U. Mo. Freedom of Information Center Report 10/69

Compare with SK's argument [illegible] B. [illegible] on tape

2. Martin v. Neuschel, 396 F. 2d 759 (1968): The plaintiff brought an action seeking the home addresses and other information regarding draft board members. The Court of Appeals ordered (6-28-68) the case remanded to the District Court for the Eastern Division of Pennsylvania, since that court had failed to grant or deny a motion to dismiss filed by the local board clerk.

3. Skolnick v. Parsons, 397 F. 2d 523 (1968): Plaintiff brought an action to compel the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice to release a certain report submitted to it. The Court of Appeals held (8-5-68) that assuming the Commission was a suable entity [illegible] also that any one of its members [illegible], any action of this sort against the Commission abates when it ceased to be a commission (6-21-67).

4. Cook v. Willingham, 400 F. 2d 885 (1968): Plaintiff, a prisoner, sued the warden of the United States Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, to obtain a copy of his presentence investigation. The Court of Appeals held (9-23-68) that a prisoner who had not attempted to obtain a copy of such a report from the sentencing court could not compel prison authorities [illegible]