Gary Shaw
P.O.B 722
Cleburne, TX 76033-ot22

4/13/93

Dear Gary,

When Luvingstone boasted to me that "he" had located Diane Bowron ans was going to interview her I encouraged him strongly not to argue the interview for a change, not to argue his point of view (by which I really meant theories and preconceptions), but to just get her talking and keep her talking, just to let her recall whatever she could and record that. He was quite indignant, yes, even outraged in his response. Aside from boasting of his competence as an interviewer he also said he never did any such thing and did not have to be told how to conduct an interview.

Last night I was told that you have a copy of the copy Harry gave to Mr. Crenshaw. The person who had seen it recalled only one "fact" that she signed. I presume the statement was based on the interview and then prepared for her to sign. The one so-called fact, of her personal observation, is impossible.

Poor Crenshaw if he uses that-or anything else from Harry without real checking. He'll be clobbered again.

Some body might even get one of his former colleagues who were so very wrong in saying that he was not even in the emergency room when JFK was. If you haven't done it you can locate tham all in the index in Volume 15.

As you may not remember, I've made a study of what Bowron did and could have seen. If you'll send me a copy I'll be glad to note and document anything else that is wrong so Crenshaw will not be misled or misinformed and so that he can know what to avoid. I do not know whether he intends to use it but he should be prepared to defend himself if he does by not quoting what is wrong.

For poor Harry there is no lily not on the earth fot his gilding!

Best,
Harold

This Bowron "observation" is precisely what Harry wants to argue that the pictures are fakes. I think you should caution Crenshaw against using anything Harry says, has published or will publish without serious checking of it.

Gary Shaw
P.O.Box 722
Cleburne, TX 76033-0722

4/12/93

Dear Gary (and others),

My Friday appointment with the assistant state's attorney was aborted because that was a state holiday and with nobody else in the office she was suddenly called to a court session. Because she went rushing there in the winter weather we are still having, nothing over her dress, may account for her being sick today. When she is back in the office her missed appointments will be rescheduled. I am confident with cases in court first.

I hope by that time you copier is fixed. While I've not had time to read all you sent, several are exactly what I think we need. This is records of threats or what would hold us up to contempt or disreupte on any of the other things in Section 561 of the Maryland code, copy enclosed. He has accused us of crimes of an indictable nature, for example. It would be better if the copies I gave the hoped-for prosecutor are clearer.

There are two records of phone calls from Harry, one outlined in red and the other saying his publisher has decided to prosecute all of us. If you can give any more information it might be helpful. There is another one in which he says he was required by his publisher to go to the FBI. It would be good to be able to send his publisher's lawyer, who is a friend, clear copies of both. I'll probably send the best I can make now. I am confident both statements are false and made in his publisher's name so to speak.

Anything that connects Harry with the FBI or police, too.

I appreciate your sending copies when you ~~machine~~ machine was not working well. They'd have been better than nothing had the appointment not been delayed.

If your lawyer friend Kizzia can add to what he wrote you with such brevity at the beginning of his 3/29, that also might be helpful.

Thanks for what you sent and anything else you can.

When I have the appointment, or rather when it is kept I'll report on it.

Best,
Harry

cc MF
GM
PtR

4/4/94

Gary Shaw
P.O.Box 722
Cleburne, TX 76031

Dear Gary,

I understand that Richard Waynrightwrote you a childish letter of pretended apology as he rote me. I believe writing those letters was not Richard's own idea and it is obvious that his letters understate and misrepresent his responsibility for the evil on which the sick Harry is producing and has alleged.

Harry has told several people that his book is to be puhlished in October. By publishing norms that means he is to turn the manuscript in this month.

That the publisher contracted another book from Harry indicates to me that the real motive for contracting it is greed: Harry's previous books made much money for him. That overcame his belief that Harry was crazy.

In the course of preparing to defend against Groden's sui t the publisher should have suffered additional doubts.

Normally the publisher defends lawsuit and is entitled to recovery from the author.

I do not see how he can in this instance without having more money due Harry from him.

This may encourage him to publish a book he has reason to believe should not be published. But at the same time he should also be encouraged to learn what he can about the manuscript before he decides to publish it. That may or may not mean that I'll be asked about it. I have been asked about manuscripts by publishers in the past.

I write to inform you and to ask that you let me have copies of anything and everything you have from or about Harry and his sick project, particularly any letters other than the one I have, and from and about Richard, too.

I know that Richard had at least one friendship with a former member of the Dallas Police Department.

I have reason to believe that among Harry's sources down there are Paul Rothermel a and John Currington, both fired by the Hunt brothers. Harry imagines H.L.Hunt as a central figure in his imagined conspiracy to kill JFK. He imag nes also that we conspired to keep him from breaking the case open. He interprets this to mean that we conspired to protect the assassins. He told me that, sick as telling me is. And he has told others.

If you know anyone other than Mary who may have letters from or know anything about Harry and what he has been up to, I think that any information I have may be if help in damage control, particularly if the publishers checks anything with me.

It may seem difficult to believe that any publisher would give serious thought to publishing Harry's sickness but because we can all be regarded as public figures there is no need to worry about libel unless those libelled can show malice. Some of what Harry has written does, I think. So thanks for anything you can send or get me.

Sincerely, Harold Weisberg