

See Waybright file

David Saulsbury
1220 McCurley Ave.,
Catonsville, MD 21228

9/5/94

Dear David,

In writing you recently to tell you that I had discovered still more thievery only Waybright was in a position to steal and only David Lifton had any interest in or even any way of knowing its relevance - I told you I believe I did not mention your name. I did not. I think I told you I had an account of this in a book I've been working on off and on for months. A young woman is retyping my terrible typing of the rough draft. Yesterday she brought more of her work to me. (She is numbering chapter pages beginning with 1 because they may be shifted around.) This morning, reading what she returned retyped, I came to the chapter well into this long manuscript, "Trust Me, I'm a Thief": David Lifton. I enclose pages 16-21. There are earlier references to "lick" that are not necessary to the purposes for which I now write. I think you may well recognize who "Joe" is but I did not use his name for the purpose stated.

As I asked you to tell Adams and the others in Internal Affairs involved in the covering up of what Waybright did, I cannot accept that ~~because~~ because of the serious damage to me and to my work. My most recent discovery of what Waybright alone could have stolen and in which Lifton alone could have any interest happens to be what I regard as important JFK assassination evidence but it involves a man who is a crony of Lifton's. If you are ever here again and are interested I'll tell you why. It was when I was able to return to this book and had come to where I'll use it that I discovered the thefts. It is something I do not want used out of context so I say nothing more about it now.

As I probably told you and as I think you know enough about me to know, I have no interest in public scandals per se. That does not mean that if I believe one is required I would do nothing about it. In fact I have that in mind right now unless I get full redress, the return of all that Waybright stole. While I am not in a position to know all that he could have stolen, I know that he alone was in a position to steal more than I've indicated ^{that} he alone could have stolen.

But because I think no useful purpose would be served by a public scandal, much as one could help the sale of my books now in the stores, I ask that you please hand-deliver this to the top of Internal Affairs. There is little point in my sending it to Adams. The whitewashing brush appears to have been in his hands. I was certain of his intentions when he neither accepted my offer to free access to all I have and did not ask me to send him copies of anything. Thus I was never in touch with him again. When we spoke I did not recall Waybright's bragging about using the computer network for Livingstone. But when I mentioned it to Hil she reminded me that he had even offered to do that for us. Then I remembered more, as you'll see.