

Harold Weisberg
Rt. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701
2/12/74

Dear Mr. Schorr,

Your Hunt-Bennett-CIA segment of this a.m.'s TV news interested me very much. It is something in which I tried to interest all the major media, including CBS, without success, more than a year ago.

Of the numerous similar things in which I could find no interest it is probably the one that caused me to do a Watergate book of my own, without seeking a contract.

This also limits what I can tell others because of my own interests in my work. Others, of course, have the same conflict and may not be able to share what they get. If you do not have this problem I would very much appreciate anything you can let me know. You had a few details I did not develop. They and others may lead me to more that has not come out.

Because three reporters had similar but different stories I assume that someone with an interest in getting this out was the source.

I can tell you this: the Ervin committee is sitting on much and avoided more; only a minor part of that story has come out; a major part of what has not come out is proscribed domestic intelligence; and you are absolutely right in saying Hunt is an important man in what has not come out.

Your broadcast was when I was going about household chores so I may not have understood it completely. However, if I did, there were minor errors. If you understood these to be errors and set about correcting them you would be on your way to a bigger Watergate story than has yet been reported.

I'm sorry to appear to be teasing you. That is not my intention. It is that having failed in repeated efforts to guide so many I now have too much invested in this work to give it away even though there is no certainty what I am doing can ever be published.

I have more than literary interest in this work. I believe that I am a victim of some of this supposedly proscribed domestic intelligence. I have established the identification of a front used for some aspects of it and have carbons off some of this surveillance on me. I am, in fact, talking to a lawyer about redress.

Because I do know much that I have reason to believe others do not it is possible that what may hold no meaning for others can mean much to me. This is the real reason I would like to know anything that has not been reported because what has been reported I do know.

I also suggest that the Helms story is other and bigger than what is currently being said. It involves others, ~~not~~ just Helms. And the "oversights" of several Congressional committees.

If you have time for trash, The Coven may interest you. It is Hunt at his self-revealing best.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg