Shaw Attorneys Request Court to Toss Out Case

Bid to Take Deposition Denied by Judge

Thursday filed a supplemental Judge Haggerty said he would liminary hearing for Shaw. motion to quash Shaw's conspiracy indictment and at the spiracy indictment and at the matter under advisement wald and David W. Ferrie in same time lost a bid to have by Sept. 18. This would clear September of 1963 to kill Presitestimony taken from a former the way for setting of a trial dent John F. Kennedy.

the quash motion by Wednes- Iowa.

day, which was a legal holiday.

testimony taken from a tormer the way for setting of a trial defined and the sevanded friend of Perry Raymond date.

Thursday Judge Haggerty destrict Attorney Jim Garrison's case against Shaw.

Criminal District Court take testimony by deposition from Mrs. Lillie Mae Mc-Shaw's attorneys, argued against Jr. ordered the defense to file the guest motion by Wednes.

Mrs. McMaines has evaded attempts of the district attorney's office to get her back to New Orleans.

F. Irving Dymond, one of from Mrs. Lillie Mae Mc-Shaw's attorneys, argued against Maines, who now resides in the defense are interested

Mrs. McMaines, known in New Orleans as Sandra Denied by Judge

The state was ordered answer the motion by Sept.

Moffett, was a girl friend of Russo, a Baton Rouge insurance answer the motion by Sept.

Moffett, was a girl friend of Russo, a Baton Rouge insurance answer the motion by Sept.

and the defense are interested in her testimony, and that she volunteered to be available in Des Moines, Iowa.

Judge Haggerty said it does not matter if the state and defense have agreed to take the testimony because the criminal code makes no provisions for taking such depositions.

TESTIMONY 'VITAL'

The quash motion claims that Mrs. McMaine's testimony is vital to the defense of Shaw. She claimed that she met Ferrie after the assassination, and that she did not know him at the time Russo said he overheard a plot during a party in Ferrie's apartment. Russo testified that Mrs. McMaines accompanied him to the party.

The motion also alleged that Shaw has been informed that one or more members of the grand jury which indicted him are members of a corporation which contributed to Truth and Consequences of New Orleans Inc. The latter group financed Garrison's investigation.

The defense also claims that the district attorney has not turned over information sufficient for Shaw to defend himself properly.

The motion says there are incidents known to the state particularly involving Russo and state witness Vernon Bundy-which cast doubt on the veracity of prosecution witnesses. A fellow inmate of Bundy, convicted narcotics user, has said that Bundy lied when he testified he saw Oswald and Shaw together during the summer of 1963.

The motion also charged that Garrison gave information to Life Magazine which he refused to furnish to Shaw's attorneys.

'PHOTO ALLOWED'
The motion said that the District Attorney allowed a Life photographer to photograph

Shaw through a fake mirror when he was being questioned in the district attorney's office.

In another development, an attorney for National Broad-casting Co. investigative reporter Walter Sheridan, charged with public bribery of Russo, filled three motions in Criminal District court.

Sheridan was charged following an NBC program critical of Garrison's methods and was subpensed to appear before the grand jury. However, a federal court has ruled that he will not have to go before the grand

The motions were to quash the bribery charge, to have Garrison recused as prosecutor in the case, and for a prelimi-

nary examination.

In the quash motion attorney Milton Brener said that the violation with which Sheridan is charged is not punishable under a valid statute. The state law—Louisiana Revised Statute 14:118 — is "violative of the due process clause of the fifth and 14th amendments of the U.S. Constitution and of the Louisiana Constitution," Brener said.

The recusal motion charges that Garrison exhibited "personal animosity" toward Sheridan and that he has "a personal interest in conflict with fair, impartial administration of justice."

The motion for a preliminary examination, a hearing to determine whether a defendant should be held over for trial maintains that there is no creditable evidence of Sheridan's guilt.