

4/19/70

Mr. Larry Weintraub
Chicago Sun-Times
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Mr. Weintraub,

As soon as I got a copy of your story on Skolnick's WRSV broadcast I wrote you, seeking help to minimize the damage already done and prevent the impending greater damage which this nightmare of mixed thievery, sick imaginings and wild inventions called a suit gets tossed out because it is 100% incompetent, lacking any of the qualities of prerequisites of a legitimate suit.

You are, of course, under no obligation to help me or anyone else. You may be a friend of Skolnick's. (If a true one you'll lock him up for a while with tape over his mouth.)

But I called Skolnick a crook. Now, if this is false, it sure is libellous. I charged he stole what is not fabrication from me. This is rather serious, in light of what you write, especially. Again, libellous, if untrue.

On the other hand, you seem to have been silent. Can it be that if I told the truth that is not news to you or to the Sun-Times?

Many years ago, when I was a reporter, if someone told me it was nighttime I looked out to see. Skolnick says the records of the purchase of the alleged assassination weapon is suppressed. Because it is the very heart of the official fiction, why do you not look on page 120 of the official copy of the Warren Report? This is quicker than reading that basic chapter, which may not be well received by your stomach at this point.

If you want to see the proof that Skolnick did steal and did use my documents, be my guest. They have unmistakable markings added. I have my copies, I have the ones he filed, and I also have the letter without the name cut out where he has it with the name cut out. This is because I cut it out. The man who made that inquiry so I would not have to figure in it asked anonymity.

The net effect of this, aside from the injection of publicity so necessary to Skolnick's survival he will do anything for it, like a dope addict, in effect, is to undermine public and media confidence in legitimate work done by those with serious purposes, to destroy confidence in legitimate suits earlier filed (without Sun-Times reporting) - in short, it is indistinguishable from the function Skolnick so often charges against others, that of government agent.

Sadly,

Harold Weisberg