Philadelphia Inquirer Monday, June 27, 1966, UPI story by Jack V. Fox, from Dallas June 26:

With reference to Edward J. Epstein's claim of alteration of the autopsy report "was later altered to conform ~~[illegible]~~ with the single ~~[illegible]~~ shot theory" :

"The counsel for the Commission who conducted most of the investigation into the source of the shots is Arlen Specter, now Philadelphia District Attorney. Specter says he saw the original handwritten report and that no changes were made.
"The fact is," Specter ads that Epstein has produced no evidence or witnesses on any subject to back up his allegations and has advanced no arguments which cannot be answered and disproved."

The glaring weakness of the Epstein book is that he has done what he accuses the Commission of doing...did not go to Dallas to question any witnesses or talk with any of the doctors who performed the autopsy."

Mr Specter did not see the original version of the autopsy, which was burned (Whitewash, pp 188, 183 fn) He did see a subsequent handwritten version, in which there were substantive changes (fn p 183 fn p. 198, and of this he had no little knowledge (Whitewash, knowledge of the burning of such vital material and legal papers.

I challenge Mr Specter to ~~make~~ produce the same charge of lack of proof he made against Mr. Epstein to the face of my book, Whitewash. If he does not - & if he does cannot prove it - I ask that he join me in the demand of Whitewash, to be balanced but

Philadelphia Bulletin