible meaning in her words.

(Stone had been passing money out in large chunks to an assortment of assassination—genspiracy Muts, like the Dallas assassination Information Center, the name it took for itself, to shich he gave an initial \$80,000 and that after the transparently impossible story of Rickey White, that his dead father had been a Grassy moll assassing, had backfired and been exposed as a fake cum plagiarism that sole part of its scenario from a novel. Stone avoided the authentic scholars and he and his Rusconi dredged the awars of rabid assassination theorizing.)

response serause allo had ceshed stome is to stup to adving on my name that say I was helping him. The rendered the Shyloth Stry to the Thyloth and Clearned opin ion that

## LAW OFFICES OF

## GREENBERG, GLUSKER, FIELDS, CLAMAN & MACHTINGER

ARTHUR N. GREENBERG
PHILIP GLUSKER
SIONEY J. MACHTINGER
STEPHEN GLAMAN
BERTRAM FIELDS
HARVEY R. FRIEDMAN
BERNARD SHEARER
JON J. GALLO
PAULA J. PETERS
MICHAEL K. COLLINS
JOHN L. CHILD
C. BRUCE LEVINE
MICHAEL A. GREENE
JOSEPH M. CAHN
GARRETT L. HANKEN
HORMAN H. LEVINE
WILLIAM A. HALAMA
JAMES E. HORNSTEN
ROBERT S. CHAPMAN
ROBERT F. MARSHALL
ROBERT S. COHEN
CHARLES N. SHEPHARD
DENNIS B. ELLMAN
GARY L. KAPLAN
GAR

E. BARRY HALDEMAN
MARK A. STANKEVICH
MARTIN H. WEBSTER
MICHAEL V. BALES
HENRY D. FINKELSTEIN
ROBERT L. MURPHY
DIANE J. CRUMPAGKER
JEAN MORRIS
ELIZABETH WATSON
ELIZABETH WATSON
LILIANNE G. CHAUMONT
PETER J. NIEMIEC
ROGER L. FUNK
RICHARD A. KALE
DEBBY R. ZURZOLD
CHRISTINA M. JACOBS
LEE A. DRESIE
DAVID C. KLEIN
JEFFREY SPITZ
MARK A. GOCHMAN
NANCY C. HSIEH
THEODORE F. KAHAN
JILL L. SMITH
EVE H. WAGNER
WIGHTEL R. BENNETT
SANDRA A. DEWEY

GLENN A. DRYFOOS
ANDREA L. MERSEL
CARRIE A. LEVINSON
LAWRENCE A. EPTER
ANDREW S. GABRIEL
STEVEN J. LURIE
CHRISTINA E. METCALF
ROBERTA M. WOLFF
BRIAN L. EDWARDS
GERALD L. SAUER
JILL E. BURTIS
DARRYL W. CLUSTER
KELLY A. COLEMAN
NANETTE L. FELDMAN
NANETTE L. FELDMAN
ELIZABETH M. PRIESTLEY
ELIZABETH H. PUGH
TERESA O. PREISING
CAROL W. DAVIES
STEVEN H. FEOER
STEVEN H. FEOER
STEVEN M. FOGELSON
LORI A. LOO
CHRISTINE B. MERSTEN
DAVID R. MERSTEN
DAVID R

1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 2000 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

TELEPHONE: (213) 553-3610 TELEX (TWX): 910-490-1129 FAX: (213) 553-0687

(213) 201-7442

OUR FILE NUMBER:

81758-000.66

October 28, 1991

## REGISTERED MAIL/ RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, MD 21702

Re: "JFK"/Camelot Productions Corp. and Oliver Stone

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Your letter to Mr. Stone dated October 5, 1991 has been referred to us for response.

Please be advised that "JFK" is not based on, and does not use, any of your materials. On the contrary, the film is based on extensive research encompassing numerous historical writings and source materials, including two well-known books in which Mr. Stone acquired the motion picture rights.

Further, we are informed that none of the facts and ideas to be presented in the film was brought to light or developed exclusively by you. Those events and ideas were derived from other or multiple sources. In any event since historical events, ideas and theories cannot be the subject of ownership, we could not recognize any claim by you to have "rights" in them -- even if you were the person who discovered or developed them.

This will also conform, as you requested, that neither Mr. Stone nor Camelot Productions will credit you as an author or having any creative involvement with the Picture.

8175800066-94577.194

I had no interest in being bribed. I had accomplished what I set out to do, made a public record for at least the future and out history about the true character of Stone's version of this history and who killed the President, why and how.

As Stone and Rusconi both knew and as I was quite specific in telling her, I would not in any way support or have anything to do woth them and their movie.

"onetheless, "tone being Stone, wothout any bribe he found hoss own means, consistent with his personal and professional ethics, to "salvage something constructive" and "to make the best of our situation."

He and his people, including co-author Zachary Sklar, editor of Garvison's book, wrote and told reporters and columnists that I was helping them!

Hy written demands went without response except for a letter from one of his layvers

in which she said that history cannot be copywrighted! (quote directly later.)

This is but a peek at the man spaised by the three participants in what all calls

a "forum" and Muchael Ragan "a symposium" when in fact not one addressed the

basis for the controversy and criticisms and all three constituted themselves his claque.