Nichols 6/2 [illegible] (15

6/5/69 Without my going into great detail and pointing out many things, do you understand some of the comment I earlier made and opinion I earlier offered after reading the attached?

It is part of what disturbs me about John's suit. He is entirely unprepared to undertake this ammendment, can do it only with my participation, yet he wants to do it without basis and without me. He will have this tossed out immediately and that will get headlines, "Pathologists Suit for JFK Pix, X-rays, rejected by court". It will not help, nor will the precedent help if and when anothero having prepared properly, does try.

He was not really prepared on the rifle and cases, either, but thanks to Dick and me is now in a little better shape and will yet have his situation improved. He knew so little about the spectrographic analysis he didn't even know the wrong Feebee executed the affidavit.

The government is correct in saying he didn't exhaust his administrative remedies, although I think the argument not a good one. A judge might think otherwise. I am also surprised the govt didn't claim there was the Freedom of Information law he should have invoked. They'd still have turned him down, but that is no reason for not making the claim.

If you have any comment or suggestions after you see what I'v sent him (I've asked that he send you and Paul copies), I'd like to hea then I can disagree or add to and forward to him.

Had a note from Sylvia inwhich she reports hearing JG is writing a book. Hope not. N.O. papers have story there will be a federal Mafia investigation about the time of the end of the campaign. Not really a coincidence. Nothing else really new. Except that I've got chiggers all over and they itch.

Best,