4/30/69 The de Vosjoli-LIFE article arrived. I have read it and will return when I copy. There is nothing in it inconsistent with the theory, though it may not be fact. That perhaps I can inspire those who know to say.

I have met this man. I did not know him well, but I do know the face. The pictures do not show, but if he is the man I recall he is a slim, perhaps wiry guy, not very tall. I used to go to that building once a week to deliver eggs.

There are a few interesting voids in the story. Like what a man with that profession does for a living when he suddenly, as he gets close to retirement, quits. With no job, no income save what little he might have saved as a government employee?

Interesting also that an intelligence man with the cover of a minor clerk knows a famous writer from a different city, Uris. I am more convinced that Uris was an instrument, knowingly or unknowingly. And I wonder more about the strange suicide of the beautiful young bride when they were so in love.

Even this LIFE story, favorable as it is, leave the inevitable suspicion this man worked it both ways. If it could not be entirely hidden in a friendly account....

As usual, whenever there is a propaganda need, there are lies or at least inconsistencies. There are things here I will use in TIGER. Tell you when I see you. The lies are not in this peice, not these I have in mind. And there are things Salinger and Sorensen, for all their volume and thoroughness, omitted.

Nothing new.

H