THE MINNEAPOLIS CLINIC
OF
PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY

820 METROPOLITAN MEDICAL BUILDING
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55404

4225 GOLDEN VALLEY ROAD
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55422
TELEPHONE 588-0661

242 SOUTHDALE MEDICAL BUILDING
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55435

July 24, 1971

ADMINISTRATION:
WILLIAM M. BOTNAN

PSYCHIATRY:
BURTON I. ABRAMSON, M.D.
RONALD N. BERRY, M.D.
ROBERT S. CLARK, M.D.
DONALD R. DAGGETT, M.D.
GEORGE C. DORSEY, JR., M.D.
WILLIAM E. DORSEY, M.D.
CLIFFORD O. ERICKSON, M.D.
JAMES T. GARVEY, M.D.
CHARLES A. HABERLE, M.D.
BRUCE H. HILLER, M.D.
GLENN M. LEWIS, JR., M.D.
MURRAY S. LOCKE, M.D.
TIMOTHY M. MAGEE, M.D.
DEANE C. MANOLIS, M.D.
DONALD M. MAYBERG, M.D.
JENNINGS C. L. PETELER, M.D.
LORAN F. PILLING, M.D.
JAMES D. SWENSON, M.D.
LOWELL C. WIGDAHL, M.D.

PSYCHOSOMATIC SEXUAL DISORDERS AND CLINICAL HYPNOTHERAPY:
MILTON ABRAMSON, M.D.

ADOLESCENT AND CHILD PSYCHIATRY:
GEORGE J. BARRY, M.D.
PAUL W. BRANSFORD, M.D.

CONSULTANTS' ADOLESCENT AND CHILD PSYCHIATRY:
HAROLD B. HANSON, M.D.
MARY P. PENNINGTON, M.D.

PSYCHOLOGY:
SHERMAN E. NELSON, PH.D.
R. PAUL OLSON, PH.D.
KENNETH A. PERKINS, PH.D.
GARY R. SCHOENER
WINIFRED J. SCOTT, M.A.
CHARLAINE J. SKEEL, M.A.
DONNA WITTHAUS, M.A.

FAMILY AND MARRIAGE COUNSELING:
WILLIAM J. HANLEY, PH.D.

SOCIAL WORK:
LORING ABRAHAMSON, M.S.W.
SONITA COLSER, M.S.W.
GERALD L. DAHL, M.S.W.
SRI HINTZ, M.S.W.
CARROLL A. HUNT, M.S.W.
JOHN Z. MC KENNEY, M.S.W.
LOUISE MILLER, M.S.W.
NORMAN J. SEGAL, M.S.W.
DAVID W. SOUTHWARD, M.S.W.

PSYCHIATRIC NURSE THERAPY:
JANE DOLAN, R.N.
SUSAN HAGGAR, R.N.
PHYLLIS MOLLER, R.N.

Dear Harold,

Thanks for your recent mailings. Your mention of Greenstein reminded me of old adventures--did you ever meet Greenstein? I have no opinions of that note, save for the fact that it is a nut. I would not waste time on it If it has any purpose, which I doubt, I would guess that purpose to be to cause time waste. While the stuff you dug up- on the content is intriguing, I can't see any point in pursuing it.

Ned and I were to get together after he saw Weinrab, but due to my busy schedule, we haven't spoken yet, except briefly on the phone.

On the KT thing again, from what I know of Thornley he could well have flipped out and be up to something bizarre. But more likely, in my opinion, if he is involved with that note, is the possibility that he is playing a joke on you, hoping that you will waste time on the note. It could easily be the work of someone else who knows the case also. While this is an intriguing intellectual task, I seriously dowbt Harold, that it can lead anywhere. Let me emphasize something important: that to play super crazy one has to be a bit crazy. It's like the people who freak out on LSD continually so that they can blame their craziness on the drug. I wouldn't waste any more time on that note--there are far more important areas you must leave to mess with it.

My trip to Washington is beginning to look farther off since I have not heard from the chairman of the panel. Until I do the trip is in question. I would like to make it, and will need a vacation by then, but am a bit worried. The panel contains only people from the Washington DC free clinic and the well-known Haight-Ashbury Free Clinic--in other words, the home team plus the big clique. I am far more appropriate because our clinic was formed, run, and is now directed by psychologists (most are medical) and I therefore have a unique position from which to comment on "the psychologist in the free clinic system." That my views are heard, however, is not what I care about on this subject, but only that if I don't get on the panel I probably can't go, and furthermore, if I am on the panel I will get to meet many other free clinic people who I want very much to talk with. If I do get to Washington, it will be at least a day before Sept. 3--that's the day of the panel.

In terms of Lifton, he fits into something I said above. According to Fred and Marylynn, he said all those crazy things to throw people off of the fact that he really had something. This, in and of itself, was craziness. Ironically, I am sure

that he does have things of real sig. that the rest of us don't have--but equally convinced that they might as well be in the classified section of the archives because he will not show them or publish them. Some of it he has shown to selectec "friends" who have since broken off completely with him, but he will not publish. Now he lives in mortal fear that Sylvia, the Newcombs, or Mary will tell what they know. The Newcombs are using it as part of the basis of their present work, but no one plans to release it. So Dave, a lamentable figure despite all the the lies and deceptions which caused Mary, Sylvia, and the Newcombs to refuse even to talk with him and to consider releasing what they know of his work, lives in a never-ending paranoia that his long kept secrets will become public. Although not seriously considering it, Fred and Marlynn joked about having me call Dave from their house--something which would have sent him up the wall. For some reason he has an incredible fear of me in particular.

I would like to see Sylvia's piece although I agree that proof of official dishonesty is not too staggering given our present stageof knowledge. Nor does it help us reach any solution in the case.

I do not make Bradley out to be a nice guy, or anyone who I have anything in common with, but have trouble seeing him as involved in this. Even as a right-winger he seems inadequate. He worships MacIntyre, who appointed someone ahead of him when he excpected a higher position, and who apparently uses him.

My bar association appearance did go well, and got a good reappnses. The younger lawyers, who people challenged for putting it on, found that the audience contained some older judges who stayed til the very end. This played a very small role in their growing rebellion. One of the best things which came of it had nothing to do with my talk, but with my getting the head of the Young Lawyers, Bill Cullen, together with the estemed law school dean, Doug Heidenreich. Doug, who has much weight to throw around, left my talk to go stand behind Bill who was called up before the executive board of the bar association. They purposedly chose to call him up (although they could have scheduled it any time) at a time which would weigh heavily on him--the time my lecture was to begin. The reason this was such a bad deal was that he was due to introduce me, and this was the only thing he definitely had to do all day. I ended up doing half the introduction ###--somethin which was embarrassing to the Young Lawyers who were trying to put on a good show. It came off as slipshod.

I too am glad that Hal is reinvolved. Even if he doesn't have much time, his knowledge of the case and background make him a good person to have around, even if he, like me, can only do occasional things. I do hope that he gets to do some more speaking. Besides, it is good to hear from him, and none of us keep in touch unless we are doing something on the case.

Enclosed is some stuff Billy Hargis sent me. The right wing is really panicking since disarmament talks started, and now with Nixon's China visit they may flip out.

Well, I'd better get back to work. Due to a # of circumstances, I haven't yet been able to cut my work at Mpls. clinic down to 1/2 time, and the work for the free clinic has become far more than 1/2 time until we get things in order and our research (required by the grant) off the ground. I am running around getting our staff together, oriented, and working, and also trying to train police, etc. so as to impress the LEA which is funding us. It is fun, but I'm working too hard. Please give my regards to Lil who I hope is feeling better.

Gary