

1/5/70

Dear Gary

Today's Washington Post carries a brief item saying that the President of the Un of Minn has ordered an investigation of the Army snooping there nad of the complicity of the university's police.

Previously I wrote you suggesting that you might want to make some kind of inquiry to see if it can be established that this was the operation those alert students detected when I was there, what seized my luggage, etc. (On that, + have the Braniff records of their payment for the damages and can, I think, supply statements from the dealers from whom + had purchased and to whom I returned the new typewriter and the new cassette recorder, full damage to which could not have been covered abd wasn't.)

While generally speak, as you know I've steadily maintained, I think this is not a time in which we should seek public attention for miscellaneous items, I think the entire matter is in a different perspective when it is part of military, domestic untelligence, and particularly with the existing inferences of military benefit from the assassination, if not complicity in it, as has also been alleged. My own position was well known, had been recorded by the government, and was there expressed.

I do not insist. I leave this entirely up to you. Perhaps ypu might want to consult others about like, like those profs who had their own bitter experiences, maybe Ned, maybe your friend on the local paper. But with what has come to light, and that can be only a small part, it seems not unreasonable that it was the Army. Need I mention Murray?

Ix have written the Army. I think I sent you a carbon. There has been no answer as yet. I waited to give Resor time to learn the facts (realizing that it also gave time for covering-up, but I believed this to be the fair thing). That was two weeks ago today. I have not sought any publicity here. Thalso believe it is but fair to give them time. Two weeks ago is time. I will not initiate any publicity here now. However, if you feel otherwise out there, feel free to do anything that seems right to you. Your paper friend or Ned might be the best to counsel you, if you want to take counsel.

On other subjects, I have not heard from Mary, whose work seems to be nonstop. We were not away for a minute last night, were home ebfore the end of her working day, and I was away only to take Lil to and from her work. I'll be enclosing a copy of today's Jack Anderson column. It is available to everyone, naturally. However, there is a special context in which + plant to use it. I think this will be readily apparent to you. Therefore, I'm not sending it to anyone but you. And the guy was a psychologist yet!

If you have a Spring vacation, I hope you have a car good enough to get you here. I may soon have in temporary surpluss one or two tw-drawer filing wabinets, should you need any. If I get a desk I can use at the local auction tomorrow night (possible), I know I'll not have immediate need for these. I can always use them, but there will be no urgent need. They are like new and now would cost at least a third more.

I'm preparing a memo on "invasion of privacy", based on the stuff in REP 2 and the Pollak and attached memos. If you have anything else on this, I'd appreciate it. + have legal and literary purposes in mind.

Best,

Schedule for the rest of the week:
therpaist on bursitis and Bud's people
tomorrow, to Wilmington Thursday for
suit against distributor Friday. I
expect to return Friday night, with Howard, who
has completed his book and will probably stay here until Tuesday. He generally gets a
noon bus. On another subject-and for another subject, where I can help him- the RFK-con-
nected lawyer turned off by Mark's stolen and misued "too-many-guns" was coming here the
day of our (12-15") blizzard. I think he'll still come. He also gets to N.O. regularly.