Reflecto FD I reports on Lilt me origin of filed SS.

Mr. Robert O. Goff, FOLA/PA Officer U.S.Secret Service Office of the Director Washington, D.C.

Ht. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 10/22/76

Dear Er. Goff.

Your letter dated Cotober 15 reached me today, with the enclosure you attribute to the State Department. Yesterday I received a mailing from the Apolivon. I then wrote them and included copies to you in what I meiled you.

Your letter and the maskings are not socceptable. You are misseing the exceptions of the wrong Act to a ridiculous extreme. Obviously all thesenames are known to me. Some are in the Archives enchosures I've mailed to you.

Arbitrarily you opt to use FGIA. I used PA, too. Under it you are not entitled to charge me search fees, for example. However, a total search cost of only \$5.50 is caple indication that you did not make a search that under the Acts must be in "good faith" and with "due diligence." When you have made a real search, including a search for those resords the existence of which I have already informed you about in none detail, and when you provide proper copies without testuring the Acts and denying me by rights, Idll pay the mercaing costs promptly. Seanwhile, I am turning what you have sent over to commed.

If you do not now cause a real search to be made please forward this as my appeal, if I have not already appealed what under the law is a de facto denial.

I am also disturbed that you, a lawyer, fly into the face of the law and centrolling decisions in your spurious claim to the rights to privacy where there is none and where the names of agents may not be withheld. Moreover, in this case you, personally, know from the Archives that it was providing unmasked records. This is an agree-to device among the federal investigative agencies which are determined not to live within the Arts. Your enclosure is an example of why they dare not. It is outrageous in its factual error and its deliberate prejudices I will specify to the degree necessary to exercise my PA rights to the correct of defeatory records such as this.

I begin by noting contradictions of fact between this mean and the Secret Service card provided by the Archives which is supposedly based on this mean.

Heat I want to know by what right you forwarded cash projectical mininformation to the Department of State when I was neither its employee nor a candidate for its employeement. You may have no record of forwarding, as I think you should be able to locate, but you do have lawbooks and can cite them.

If you had not masked even addresses I might be able to direct you to those files that obviously have not been searched. This document, haven herver, does include some routing so I ask if you have had those files checked. Three different sets of files are indicated plus a number. The number can be taken to indicate that this is but one in a series of records.

Because I will be sending a copy of this to Spate, which is months? Late in complying, and to my lawyer, who is going to take this to court if there is not full and prompt compliance, I include a reminder that you have not in any may responded to or even acknowledged my telling you to search files of alloged threats because you did have me under survaillance after the fabrication of a report that I had made such a threat. I had not.

I will not take time for full comment on this 12/15/54 report. By purposes are the correcting of error under PA.

On the first page, although it is of little consequence now, there is 3% Griffith's opinion (you mask his news) that my "farm is atream with debris and is very unknapt looking..." What would you expect with construction going on? When Mr. Oriffith came,

if my momory is correct after 22 years, late on a Saturday afterneon, I was covered on the upper half with newtest and the lower half with chicken namers. I had been working on a building with a neighbor who was a carpenter. Wintever your agent had in mind by "debris," I have no reason to believe that he was familiar with poultry equipment by exposing the individual parts to sum and rain in addition to chemical sandtizing. Once and ends of metal, like parts of feeders, waterers and nests, may well have appeared "unpept" to your agent or to have been "debris" when they were in fact normal farm equipment. With construction work going on - and I was then enlarging the main hen-house and expanding brooding and training gapacity - inevitably I had larger of various kinds stream shere convenient for use of disposal. I had what may have appeared to be meaningless, range shelters, in the pasture. I had what may have looked like junk but was chuten for the moving of concrete and means of aprending and smoothing that concrete. But by any standard, including that of the University of "gryland, my poultry was exceptionally well-kupt under menitary conditions. I am addressing more than accuracy. I am addressing your agent's compatence to offer even an opinion that meken a record projudicial to me.

At the top of page 2, again depending on memory, you have masked a name you had to know I know, was Donewan.

There is more poison on this page even for the paranois of that period. I like the language "persistent odium of communistic affiliations" when there is not a single one for me and consumer—type groups only for my wife. As of them I am fairly certain my memberships or "affiliations" were limited to the Manapaper Guild and the recognised AFL government—amployees union. Since them I have been a member of argicultural cooperatines and the American Academy of Felicial and Social Sciences only. Now "odious" can I be? Or "ocimentatic," especially for that period, when the Voice of America used me and the State Department asked me to go to functe to teach them how to raise better chickens after what is referred to later in this memo?

Consumerism any have been communistics to the police and authoritaries minds of that era. Today Ralph Mader is a national symbol, a folk here.

"Mr. Weisberg was allegedly dropped from the LeFollatts Senate investigating Committee for leaking news (sic) to the Daily Morker and for (unspecified and false) other questionable conduct."

"Allegably" is the one accuracy in all of this. If your agent had made anything like the gind of investigation required when the safety of the fresident and an entire system of acciety are at stake you would have know that I was then the consistence editor whose responsibility was limited to the mublic and nublished record of that consistence mublic hearing and reports filed with the Senate. I had no secrets, I leaked none, if I weeld have I could not, and it was not the Daily worker, either. It was a news service and the whole thing was contrived becomes with the most anti-communist man I have ever know, the legislative representative of that notorious "red" life over La Follette's chiection. New if you want to know how "communist" this was, you can read John Steinbeck's Grates of Frath, which covers the sense ground as that committee's investigation of migratory workers. (P.S.— the then President's wife was for it. But maybe the "edius of communistic affiliations followed" her to you types?)

"Mr. Weisberg was reputedly a close friend of Vito Mercantonie, the notoriously radical representative from New York City." The part about friendship is true. That "notoriously radicaly" opinion is a reflection of basic police-mind averages to representative society. The late Mr. "aroantonio was the first man in the history of this country to win the primarise of all three major parties in his constituency. He was about of most of us in some ways, like his esponsed of what some still consider radical. Like equal opportunity. I draw him to see "resident Roomsvelt the day the President offered him a "deal" he accepted on fair employment practises, a bill he sponsored. In fact I draw him to see Mr. Roomsvelt on several precises, a bill he sponsored.

On page 5 there is a reference to the Un-American committee having been consulted about me. It is alloged that a copy of a report is at whed. It is not and I'd like it. I an reasonably confident it will not be produced because I got that consistee's agent convicted on two felenies. However, when I was writing a book about the late ir. Diss and his consistee, he did try to frame me, with the conviction of his fink resulting. Do you consider that an "edium" attaches to we after the Congrues he came to the same conclusions I did, alboit acceptant later? But have faithful is this report when it totally suppressed that? It is a matter of court record.

"Mr. Weisberg was also dropped from the State Department in 1947 as a security risk." (page 2) Is this why I find on page 5 that this is repeated but on page 6 that it says of "the State Department Security Section" that "they had no file on Mr. Voisberg"?

Do you people never ask yourselves any questions?

"t is here that your report says the Fall has "a comprehensive report" on my wife and me, and she is this desergous subversive. Well, I'll bet that this report, also not provided by you or Spate of the Fall about a year after my request, does not include the fact that Mr. Hoover, the founding father of the FAL, cent my wife a bottle of Cherry Heering one might at dinner time. Yup, he was in "association" with dangerous people. And during the war, too. I was off in the damy. Mr. Margentheic had taken my wife to dinner at Harvey's, next to the Hayflower. And Mr. Moover did extend this courtesy. What cise when my wife was with that "motoriously radical representative," elected and re-elected, that is.

It is not only on this page that I am alleged to be " a radical and a communist." here and elsewhere it is attributed to my "neighbors." Well, it was not only them. It was some of my relatives in that timy community in which I was the only one of the fewer than 100 who had gone to college. Salightenment is not always volcome. I see indeed, from the tone and content of this report, an authentic radical. It evolved around my being a volunteer firemen. I fostered the most communistic of innomations. They were not welcome by the bureaucracy that was said-important only because of office in that fire department. This radicalism of sine consisted of opposing that bureaucracy in its attachment to an outdated past. And whening a majority of the members to my point of view. On the genuinely subversive, like two-way radic, portable pumps and the unquestionably "red," a blood progress, made even more "red" because it was in "accordation" with the "Red Cross." Why I even gave my me own blood (read red) and have all those willing blood-typed so that in an enembercy doctors would know upon whom to draw, there being no doctor in that community.

In page I I would "welcome the epportunity to be a purveyor to the white House and will use this status to enhance his commercial greating." This no doubt explains sky in all the literature I printed I never was used President Eisea hower's latters and those of his secretary; mover asked to be a purveyor; and refused to go to the White House to be photographed with the President wheal was teld that picture would be distributed by the White House. There are other similar references elsewhere. They are famous falses. The fact is that there are few secrets in a small community. Mrs. Dulles' secretary customarily phoned as while I was dreading the poultry I would delivergative next day and my caployes (names masked as though I did not know thes) could not but overhear this and my directions to then to meet the Bullemes desires.

Here you do say what is not the fact from what I have been provided, that the FBI report "accompanies this report." (Page 3)

At the bottom of this page you must the name of my wife's cousin, naturally unknown to me," helps Mr. Moisberg in the outside work of faeding and caring for his chickens."

On page 4 I kept the chicks I obtained from Wisconism "in incubators until they are sufficiently matured." "Matured" is hardly the word. Over-ripe would be far short. In no-time flat they have stunk to high Heaven. Incubators are for hatching, not rearing. And strange as it may seem, once hatched they had to be fed. In incubators?

On p.60 5 your people can't even describe a Senate equalities. I was not in any "Investigative Sranch" of the one I served. It had no such thing.

Here I also an credited with a "brief militury convice." Even my hospitalization of about four months I would not consider "brief." And I did not give myself the medical discharge, strange as this may seen to the Secret Service. The army did.

After this, it says, I "entered the State Department and was employed by them as a Research Analyst." Well, I did not break in and I did not apply. I was transferred with that past of intelligence that was transferred. But you did go a little bit fact. I was decorated. Now did your impartial agents miss that?

How also did they miss my original life? I did wishets a law. It can't be that this missing FMI report missed that. I was an muregistored british agent - after the Maxi-Seviet pact and before the attack on -earl Harbor. "Odius" indeed!

The top of Page 6 is tryly contemptible, no doubt account for the messing of the bottom of Page 5. The fact is that when I lived in Washington it is I who went to Hyattatown from Vashington every Saturday night to see to it that my mothersin-law only the used father for whom she cared were provided with gorderies, which I paid for; and that in need they had medical care. This man was my regular gractice from before my wife and I were married. "t continued until I want into the Army, when my wife did it. When she was not engaged in other such subversive activities as specifing nights after her regular work typing letters have for those maintaness soldiers who could not write or whose injurious prevented it. My wife's grantfather, a retired builder, regarded no as so such of a "radical" that he let me have his antique tools, not his some or his grandsons. If you amt to see mose of his moreon carpentry tools, be my guest.

Well, we are almost at the end of this obscenity. And what do we firs? That there is "no designatory information concerning fir. Weisburg" in the police, vivil Service and other files. It does not report an existing police record, of my voluctory registering of a pistel. This I can understand. It would diminish that bit about my wife's family considering me so "radical." That pistel, which you may warrine should it internt you, is a functioning collector's itse from my wife's grandfather to see.

On even the last page I find reason to wonder why so many Presidents have survived Secret Service protection. It was unable to get even the simplest fact straight in its report on my marketing. In practice my frozen supply, still fresh, was a reserve to assure that I could supply any demand. My demand ranged from birds as small as 3/4 lbs. to 10 lbs, for waterfowl nature assured would be seasonal, and for capons, including the surgical, which this report eliminates. As the norm what I delivered on Wednesdays was so fresh it was alive Tuesday mornings. It was not possible to have every size available every week. This was the main reason for my reserve at the Southern States locker plant. I also had a reserve at hore in my own freezers, also not mantioned in this definitive inquiry on which the life of the president could hang, and the only time I permitted anyone access to my lockers was when my costoners' needs did not coincide with my deliveries. Even then it was few a rarity.

I do want this in rectification of the wredthedness of this "report" wherever it may be filed, as your records should shou if you keep records. I solicit any factual challenge of any of my representations.

I also solicit an expression of decent human encion, of chance that such accret and baseless defauations can plague the innoceat. After all these years! I also went you to know that while I do not want to join the Secret Service in a soit if you have not complied with my perfectly proper request before my lawyer can file an action I will join the Secret Service in it.

Sincercly, Harold Weinberg

1,753

in an opening transfel had believed the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of

The Secret Service file on me diagorged through State would be amusing if it were not so fascistic in approach and content. What I ignere in the attached commentary I've send Goff and State FOIA/PA is the permisting high praise for my poultry, even from the university specialists.

I'm not as pointed as I might have beent in addressing my alleged reputation for radicalism among my backward neighbors. To most of them my "radicalism"s came from my Jewish birth. The rest came from my doing for what they regarded as personal property, the volunteer fire department, what they could not do themselves and what they opposed that needed doing, only some of which I've indicated.

Where there is real poison in this, I suspect, is what it led to when the FBI used it in defending against our helicepter suit.

While there is no telling what harm it could have done me then, in actuality there is little chance it was come cially hurtful because I sold all I could raise.

I can't believe that the Secret Service is not holding more back by merely searching the wrong files and not searching the right ones. They did have the local authorities againg on me after a military fabrication, that I was going to shoot as chapped down. I have a first-person account of this and I have seen some references in military reports on discovery in the second suit.

There are some interesting suggestions in this, like among other things the FRI baving a comprehensive report on me and bil. Why the two of us? Only from our friendships, my writing or their spying on Congressmen. Marcantonic would not be the only one. They will be relactant to divulge these things or divulge completely.

This is probably the Secret Service record CLA mays it asked an exay for giving me. Goff never replied to that. "either did in CLA mention it again.

Huch more is going to come out in time. From the few Rosenberg records I've seen, as I think a've told you, I know some of the people they were appling in, if only by accident or socially. Their records have to show them spying on as, if not directly on me, in such nefarious ventures as a perty for Richard Wright in the apartment of one person epied on and driving Paul Robeson around in my car. I did drive him to the meeting mentioned in one of the Rosenberg reports. I think it was a peace meeting. That is pretty subsective, of course, more so for one like me, a college pacifist and a practitioner of personal non-violence. Some will exempt words.

When you have cleaned other things up I suggest that instead of writing sore letters you phone the various people and say that if they have not complied in full by whatever date you pick you will file a complaint.

Some of the omissions in this report may be innocent. Others cannot be. There is the inherent misropresentation by the agent who told me otherwise, explicit on the card, that I asked to be a white House wendor. I did not and in fact I never did use that business method with the Inmove. Nothing wrong with it. I suppose now that I did not so it then because of the political paramota. Griffith told me that Kamie had asked for me to be ekayed after she ate my birds at the Puller party in her honor. The business of others having access to my stocks is contrived. It was done rarely and then only for the impertant people, like parhaps the Trujillos or one or two like that. I rocall none but can't say it did not happen. Maybe a loyybist's party or two, something like that. It was a Secret Service way of building a non-political objection in, as was the false allegation of plant untidiners. They covered themselves on this with the honosty of the universal product ap products, including everything from health to appearance to cleanliness to takte. I'll give you the while thing for copying. Too big a job for my machine with wil off. The stuff about her is pretty nasty but it may well be true that she was under "loyalty" suspicion because of me and Marcantonio if not from her membership in liberal consumers groups. The J. Edgar Moover courtesy of the bottle of Cherry Recring is absolutely brue. She said ware were amused at the time and told me... One wonders what these monaters did to purople's lives! Best,